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## 7. Executive Summary:

The recreational intertidal shellfish harvest was estimated and harvest patterns were characterised at three beaches within the Auckland metropolitan area. Sampling was initiated at Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay as originally intended. Sampling was not conducted at two other beaches specified by the Ministry of Fisheries: Howick Beach, and Wenderholm's data from the previous year's intertidal shellfish abundance surveys indicated that shellfish densities were low at these beaches. After detailed consultation with the Ministry of Fisheries, surveying was initiated at Okoromai Bay and Beachlands. Initial results from the Beachlands indicated that negligible shellfish harvesting was taking place and sampling was therefore terminated at the end of the summer season. After consultation with the Ministry of Fisheries, a survey was initiated at Duder's Beach, Umupuia in July 1998. Surveying at Umupuia was terminated after six months when it was discovered that the interviewer had been falsifying his data. Levels of shellfish harvesting at Umupuia are therefore not assessed. Shellfish harvest estimates and harvest patterns are therefore given for Cornwallis beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay.

Estimates of the annual harvest of cockles (Austrovenus stutchburyi), pipi (Paphies australis), Pacific oysters (Crassostrea gigas) and scallops (Pecten novaezelandiae), in weight and numbers taken, are given for Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay and for cockles at Okoromai Bay. The annual estimates of cockle harvest for all three beaches were similar to estimates of CAY calculated as part of an associated survey of intertidal resources. The current levels of cockle harvesting at the beaches studied are therefore thought to be sustainable. After this survey was completed, the daily bag limit for the Auckland Metropolitan area has been reduced from 150 cockles per person to 50 . Harvest levels of pipi and scallops at Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay are also thought to be sustainable. It is not currently possible to assess the sustainability of the other main species picked at Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay Pacific oysters.

Estimates of harvest are also given by season and day type. Seasonal harvests patterns were species specific with the greatest harvests generally occurring in the summer and lowest harvests in the winter. Annual estimates of weekday and weekday harvests are similar for most species at Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay although harvesting is more concentrated during the weekend due to the fewer days available. Cockle harvesting at Okoromai Bay appears to occur predominantly at the weekend. The selectivity of cockle pickers was also calculated for Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay.

## 8. Introduction

There is widespread public concern in Auckland that overfishing by amateurs has caused declines in the abundance of many intertidal shellfish. Previous work (Drey \& Hartill 1993) has shown that most pickers take predominantly soft-shore bivalves such as pipi and cockles, although a variety of other species such as Pacific oysters and crabs are taken. The numbers of shellfish taken occasionally exceed amateur bag limits. This study was carried out on behalf of the Ministry of Fisheries to meet the following objective:
"To estimate the annual harvest of pipi, cockle, and tuatua and characterise the overall pattern of harvest from 1 December 1997 to 30 November 1998 at the following four beaches within the Auckland metropolitan area: Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay, Howick Beach, and Wenderholm."

Sampling was initiated at Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay as specified in the objective. Sampling was not conducted at two other beaches specified by the Ministry of Fisheries: Howick Beach, and Wenderholm's data from the previous year's intertidal shellfish abundance surveys indicated that shellfish densities were low at these beaches. After detailed consultation with the Ministry of Fisheries, surveying was initiated at Okoromai Bay and Beachlands. Initial results from the Beachlands indicated that negligible shellfish harvesting was taking place and sampling was therefore terminated at the end of the summer season. After consultation with the Ministry of Fisheries, a survey was initiated at Duder's Beach, Umupuia in July 1998. After six months of surveying at Umupuia it was discovered that the interviewer had been falsifying his data and the survey was terminated. Levels of shellfish harvesting at Umupuia are therefore not assessed. Shellfish harvest estimates and harvest patterns are therefore given for Cornwallis beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay. Harvest estimates are compared with estimates of population size and yield estimates given in Morrison et al. 1999.

## 9. Methods and results

### 9.1 Estimation of annual harvest

The recreational intertidal shellfish harvest was estimated and harvest patterns were characterised at three beaches within the Auckland metropolitan area (Figure 1). Sampling was initiated at Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay as originally intended in December 1997. Sampling was not conducted at two other beaches specified by the Ministry of Fisheries, Howick Beach, and Wenderholm because data from the previous year's intertidal shellfish abundance surveys indicated that shellfish densities were very low at these beaches. After detailed consultation with the Ministry of Fisheries, surveying was initiated at Beachlands in December 1997 and Okoromai Bay in January 1998. Initial results from the Beachlands indicated that negligible shellfish harvesting was taking place and sampling was therefore terminated at the end of the summer season. Following further consultation with the Ministry of Fisheries, a survey was initiated at Duder's Beach, Umupuia in July 1998. This survey
was terminated after six months when it was discovered that the interviewer had falsified data. Data relating to Duder's Beach, Umupuia are therefore not analysed.

Copies of the forms used in the survey are included in Appendix 4.
A stratified random approach was used to estimate the annual harvest and picking patterns of key bivalve species. The year was divided up into four 3-month seasonal strata which were further divided into two day-type strata, weekday and weekend, the latter including public holidays. Within each of these season/day-type strata, eight sample days were randomly allocated, with each day comprising a sampling unit. Because there were 248 weekdays and 117 weekend/public holidays in a year, weekdays were sampled at a lower intensity, approximately $13 \%$ as opposed to $27 \%$ for weekend/public holidays. Eight days sampling within each of the eight season/day-type strata resulted in a sampling intensity of $17.5 \%$ overall, given the 64 days sampled. Beaches were also divided into between 3 to 6 spatial strata, depending on the area of accessible shoreline. The beaches and their spatial strata are shown in Figures 2 to 5.

The harvest $C$, within each season/day-type/spatial stratum was estimated by combining effort $E$, defined as the number of hours picked, and catch per unit effort $R$, defined as the number of shellfish picked per hour.

$$
C=E \times R
$$

### 9.2 Effort

As intertidal shellfish harvesting generally takes place during low tide, interviewers sampled only two hours on either side of low tide, although sampling was conducted over a longer period for exceptionally low tides when shellfish harvesting was still possible. All of the interviewers used lived within sight of the beaches surveyed and were therefore able to determine when picking could potentially start. Interviewers also remained on the beach later than two hours after low tide when picking was still taking place. It is still possible however that a small amount of picking may have occurred outside of survey hours. When low tides occurred at both dawn and dusk, sampling was conducted during all daylight hours on either side of both tides except early hours prior to 7 am . All daylight hours at least two hours on either side of low tide were therefore sampled on randomly predetermined days within each season/day-type strata (Table 1).

A modification of an aerial-access survey (sensu Pollock et al. 1994), was used to estimate recreational fishing effort. Instantaneous counts of recreational fishers picking shellfish were made hourly by strategically-placed ground-based observers. Counts of people actually involved in picking shellfish were taken at a pre-determined random time within each 1 hour block on either side of predicted low tide at each site. Picking effort was variable at all beaches studied (Figure 6). Picking effort appears to take place throughout the low tide with most picking occurring within two and a half hours of low tide.

Picking effort was also variable with respect to the height of low tide (Figure 7). As days were selected at random with respect to season and day type, the influence of daily low tide height was not considered in the original design. As most shellfish harvested are readily available at most daily low tidal heights, the influence of tidal height is thought to have little impact on the harvest of most species including those specified in the study's objective. A major exception to this is scallops. Spring low tides are often targeted by scallop pickers to increase the chances of detecting what is usually a subtidal species. The relatively low proportion of spring tides sampled during the year, coupled with the length of the scallop season, may have resulted in poor estimates of scallop picking effort and hence harvest. Caution should therefore be used when using these estimates.

When the picker's harvest was not visible/hidden the interviewer had no option but to estimate that harvest. The estimate was based on the interviewer's observation of that pickers harvesting activity and what was carried off the beach.

Table 1: Number of hours surveyed and number of pickers interviewed at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay, and Okoromai Bay by season and day type

| Beach | Season | Day type | Number of days surveyed | Number of hours surveyed | Number of of pickers interviewed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwallis <br> Beach | Summer | Weekday | 8 | 34 | 74 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 33 | 217 |
|  | Autumn | Weekday | 8 | 30 | 12 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 34 | 85 |
|  | Winter | Weekday | 8 | 29 | 40 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 31 | 84 |
|  | Spring | Weekday | 8 | 31 | 72 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 30 | 143 |
| Mill <br> Bay | Summer | Weekday | 8 | 36 | 57 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 35 | 204 |
|  | Autumn | Weekday | 8 | 30 | 20 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 38 | 86 |
|  | Winter | Weekday | 8 | 28 | 13 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 30 | 51 |
|  | Spring | Weekday | 8 | 32 | 28 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 32 | 141 |
| Okoromai <br> Bay | Summer | Weekday | 8 | 31 | 58 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 32 | 364 |
|  | Autumn | Weekday | 8 | 32 | 1 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 30 | 66 |
|  | Winter | Weekday | 8 | 31 | 11 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 32 | 148 |
|  | Spring | Weekday | 8 | 32 | 23 |
|  |  | Weekend | 8 | 32 | 244 |

Hourly counts were made for each spatial stratum and mean daily picking effort was estimated for each spatial stratum as follows:

$$
\hat{e}_{i}=\bar{I}_{i} \times T_{i}
$$

Where $\hat{e}_{i}$ is the mean daily picking effort, $\bar{I}_{i}$ is the average of the instantaneous count of harvesters for each day, and $T_{i}$ is the size of (number of hours in) stratum $i$. Because hourly counts of picking effort were made from strategic points along the shoreline it was not
possible to determine accurately the species catch associated with that effort. The mean daily picking effort was therefore apportioned by species using interview data. As part of the interviews discussed in the next section, pickers were asked what species were picked and how much picking effort was associated with each species picked. Within each season/daytype/spatial stratum the total hours spent picking a species was divided by the total hours spent picking by all species.

$$
P_{i j}=\frac{L_{i j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{i j}}
$$

Where $P_{i j}$ is the proportion of hours spent picking a species $j$, and $L_{i j}$ is the total effort in season/day-type/spatial stratum $i$ spent picking species $j$ derived from all interviews. This proportion, which was calculated across all days sampled within the stratum, was applied to the random hourly counts of the number of pickers.

The picking effort from a given group of strata, including for the whole period of interest for a given species $j$ was estimated using:

$$
\hat{E}_{i j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{e}_{i} P_{i j}}{\pi_{i}}
$$

Where $\hat{E}_{i j}$ is the estimated total fishing effort for a given species $j$, and $\pi_{i}$ is the probability of the sample days occurring during a season/day-type strata $i$.

### 9.3 Catch per unit Effort

Between making counts of pickers, staff interviewed pickers to estimate catch rates for completed trips. Pickers leaving the beach were randomly selected and approached and, with their permission, interviewed to determine the start and finish times of their picking, and details of the harvest. When the number of pickers at a beach was low, it was possible to interview all pickers. This was usually the case. Random subsamples of about 20 of each species picked were measured to the nearest millimeter using vernier calipers, and the aggregate weight of all shellfish of that species was weighed by the interviewer using a spring balance. While it is possible that the presence of the interviewers may have influenced pickers behavior it is not possible to determine the extent of this influence. Interviewers only approached pickers as they left the beach and did not wear a uniform,

On occasion pickers refused to be interviewed for reasons which could not be determined at the time. It was recognised that the harvesting habits of pickers who refused to be interviewed may not be the same as those of pickers who agreed to be interviewed. Before interviews were conducted therefore an estimate of the weight of each species harvested was made by the interviewer. For completed interviews, both estimated and actual weights were recorded while, for refused interviews, only the estimated harvest was available. For each interviewer, a relationship was calculated between estimated and actual weights, and these relationships were used to correct bias associated estimated weights where the actual weight was not measured. It was necessary to use estimated weights for $9 \%$ of the interviews. Any bias due to poor estimation of harvest weights is therefore thought to have little influence on shellfish harvest estimates.

During the study it was noted that the weight of a bag limit of scallops was usually twice that expected using the length weight relationship given in Table 2. Spring balances and their interpretation by interviewers were checked and found to give reliable estimates of weight. It was therefore concluded that high estimates of harvest weight were due to retention of water by scallops which were usually weighed soon after harvest. A sample of 50 harvests of scallops were both weighed and counted and measured. The length frequencies of these samples were converted to weights and a relationship was calculated for converting the measured weight of a harvest to that predicted from the length frequency relationship (Figure 8).

$$
\text { predicted weight }=0.8144 * \text { measured weight }-0.1375
$$

Water retention by cockles was also investigated but there was no apparent change in sample weights two hours after picking.

Harvest was also estimated in terms of numbers of shellfish taken. The lengths of the 20 randomly selected shellfish were converted using length weight relationships taken from other studies, or when no appropriate relationship was available, one was determined for the purposes of this study (Table 2, Figures $9 \& 10$ ).

Table 2: Parameters used to derive weight from length measurements

$$
\text { Weight }=\mathbf{a} \text { (length) }^{\mathbf{b}} \quad \quad(\text { weight in } \mathrm{g} \text {, length in } \mathrm{cm})
$$

| Species | $\mathbf{a}$ | b | Source |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cockle | 0.00037 | 3.026 | This FARD |
| Pacific oyster | 0.04477 | 1.525 | This FARD |
| Pipi | 0.00003 | 3.315 | Hooker (1995) |
| Scallop | 0.00042 | 2.662 | Cryer \& Parkinson (1999) |

The ratio of the total harvest weight to that of the measured subsample was then used to scale up the length frequency distribution of the subsample. When it was not possible to measure a random subsample as part of an interview, the average weight of all other shellfish of that species measured at that beach was used to convert harvest weights to numbers caught.

Harvest weights were converted to numbers for cockles, Pacific oysters, pipi and scallops because these were considered key species given the observed level of harvest (Table 3), or in the case of pipi its specification in the study's objectives.

Table 3: Number of pickers interviewed, average hours picked and average harvest of species picked at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay

| Location | Species | Number of pickers <br> interviewed | Average hours <br> of picking effort | Average harvest <br> per picker (kg) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cornwallis Beach | Cockles | 263 | 1.13 | 2.17 |
|  | Scallops | 285 | 0.84 | 1.95 |
|  | Pacific oysters | 125 | 1.27 | 2.29 |
|  | Green lipped mussels | 22 | 1.28 | 5.20 |
|  | Cats eyes | 28 | 1.20 | 2.53 |
|  | Horse mussels | 38 | 1.21 | 1.32 |
|  | Mixed species | 18 | 1.13 | 2.33 |
|  | Kina | 3 | 1.75 | 8.27 |
|  | Whelk species | 25 | 0.96 | 0.86 |
|  | Crab species | 7 | 0.98 | 0.28 |
|  | Pipi | 6 | 1.11 | 0.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 371 | 1.25 | 3.09 |
|  | Cockles | 182 | 1.32 | 3.14 |
|  | Pacific oysters | 62 | 1.27 | 1.46 |
|  | Scallops | 12 | 2.30 | 0.91 |
|  | Horse mussels | 13 | 1.00 | 0.65 |
|  | Whelk species | 4 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
|  | Pipi | 3 | 0.94 | 0.50 |
|  | Mixed species |  |  |  |
|  |  | 915 | 1.03 | 3.37 |

Mean CPUE (for a given stratum and species) was estimated using on-site interviews from completed trips using identical stratification as for effort. The ratio of mean harvest, in terms of weight and numbers, divided by mean effort, was used as an estimator of the average catch rate of completed trips:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{R}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} c_{t} / n}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} L_{t} / n}, \text { or: } \\
& \hat{R}=\bar{c} / \bar{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $L_{t}$ is the length and $c_{t}$ the harvest from fishing trip $t ., n$ trips having been investigated, or $\bar{c}$ and $\bar{L}$ are the calculated mean values.

### 9.4 Harvest

Harvest was estimated as the product of effort and catch per unit effort (CPUE: in this case mean harvest per hour)

$$
\hat{C}=\hat{E} \times \hat{R}
$$

Where $C$ is the total harvest (catch), $E$ is the total fishing effort, and $R$ is the average CPUE. Variances were estimated using a non-parametric bootstrapping technique. The original daily picking effort and individual picker catch and effort data were "resampled" (with replacement) and an estimate of harvest was calculated. These bootstraps were calculated 1000 times and their distribution was assumed to be representative of the error structure of the harvest estimate.

Harvest estimates and associated bootstrap variance estimates were calculated for each species for seasonal/day type/spatial strata. When calculating variance estimates for combinations of seasonal, day type and or spatial strata, the individual bootstrap harvest estimates of each stratum were combined and variance was estimated from the distribution of their 1000 combined bootstrap harvest estimates. The frequency distributions of 1000 bootstrap estimates of annual harvest for key species are given in Figures 11 and 12 and appear to be generally although not always normally distributed. When the level of picking effort was low, and hence harvest was low, bootstrap estimates deviated from normality with the left hand limb of the distribution truncated at 0 (see Mill Bay pipi, Figure 11). The means of the bootstrap harvest estimates were usually within $5 \%$ of the analytical estimates of harvest (Appendices $1,2 \& 3$ ).

In some instances, no CPUE data were available for a seasonal/day type/area stratum. When this occurred, the CPUE data from the alternative day type were used from the relevant seasonal/area stratum. When CPUE data was not available from the alternative day type, data from neighbouring spatial strata were used from the relevant seasonal/day type strata. Any bias arising from the use CPUE data from a corresponding stratum is unlikely to have much affect on final annual harvest estimates because the harvest estimates of the affected stratum are low due to low levels of picking effort.

Estimates of annual harvest were calculated both in terms of weight taken (Table 4) and numbers picked (Table 5). Harvest estimates were only calculated for key species picked at the beaches studied. At Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay, estimates of cockle and pipi harvest were calculated because these two species were part of the study's original objective. Harvests of Pacific oysters and scallops were also estimated because these species comprised a large proportion of the total shellfish harvest from these beaches. At Okoromai Bay, only cockle harvest estimates were calculated because this was the only species which was observed (Table 3).

Table 4: Estimated weight of key species harvested annually on Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay, and Okoromai Bay

| Location | Species | Estimated <br> harvest $(\mathbf{k g})$ | Bootstrap <br> mean | Bootstrap <br> c.v. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cornwallis Beach | Cockles | 3269 | 3280 | 0.13 |
|  | Pacific oysters | 1820 | 1820 | 0.16 |
|  | Pipi | 6 | 6 | 0.27 |
|  | Scallops | 3518 | 3528 | 0.13 |
| Mill Bay |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cockles | 4983 | 4970 | 0.14 |
|  | Pacific oysters | 2851 | 2853 | 0.15 |
|  | Pipi | 22 | 23 | 0.54 |
|  | Scallops | 385 | 399 | 0.17 |
| Okoromai Bay |  |  |  | 17056 |

Table 5: Estimated number of key species harvested annually on Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay, and Okoromai Bay

| Location | Species | Estimated <br> harvest (N) | Bootstrap <br> mean | Bootstrap <br> c.v. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cornwallis Beach | Cockles | 283721 | 282095 | 0.14 |
|  | Pacific oysters | 60022 | 59978 | 0.16 |
|  | Pipi | 980 | 977 | 0.26 |
|  | Scallops | 30359 | 30468 | 0.12 |
| Mill Bay |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cockles | 497401 | 497984 | 0.14 |
|  | Pacific oysters | 102422 | 101179 | 0.15 |
|  | Pipi | 3916 | 3899 | 0.57 |
|  | Scallops | 3345 | 3448 | 0.16 |
| Okoromai Bay | Cockles | 1069625 | 1074226 | 0.13 |

Estimates of annual harvest of cockles at Cornwallis Beach, Okoromai Bay are similar to estimates of CAY given in Morrison et al. 1999 (Table 6) with the annual harvest at Mill Bay exceeding optimum yield. This is reflected in a comparison of reference fishing mortalities (Table 7). The annual harvest estimate of cockles at Cornwallis Beach differs from that in Table 4 as the definition of Cornwallis Beach used in the intertidal resources survey (research programme AKI9701) corresponds to spatial areas 4, 5 and 6 in this study (see Figure 2).

Table 6: Biomass and estimates of CAY taken from Morrison et al. 1999, and estimates of harvest, with $95 \%$ confidence intervals for cockle populations at Cornwallis Beach (spatial areas 4,5, \& 6 only), Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay

|  | Biomass <br> $(\mathbf{t})^{*}$ | CAY $\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0 . 1}}\right)$ <br> $(\mathbf{t})$ | Estimated harvest <br> $(\mathbf{t})$ <br> $\mathbf{~ B e 9 5 \%}$ C.I. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Cornwallis Beach | 14.87 | 3.1 | $2.8 \pm 0.80$ |
| Mill Bay | 18.06 | 3.5 | $5.0 \pm 1.39$ |
| Okoromai Bay | 70.15 | 14.9 | $17.2 \pm 4.70$ |

*Biomass estimates based on simplified knife edge selectivity.

Table 7: A comparison of reference fishing mortality estimates for a given level of natural mortality at Cornwallis Beach (spatial areas $4,5, \& 6$ only), Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay. The biomass figure is taken from Morrison et al. 1999 and $\mathbf{F}_{0.1}$ and $F_{\text {max }}$ are calculated using the method given in Morrison et al. 1999, M is taken from Cryer 1996 and $F_{\text {est }}$ is calculated using the Baranov catch equation

|  | Biomass <br> $(\mathbf{t})^{*}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0 . 1}}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {max }}$ | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {est }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Beach |  |  |  |  | 0.39 |
| Cornwallis Beach | 14.87 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.25 |  |
| Mill Bay | 18.06 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.38 |
| Okoromai Bay | 70.15 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Harvest estimates are also given by season and day type for each species (see Appendices 1 and 2). Lower levels of stratification sometimes resulted in bootstrap frequency distributions which departed from normality. The confidence intervals given in the appendices are therefore the bootstrap estimates associated with the $95 \%$ percentiles of proportional bootstrap frequencies. As mentioned above, estimates of scallop harvest may not be reliable as the influence of tidal height was not considered in the experimental design.

Harvests of key species were seasonal, with the greatest harvests generally occurring in summer and the lowest in winter (Figure 13). Scallops were picked steadily throughout the season with a small amount harvested at Cornwallis Beach during the closed season. Annual weekend and weekday harvests of key shellfish species were similar at Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay, although weekend harvesting is more concentrated because of the fewer days available (Figure 14). Cockle harvesting at Okoromai Bay appears to occur predominantly during the weekend. There were clear spatial patterns of harvesting at each beach (Figure 15). Differences between the spatial distribution of species' harvests on each beach reflect the distributions of each population. Pacific oysters were mainly harvested from mudstone rocks found at the western end of Cornwallis Beach at Puponga point and at the eastern end of Mill Bay. The main scallop harvest was in areas 2, 3 and 4 on Cornwallis Beach where the greatest densities of scallops are exposed at low tides.

Length frequency distributions were also calculated for the key species for each season at each beach (Figures 16 to 24). The length frequencies of individual groups of pickers interviewed were weighted according to the estimated number of shellfish taken by each group. These weighted length frequencies were then weighted again to reflect the relative predominance of the day type on which each group's harvesting took place. These length data were then combined and expressed as proportional length frequencies. At Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay there appear to be marked seasonal differences in the seasonal length frequencies of all species, probably partially because of the low numbers of shellfish measured. These differences are less marked when length frequencies with large sample sizes are compared. Only small pipi were observed in picker's catches with a mean shell length of 39.6 mm at Cornwallis Beach, and 37.8 mm at Mill Bay. At Okoromai Bay, where only cockles are picked, sample sizes are comparatively large and the seasonal length frequency distributions and their means are similar.

### 9.5 Selectivity

Picker selectivity was calculated for cockle populations at all three beaches using population length frequencies taken from Morrison et al. 1999, which were collected during the summer of 1997/98, and summer harvest length frequencies. Length frequency data from Cornwallis Beach were taken only from spatial areas 4,5 and 6 , because these areas corresponded to the definition of Cornwallis beach used in Morrison et al. 1999. The selectivity pattern of amateur pickers at each of the sites for each season was assessed using Jacobs' Electivity Index, D (Jacobs 1974) and Richards selection curves.

Jacobs' Electivity Index was originally devised for the assessment of the diet of predators in relation to the availability of certain types of prey, and is therefore completely analogous to the selectivity of pickers for their "prey":

$$
D=\frac{(r-p)}{(r+p-2 r p)}
$$

Where $D$ is the electivity index, $r$ is the fraction of a given size class of cockles in the harvest, and $p$ is the fraction of the same size class in the population at large at that beach in that season. Possible values of the index, $D$, range from -1 to +1 , positive values indicating a proportion
taken by fishers (c.f. the diet of a predator) higher (and negative values lower) than the proportion of a given species or size class in the environment.

Approximate (probably minimum) confidence intervals were estimated for each value of Jacobs' $D$ using a non-parametric bootstrap technique. Both the population and harvest length frequencies were sampled with replacement and $D$ was calculated for each 1 mm length class. These bootstrap estimates of $D$ were calculated 1000 times and the $95 \%$ percentiles of each length class's bootstrap distribution were taken as the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for that length class.

At Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay, the Jacobs' indices were significantly positive for all sizes of cockles greater than $29 \mathrm{~mm}, 25 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 31 mm respectively (Figures 26, 29 and 32). Electivity confidence intervals for Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay were very broad. The knife edge lengths at recruitment were used in an associated research programme AKI9701. Similar recruitment lengths to those observed at Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay have been calculated for the Snake Bank commercial cockle fishery, 30 mm in 1992 and 29 mm in 1996.

Picker selectivity was also calculated using a Richards selection curve (Figures 27, 30 and 33) which is a logistic curve specified by two parameters $a$ and $b$, with a asymmetry parameter, $\delta$.

$$
r(l)=\left(\frac{\exp (a+b l}{1+\exp (a+b l)}\right)^{1 / \delta}
$$

Approximate confidence intervals were estimated using a non-parametric bootstrap technique similar to that used for the Jacobs' Electivity index. Both the population and harvest length frequencies were sampled with replacement and a Richards selection curve was fitted to the resulting population and harvest length frequencies. These bootstrap estimates were calculated 1000 times and the $95 \%$ percentiles of each length class's bootstrap distribution were taken as the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for that length class.

## 10. Management implications

The cockle harvest estimates presented in this report are similar to CAY estimates given in an associated assessment of intertidal shellfish resources at the beaches studied (research programme AKI9701, Morrison et al. 1999). The current levels of cockle harvesting at Cornwallis Beach and Okoromai Bay are thought to be sustainable, although the annual harvest of cockles at Mill Bay slightly exceed optimum levels of yield (CAY). Since this survey was completed, the daily bag limit for the Auckland Metropolitan area has been reduced from 150 cockles per person to 50 .

Levels of pipi harvesting appear low, probably because the small size of shellfish available. Despite its specification in the objective, no tuatua were observed in pickers' harvests, nor have any been found in previous intertidal resource surveys at the beaches studied (Morrison et al. 1999). Tuatua are usually found subtidally on more exposed beaches.

The annual scallop harvest estimates presented in this report may not be reliable because the influence of spring, normal and neap tides was not taken into account in the original experimental design. As this species is accessible only at extreme low tides, and the populations at Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay are mostly subtidal, observed harvest levels are probably sustainable. It is not possible to assess the sustainability of Pacific oyster harvesting at Cornwallis and Mill Bay because there are no current estimates of biomass for these populations.
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## Beaches

1 Cornwallis Beach
2 Mill Bay
3 Okomorai Bay
4 Umupuia

Figure 1: Beach locations in the greater Auckland metropolitan area.


Figure 2: Cornwalls Beach, dashed lines denote spatial strata.


Figure 3: Mill Bay, dashed lines denote spatial strata.


Figure 4: Okoromai Bay, dashed lines denote spatial strata


Figure 5: Umupuia Beach, dashed lines denote spatial strata


Figure 6: Average number of pickers observed at instantaneous hourly counts taken randomly relative to low tide at a) Cornwallis Beach, b) Mill Bay and c) Okoromai Bay. Error bars denote $95 \%$ confidence intervals.




Figure 7: Average daily number of hours picked relative to daily low tide height at a) Cornwallis Beach, b) Mill Bay and c) Okoromai Bay. Error bars denote $95 \%$ confidence intervals.


Figure 8 : Relationship between measured weights of scallop catches and those predicted from the length frequency composition of the catch using a length weight relationship.


Figure 9 : Length weight relationship of cockles picked from Cornwallis Beach and Mill Bay.


Figure 10: Length weight relationship of Pacific oysters picked from Mill Bay.


Figure 11: Frequency distributions of the 1000 bootstraps used to determine the variance of estimates of the harvest ( kg ) of the key species picked at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromaí Bay.










Figure 12: Frequency distributions of the 1000 bootstraps used to determine the variance of estimates of the harvest (numbers) of the key species picked at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay.


Figure 13: Seasonal harvests of key species picked at a) Cornwallis Beach, b) Mill Bay and c) Okoromai Bay. Error bars denote $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals.


Figure 14 : Estimated annual harvests of key species picked on weekend days and week days at a) Cornwallis Beach, b) Mill Bay and c) Okoromai Bay. Error bars denote 95\% confidence intervals.


Figure 15: Estimated annual harvests of key species picked from each area strata at a) Cornwallis Beach, b) Mill Bay and c) Okoromai Bay. Error bars denote $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals.


Figure 16: Length frequencies of cockles harvested by interviewed pickers at Cornwallis Beach. Individual harvests have been weighted by the estimated number of cockles picked by that group.





Figure 17: Length frequencies of Pacific oysters harvested by interviewed pickers at Cornwallis Beach. Individual harvests have been weighted by the estimated number of Pacific oysters picked by that group.


Figure 18: Length frequencies of pipi harvested by interviewed pickers at Cornwallis Beach. Individual harvests have been weighted by the estimated number of pipi picked by that group.


Figure 19: Length frequencies of scallops harvested by interviewed pickers at Cornwallis Beach. Individual harvests have been weighted by the estimated number of scallops picked by that group.





Figure 21: Length frequencies of Pacific oysters harvested by interviewed pickers at Mill Bay. Individual harvests have been weighted by the estimated number of Pacific oysters picked by that group.


Figure 22: Length frequencies of pipi harvested by interviewed pickers at Mill Bay. Individual harvests have been weighted by the estimated number of pipi picked by that group.


Figure 22: Length frequencies of pipi harvested by interviewed pickers at Mill Bay. Individual harvests have been weighted by the estimated number of pipi picked by that group.


Figure 23: Length frequencies of scallops harvested by interviewed pickers at Mill Bay. Individual harvests have been weighted by the estimated number of scallops picked by that group.


Figure 24: Length frequencies of cockles harvested by interviewed pickers at Okoromai Bay. Individual harvests have been weighted by the estimated number of cockles picked by that group.


Figure 25 : Proportional length frequency distributions of the Cornwallis Beach cockle population and cockles harvested from that population by recreational pickers.


Figure 26 : Electivity (as Jacobs' "D") of recreational cockle pickers at Cornwallis Beach. Possible values of $D$ range from +1 to -1 , positive values indicate length classes which are harvested at a higher proportion than exists in the population and vice versa. Error bars denote approximate $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals calculated using a bootstrap technique.


Figure 27 : Selectivity of recreational cockle pickers at Cornwallis Beach. Error bars denote approximate $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals calculated using a bootstrap technique.


Figure 28 : Proportional length frequency distributions of the Mill Bay cockle population and cockles harvested from that population by recreational pickers.


Figure 29: Electivity (as Jacobs' "D") of recreational cockle pickers at Mill Bay. Possible values of $D$ range from +1 to -1 , positive values indicate length classes which are harvested at a higher proportion than exists in the population and vice versa. Error bars denote approximate $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals calculated using a bootstrap technique.


Figure 30 : Selectivity of recreational cockle pickers at Mill Bay. Error bars denote approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals calculated using a bootstrap technique.


Figure 31 : Proportional length frequency distributions of the Okoromai Bay cockle population and cockles harvested from that population by recreational pickers.


Figure 32 : Electivity (as Jacobs' "D") of recreational cockle pickers at Okoromai Bay. Possible values of $D$ range from +1 to -1 , positive values indicate length classes which are harvested at a higher proportion than exists in the population and vice versa. Error bars denote approximate $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals calculated using a bootstrap technique.


Figure 33 : Selectivity of recreational cockle pickers at Okoromai Bay. Error bars denote approximate $95 \%$ confidence intervals calculated using a bootstrap technique.

Appendix 1: Estimated weight (kg) of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.

| Location | Species | Season | Day type | Estimated harvest (kg) | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwallis | Cockles | Summer | Weekend | 727 | 730 | 471 | 1062 |
| Beach |  |  | Weekday | 764 | 771 | 282 | 1348 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1491 | 1500 | 928 | 2155 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 708 | 705 | 338 | 1170 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 106 | 105 | 0 | 276 |
|  |  |  | Total | 814 | 810 | 413 | 1339 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 223 | 227 | 90 | 411 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 127 | 127 | 26 | 248 |
|  |  |  | Total | 350 | 353 | 180 | 572 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 279 | 285 | 172 | 435 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 335 | 331 | 145 | 574 |
|  |  |  | Total | 614 | 616 | 378 | 884 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 1936 | 1946 | 1431 | 2550 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 1332 | 1334 | 769 | 1978 |
|  |  |  | Total | 3269 | 3280 | 2513 | 4145 |


| Cornwallis | Pacific oysters | Summer | Weekend | 432 | 423 | 217 | 721 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beach |  |  | Weekday | 318 | 327 | 162 | 534 |
|  |  |  | Total | 751 | 750 | 493 | 1091 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 231 | 228 | 48 | 633 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 373 | 367 | 93 | 658 |
|  |  |  | Total | 605 | 595 | 246 | 1053 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 131 | 132 | 51 | 239 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 209 | 213 | 50 | 447 |
|  |  |  | Total | 339 | 344 | 162 | 589 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 110 | 110 | 53 | 175 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 15 | 21 | 0 | 92 |
|  |  |  | Total | 126 | 131 | 63 | 222 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 905 | 892 | 549 | 1398 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 915 | 928 | 564 | 1306 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1820 | 1820 | 1313 | 2488 |

Appendix 1 continued: Estimated weight ( kg ) of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.

| Location | Species | Season | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Day } \\ & \text { type } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated harvest (kg) | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwallis | Pipi | Summer | Weekend | 4 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
| Beach |  |  | Weekday | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Total | 6 | 6 | 3 | 10 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | $\pm$ |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 4 | 4 | 2 | 7 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  |  | Total | 6 | 6 | 3 | 10 |


| Cornwallis | Scallops | Summer | Weekend | 536 | 533 | 564 | 455 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beach |  |  | Weekday | 628 | 621 | 453 | 492 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1164 | 1155 | 1017 | 947 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 524 | 530 | 536 | 802 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 513 | 515 | 532 | 454 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1037 | 1046 | 1068 | 1256 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 853 | 861 | 921 | 913 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 463 | 466 | 515 | 488 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1316 | 1327 | 1435 | 1401 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 1914 | 1925 | 2021 | 2170 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 1604 | 1603 | 1500 | 1434 |
|  |  |  | Total | 3518 | 3528 | 3521 | 3604 |

Appendix 1 continued: Estimated weight ( kg ) of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.

| Location | Species | Season | Day type | Estimated harvest (kg) | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mill Bay | Cockles | Summer | Weekend | 1270 | 1274 | 789 | 1853 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 703 | 692 | 307 | 1146 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1973 | 1966 | 1314 | 2676 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 694 | 702 | 232 | 1352 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 235 | 232 | 15 | 521 |
|  |  |  | Total | 929 | 934 | 409 | 1622 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 411 | 401 | 135 | 713 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 109 | 108 | 0 | 268 |
|  |  |  | Total | 520 | 509 | 211 | 859 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 799 | 798 | 409 | 1225 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 761 | 763 | 90 | 1707 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1560 | 1561 | 763 | 2605 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 3174 | 3174 | 2266 | 4143 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 1809 | 1796 | 946 | 2913 |
|  |  |  | Total | 4983 | 4970 | 3674 | 6368 |


| Mill Bay | Pacific oysters | Summer | Weekend | 864 | 883 | 685 | 849 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 367 | 340 | 197 | 310 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1230 | 1223 | 983 | 1189 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 111 | 112 | 66 | 104 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 195 | 219 | 78 | 175 |
|  |  |  | Total | 306 | 331 | 184 | 285 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 295 | 270 | 134 | 247 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 210 | 203 | 101 | 202 |
|  |  |  | Total | 505 | 473 | 303 | 448 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 159 | 162 | 63 | 143 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 651 | 664 | 386 | 614 |
|  |  |  | Total | 810 | 826 | 523 | 776 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 1429 | 1427 | 1166 | 1390 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 1422 | 1426 | 761 | 2205 |
|  |  |  | Total | 2852 | 2853 | 2398 | 2784 |

Appendix 1 continued: Estimated weight ( kg ) of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.

| Location | Species | Season | Day type | Estimated harvest (kg) | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mill Bay | Pipi | Summer | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 22 | 23 | 2 | 51 |
|  |  |  | Total | 22 | 23 | 2 | 51 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 22 | 23 | 2 | 51 |
|  |  |  | Total | 22 | 23 | 2 | 51 |

Mill Bay
Scallops

| Summer | Weekend | 200 | 210 | 240 | 202 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Weekday | 102 | 103 | 88 | 115 |
|  | Total | 302 | 314 | 328 | 317 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Autumn | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Winter | Weekend | 35 | 34 | 26 | 2 |
|  | Weekday | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Total | 37 | 36 | 27 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spring | Weekend | 39 | 41 | 29 | 38 |
|  | Weekday | 7 | 8 | 7 | 3 |
|  | Total | 46 | 49 | 36 | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual | Weekend | 274 | 285 | 295 | 243 |
|  | Weekday | 111 | 114 | 96 | 120 |
|  | Total | 385 | 399 | 391 | 362 |

Appendix 1 continued: Estimated weight (kg) of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.

| Location | Species | Season | Day type | Estimated harvest (kg) | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Okoromai | Cockles | Summer | Weekend | 7287 | 7250 | 4219 | 11193 |
| Bay |  |  | Weekday | 1978 | 1957 | 539 | 4029 |
|  |  |  | Total | 9265 | 9207 | 5682 | 13527 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 907 | 893 | 278 | 1591 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 42 | 42 | 0 | 125 |
|  |  |  | Total | 948 | 935 | 308 | 1633 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 1854 | 1853 | 995 | 2831 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 604 | 597 | 105 | 1254 |
|  |  |  | Total | 2458 | 2450 | 1399 | 3598 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 3485 | 3478 | 2188 | 4938 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 1035 | 985 | 190 | 2292 |
|  |  |  | Total | 4520 | 4464 | 2728 | 6457 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 13532 | 13475 | 9791 | 17615 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 3659 | 3581 | 1761 | 5875 |
|  |  |  | Total | 17192 | 17056 | 12707 | 21655 |

Appendix 2: Estimated number of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.

| Location | Species | Season | Day <br> type | Estimated no. harvested | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwallis | Cockles | Summer | Weekend | 55954 | 56517 | 37116 | 80379 |
| Beach |  |  | Weekday | 58136 | 57811 | 16598 | 107472 |
|  |  |  | Total | 114090 | 114328 | 69464 | 170013 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 66481 | 66146 | 29042 | 110833 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 8074 | 6567 | 0 | 16153 |
|  |  |  | Total | 74555 | 72714 | 34345 | 119575 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 21606 | 21733 | 9220 | 37994 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 22518 | 22406 | 3832 | 48681 |
|  |  |  | Total | 44124 | 44139 | 20073 | 71911 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 19270 | 19507 | 11775 | 29303 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 31682 | 31407 | 12420 | 52844 |
|  |  |  | Total | 50952 | 50914 | 29945 | 74699 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 163311 | 163904 | 118868 | 216807 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 120410 | 118191 | 66904 | 175696 |
|  |  |  | Total | 283721 | 282095 | 213896 | 360754 |


| Cornwallis | Pacific <br> oysters | Summer | Weekend | 13701 | 13651 | 7765 | 21469 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Weekday | 9529 | 9722 | 4560 | 16972 |  |
|  |  | Total | 23231 | 23374 | 15087 | 33231 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 9354 | 9457 | 2129 | 23452 |
|  |  | Weekday | 12379 | 12002 | 3250 | 22747 |  |
|  |  | Total | 21732 | 21459 | 9179 | 37182 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 4329 | 4328 | 1709 | 7700 |
|  |  | Weekday | 6223 | 6418 | 1354 | 13355 |  |
|  |  | Total | 10552 | 10746 | 4858 | 18421 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 3828 | 3804 | 1629 | 6461 |
|  |  | Weekday | 679 | 595 | 0 | 2555 |  |
|  |  | Total | 4507 | 4399 | 2024 | 7347 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 31212 | 31241 | 19672 | 46395 |
|  |  | Weekday | 28810 | 28737 | 16968 | 43504 |  |
|  |  | Total | 60022 | 59978 | 42683 | 79263 |  |

Appendix 2 continued: Estimated number of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.

| Location | Species | Season | Day type | Estimated no. harvested | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwallis | Pipi | Summer | Weekend | 594 | 591 | 269 | 954 |
| Beach |  |  | Weekday | 386 | 387 | 0 | 739 |
|  |  |  | Total | 980 | 977 | 519 | 1478 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 594 | 591 | 269 | 954 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 386 | 387 | 0 | 739 |
|  |  |  | Total | 980 | 977 | 519 | 1478 |


| Cornwallis | Scallops | Summer | Weekend | 4158 | 4132 | 4379 | 3201 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beach |  |  | Weekday | 5363 | 5334 | 6573 | 6381 |
|  |  |  | Total | 9521 | 9466 | 10952 | 9582 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 27 | 23 | 32 | 5 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 27 | 23 | 32 | 5 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 4326 | 4354 | 4400 | 3462 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 4319 | 4333 | 2894 | 4620 |
|  |  |  | Total | 8645 | 8688 | 7294 | 8082 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 6938 | 6994 | 5789 | 5277 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 5228 | 5298 | 4383 | 5689 |
|  |  |  | Total | 12166 | 12292 | 10171 | 10966 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 15449 | 15503 | 14600 | 11945 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 14910 | 14965 | 13849 | 16690 |
|  |  |  | Total | 30359 | 30468 | 28449 | 28634 |

Appendix 2 continued: Estimated number of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.


Appendix 2 continued: Estimated number of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.

| Location | Species | Season | Day type | Estimated no. harvested | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mill Bay | Pipi | Summer | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 3916 | 3899 | 477 | 9057 |
|  |  |  | Total | 3916 | 3899 | 477 | 9057 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 3916 | 3899 | 477 | 9057 |
|  |  |  | Total | 3916 | 3899 | 477 | 9057 |
| Mill Bay | Scallops | Summer | Weekend | 1593 | 1647 | 1616 | 1620 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 890 | 907 | 740 | 572 |
|  |  |  | Total | 2483 | 2554 | 2355 | 2192 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total | 0 | 0 | - | - |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 393 | 398 | 231 | 57 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 37 | 37 | 28 | 48 |
|  |  |  | Total | 431 | 436 | 259 | 104 |
|  | - | Spring | Weekend | 358 | 376 | 373 | 282 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 74 | 83 | 108 | 97 |
|  |  |  | Total | 432 | 459 | 482 | 379 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 2344 | 2421 | 2220 | 1959 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 1001 | 1027 | 876 | 717 |
|  |  |  | Total | 3345 | 3448 | 3096 | 2676 |

Appendix 2 continued: Estimated number of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach, Mill Bay and Okoromai Bay by season and day type.

| Location | Species | Season | Day type | Estimated no. harvested | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upper } \\ & \text { C.I. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Okoromai | Cockles | Summer | Weekend | 444368 | 445732 | 266897 | 686374 |
| Bay |  |  | Weekday | 126483 | 128625 | 40360 | 265216 |
|  |  |  | Total | 570851 | 574357 | 350445 | 825913 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 45808 | 46181 | 16165 | 83465 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 1912 | 1862 | 0 | 5736 |
|  |  |  | Total | 47720 | 48044 | 17594 | 86496 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 118170 | 117409 | 63691 | 174352 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 49487 | 49424 | 9950 | 99812 |
|  |  |  | Total | 167657 | 166833 | 96303 | 242037 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 218954 | 220372 | 139332 | 306493 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 64443 | 64621 | 13155 | 148920 |
|  |  |  | Total | 283397 | 284992 | 184804 | 406107 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 827300 | 829694 | 608146 | 1099336 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 242325 | 244532 | 114760 | 417753 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1069625 | 1074226 | 811788 | 1360850 |

Appendix 3: Estimated weight (kg) and number of key species harvested at Cornwallis Beach as defined in the intertidal survey (Morrison et al. 1999) by season and day

| Location | Species | Season | Day type | Estimated harvest (kg) | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwallis | Cockles | Summer | Weekend | 645 | 694 | 420 | 1032 |
| Beach |  |  | Weekday | 535 | 592 | 127 | 1195 |
|  |  |  | Total | 1181 | 1285 | 727 | 1962 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 692 | 749 | 342 | 1264 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 106 | 115 | 0 | 301 |
|  |  |  | Total | 798 | 864 | 425 | 1407 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 215 | 239 | 86 | 438 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 82 | 87 | 6 | 187 |
|  |  |  | Total | 297 | 326 | 148 | 542 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 228 | 251 | 129 | 408 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 335 | 357 | 148 | 619 |
|  |  |  | Total | 564 | 608 | 352 | 901 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 1780 | 1933 | 1374 | 2580 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 1059 | 1151 | 598 | 1814 |
|  |  |  | Total | 2839 | 3084 | 2262 | 3973 |


| Location | Species | Season | Day type | Estimated no. harvested | Bootstrap mean | Lower C.I. | Upper C.I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cornwallis | Cockles | Summer | Weekend | 50267 | 54387 | 33432 | 80818 |
| Beach |  |  | Weekday | 47734 | 51209 | 9611 | 103855 |
|  |  |  | Total | 98001 | 105596 | 59201 | 165797 |
|  |  | Autumn | Weekend | 65216 | 70422 | 30270 | 118915 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 8074 | 7159 | 0 | 17607 |
|  |  |  | Total | 73290 | 77580 | 35885 | 129085 |
|  |  | Winter | Weekend | 20385 | 22530 | 8798 | 39909 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 8466 | 9047 | 601 | 19087 |
|  |  |  | Total | 28852 | 31577 | 14793 | 51568 |
|  |  | Spring | Weekend | 15451 | 16865 | 8924 | 27420 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 31682 | 33887 | 13380 | 57017 |
|  |  |  | Total | 47133 | 50752 | 27563 | 76384 |
|  |  | Annual | Weekend | 151319 | 164204 | 115680 | 222182 |
|  |  |  | Weekday | 95956 | 101302 | 51924 | 163899 |
|  |  |  | Total | 247275 | 265505 | 197683 | 345071 |

## Appendix 4: Forms used in the survey.

## SHELLFISH HARVEST SURVEY FORM

SESSION INFORMATION
Page .......of.

Interview location: $\qquad$ Interviewer name:


Environmental data:


Fisher count data:

| Hour | Strata No. | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | 1 | 1 |
| $1+1$ | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 |  |
| 111 | 1 | 1 |
| 1.1 | 1 | 11 |
| $1+1$ | 1 | 11 |
| 111 | 1 | 11 |
| 11 | 1 | 11 |



| Hour | Strata |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Count |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |



Appendix 4 continued: Forms used in the survey.
SHELLFISH HARVEST SURVEY FORM
INTERVIEW INFORMATION Page ......of.


| Group No. | No. of Fishers | Strata No. | Time of intercept | Intercep outcome | Target species | Time start (24 hour) | Time finish (24 hour) | Species | Estimated Weight | Agreed <br> Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - | L | 1 | 1 |  |  | 111 | 1.1 |  | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 111 |  | 11 | 1.1 | 1 \| _ |  | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 111 |  | 11 | 111 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 |  | 11 | 11 | 1 | 1.1 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 11 | $1 \times 1$ | 1 _ |  | 1 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 111 |  | 1 | 111 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 |
| $\perp$ | 1 | 1 | $1 \quad 1$ |  |  | $1+1$ | 1 _ | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| Group <br> No. | Species | Max <br> Length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1 | 11 | 1 |
| 1.1 | 11 | 1 |
| 1 | 11 | 11 |
| 11 | 1 | 11 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 1.1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 11 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 11 | 11 | 1 |
| 1.1 | 11 | 11 |
| 11 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| $1 \div 1$ | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 1 |
| $1-1$ | 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 1 | 11 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1. |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 1 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 1.1 | 1.1 | 11 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 1.1 | 1 | 1 |


| Group <br> No. | Species | Max <br> Length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 1.1 | 11 |
| 11 | 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 11 | 11 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 1.1 | 1.1 | 11 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 11 | 1 | 11 |
| 11 | 1 | 11 |
| 11 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 11 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 11 |
| 1 | 1.1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1.1 | 11 |
| 1.1 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 |  | 1. |
| 1 | 11 | 11 |
| 1 | 11 | 11 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |


| Group No. | Species | Max Length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1 | 11 | 1.1 |
| 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.1 |
| 1 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 1 | 1 | 11 |
| 1.1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1. |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 11 | 11 |
| 1.1 | 1.1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1.1 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 11 | 1 |
| 1 | 11 | 11 |
| 1. | 1.1 | 1 |

