SPINY DOGFISH (SPD)


## 1. FISHERY SUMMARY

### 1.1 Commercial fisheries

Spiny dogfish are found throughout the southern half of New Zealand, extending to East Cape and Manakau Harbour on the east and west coasts of the North Island respectively. A related species, the northern spiny dogfish (Squalus mitsukurii), is mainly restricted to North Island waters, overlapping with its conspecific in the central west coast area and around the Chatham Islands. Although they have different species codes for reporting purposes it is probable that some misidentification and misreporting occurs - particularly in FMAs 1, 8 and 9.

The best estimate of reported catch from the fishery is shown in the final column in Table 1. For the period 1980-81 to 1986-87 the best estimate of landings is the sum of the FSU data. For the period 1987-88 to 1996-97 this is the sum of the LFRR and the discards from the CELR and CLR. It has been assumed here that all the fish which have been caught and discarded will die, and that all the discarded fish have been recorded. Although neither assumption is likely to be true, and the biases they produce will at least partially cancel each other out, it is likely that the true level of discards is considerably higher. However, these figures are currently the best estimates of total removals from the fishery.

Before 1980-81 landings of rig and both Squalus species were included together and catches of the latter were probably small. Since then the reported catch of spiny dogfish has fluctuated between about 3000 and 7000 t . The reported catch by the deepwater fleet has remained fairly constant during most of the period, averaging 2000-4000 t , with a slight decrease in recent years. Reported catch by the inshore fleet has shown a steady increase throughout the period and is now at a similar level to the catch from the deepwater fleet.

Most of the spiny dogfish caught by the deepwater fleet are taken as a bycatch in the jack mackerel, barracouta, hoki, red cod, and arrow squid fisheries, in depths from 100 to 500 m . Some are packed whole but most are trunked and exported to markets in Asia and Europe.

Table 1: Reported catches of spiny dogfish (t) by fishing year. FSU (Fisheries Statistics Unit), LFRR (Licensed Fish Receiver Return). Discards reported from CELR (Catch Effort Landing Return), and CLR (Catch Landing Return). Numbers in brackets are probably underestimates. (- no data).

|  | FSU |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Inshore | Deepwater <br> (196) | LFRR | Discards | Best <br> Estimate |
| 1980-81 | - | - | - | 196 |  |
| $1981-82$ | - | 1881 | - | - | 1881 |
| $1982-83$ | $(107)$ | 2568 | - | - | 2675 |
| $1983-84$ | 309 | 2949 | - | - | 3258 |
| $1984-85$ | 303 | 3266 | - | - | 3569 |
| $1985-86$ | 311 | 2802 | - | - | 3113 |
| $1986-87$ | 870 | 2277 | 2608 | - | 3147 |
| $1987-88$ | 834 | 3877 | 4823 | - | 4823 |
| $1988-89$ | $(351)$ | $(500)$ | 3573 | $(16)$ | 3589 |
| $1989-90$ | $(14)$ | 0 | 2952 | 321 | 3273 |
| $1990-91$ | - | - | 5983 | 333 | 6316 |
| $1991-92$ | - | - | 3274 | 521 | 3795 |
| $1992-93$ | - | - | 4157 | 616 | 4773 |
| $1993-94$ | - | - | 6150 | 1063 | 7213 |
| $1994-95$ | - | - | 4793 | 628 | 5421 |
| $1995-96$ | - | - | 6230 | 1920 | 8150 |
| $1996-97$ | - | - | 4887 | 2572 | 7459 |

Spiny dogfish are also taken as bycatch by inshore trawlers, setnetters and longliners targeting flatfish, snapper, tarakihi and gurnard. Because of processing problems due to their spines, sandpaper-like skin, and short shelf life, and their low economic value, many inshore fishers are not interested in processing and landing them. Furthermore, because of their sheer abundance they can at times severely hamper fishing operations for other commercial species and they are regarded by many fishers as a major nuisance. Trawlers working off Otago during the summer months often reduce towing times and headline heights, and at times leave the area altogether to avoid having to spend hours pulling hundreds of meshed dogfish out of trawl nets. Setnetters and longliners off the Otago coast, and in Tasman Bay and the south Taranaki Bight have also complained about spiny dogfish taking longline baits, attacking commercial fish caught in the nets or lines, and rolling up nets.

The catch by FMA from the FSU, CELR and CLR databases is shown in Table 2. Large catches have been made from FMAs 3, 5, 6, and 7 since 1982-83. Catches from FMA 4 have increased substantially since the mid-1990s. Landings from FMA 5 and 6 were most important in the early 1980s, with 10002000 t taken annually by factory trawlers. In more recent years FMA 3, and to a lesser extent, FMA 7 have become more important. The catch in both these areas is taken equally by factory trawlers and inshore fleets. The catch in FMA 1 is unlikely to be spiny dogfish which is considered to be virtually absent from the area, and so these catches should probably be attributed to S. mitsukurii.

Competitive quotas of 4075 t for FMA 3, and of 3600 t for FMAs 5 and 6, were introduced for the first time in the 1992-93 fishing year. These quotas were based on yields derived from trawl surveys using a method that is now considered obsolete, and harvest levels which are now considered unreliable. The reported catches exceeded the FMA 3 quota in 1997-98, 2000-01 and 2001-02 and the FMA 5/6 quota in 2001-02.

Spiny dogfish was introduced into the QMS in October 2004. Catches and TACCs are shown in Table 3, while Figure 1 depicts historical landings and TACC values for the main SPD stocks.

Prior to their introduction into the QMS spiny dogfish were legally discarded at sea (provided that total catch was reported). Although discard rates increased dramatically through the 1990s (Table 4), this is believed to reflect a change in reporting practise rather than an increase in the proportion of catch discarded.

Table 2: Reported landings of spiny dogfish by FMA. Proportions by area have been taken from CELR and CLR and pro-rated to the best estimate from Table 1. Competitive quotas of $4075 \mathbf{t}$ for FMA 3, and of $3600 \mathbf{t}$ for FMAs 5 and 6, were introduced for the first time in the 1992-93 fishing year.

| Year | FMA 1 | FMA 2 | FMA 3 | FMA 4 | FMA 5 | FMA 6 | FMA 7 | FMA 8 | FMA 9 | FMA 10 | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982-83 | 4 | 0 | 151 | 131 | 2089 | 81 | 145 | 66 | 7 |  |  | 2675 |
| 1983-84 | 22 | 18 | 409 | 347 | 565 | 1700 | 119 | 63 | 16 |  |  | 3258 |
| 1984-85 | 21 | 12 | 557 | 481 | 451 | 1899 | 90 | 48 | 10 |  |  | 3569 |
| 1985-86 | 13 | 11 | 892 | 411 | 537 | 1017 | 120 | 92 | 20 |  |  | 3113 |
| 1986-87 | 64 | 18 | 1048 | 162 | 1002 | 29 | 501 | 296 | 27 |  |  | 3147 |
| 1987-88 | 50 | 9 | 1664 | 172 | 642 | 16 | 1402 | 841 | 27 |  |  | 4823 |
| 1988-89 | 341 | 16 | 1510 | 168 | 771 | 7 | 633 | 132 | 11 |  |  | 3589 |
| 1989-90 | 36 | 14 | 2243 | 136 | 241 | 2 | 521 | 80 | 0 |  |  | 3273 |
| 1990-91 | 129 | 14 | 2987 | 513 | 1708 | 14 | 883 | 67 | 0 |  |  | 6316 |
| 1991-92 | 54 | 23 | 1801 | 66 | 538 | 33 | 1031 | 249 | 0 |  |  | 3795 |
| 1992-93 | 50 | 9 | 2128 | 218 | 817 | 22 | 1163 | 366 | 0 |  |  | 4773 |
| 1993-94 | 51 | 34 | 3165 | 358 | 1158 | 21 | 2212 | 214 | 0 |  |  | 7213 |
| 1994-95 | 84 | 47 | 2883 | 363 | 606 | 37 | 1205 | 196 | 0 |  |  | 5421 |
| 1995-96 | 68 | 177 | 2558 | 969 | 1147 | 152 | 1205 | 186 | 15 |  |  | 7052 |
| 1996-97 | 30 | 159 | 2428 | 1287 | 764 | 120 | 1517 | 235 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 6555 |
| 1997-98 | 52 | 165 | 5042 | 917 | 428 | 223 | 2389 | 1172 | 34 | 0 | 11 | 10433 |
| 1998-99 | 45 | 488 | 3148 | 1048 | 1996 | 154 | 1902 | 74 | <1 | 0 | <1 | 8424 |
| 1999-00 | 15 | 328 | 3309 | 994 | 1163 | 189 | 1505 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 7540 |
| 2000-01 | 38 | 336 | 4355 | 1075 | 1389 | 212 | 1310 | 54 | 16 | 0 | 28 | 8811 |
| 2001-02 | 12 | 222 | 4249 | 1788 | 3734 | 487 | 961 | 71 | 12 | 0 | - | 11530 |
| 2002-03 | 10 | 245 | 3553 | 1010 | 2621 | 413 | 772 | 85 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 8727 |
| 2003-04 | 12 | 91 | 2077 | 516 | 1032 | 302 | 423 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4477 |

Table 3: Reported domestic landings (t) of spiny dogfish by Fishstock and TACC from 2004-05 to 2012-13.

| Fishstock | SPD 1 |  | SPD 3 |  | SPD 4 |  | SPD 5 |  | SPD 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FMA |  | 1\&2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5\&6 |  | 7 |
|  | Landings | TACC | Landings | TACC | Landings | TACC | Landings | TACC | Landings | TACC |
| 2004-05 | 234 | 331 | 2707 | 4794 | 839 | 1626 | 2479 | 3700 | 842 | 1902 |
| 2005-06 | 186 | 331 | 3831 | 4794 | 1055 | 1626 | 2298 | 3700 | 832 | 1902 |
| 2006-07 | 239 | 331 | 2712 | 4794 | 822 | 1626 | 2165 | 3700 | 1125 | 1902 |
| 2007-08 | 156 | 331 | 2082 | 4794 | 1397 | 1626 | 1501 | 3700 | 928 | 1902 |
| 2008-09 | 229 | 331 | 1981 | 4794 | 866 | 1626 | 2071 | 3700 | 929 | 1902 |
| 2009-10 | 128 | 331 | 1855 | 4794 | 667 | 1626 | 2205 | 3700 | 1116 | 1902 |
| 2010-11 | 176 | 331 | 1976 | 4794 | 825 | 1626 | 1443 | 3700 | 1436 | 1902 |
| 2011-12 | 187 | 331 | 1607 | 4794 | 740 | 1626 | 1390 | 3700 | 1704 | 1902 |
| 2012-13 | 193 | 331 | 1302 | 4794 | 442 | 1626 | 1547 | 3700 | 1298 | 1902 |
| Fishstock |  | SPD 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FMA |  | 8\&9 |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Landings | TACC | Landings | TACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004-05 | 121 | 307 | 7222 | 12660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 108 | 307 | 8311 | 12660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006-07 | 118 | 307 | 7181 | 12660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007-08 | 124 | 307 | 6188 | 12660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008-09 | 150 | 307 | 6226 | 12660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009-10 | 194 | 307 | 6166 | 12660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 | 221 | 307 | 6077 | 12660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011-12 | 252 | 307 | 5880 | 12660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012-13 | 182 | 307 | 4965 | 12660 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Discard rates (\% of catch) by FMA and fishing year (after Manning et al 2004).

| Fishing year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Other | Average |
| $1989-90$ | 11 | 17 | 18 | 4 | 46 | 100 | 13 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| $1990-91$ | 7 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 29 | 11 | 21 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| $1991-92$ | 9 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 34 | 90 | 42 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| $1992-93$ | 13 | 47 | 5 | 51 | 39 | 43 | 20 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| $1993-94$ | 5 | 65 | 13 | 42 | 21 | 34 | 29 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| $1994-95$ | 2 | 52 | 8 | 31 | 20 | 74 | 29 | 64 | 98 | 0 | 5 | 19 |
| $1995-96$ | 7 | 39 | 18 | 55 | 39 | 94 | 45 | 72 | 100 | 0 | 11 | 36 |
| $1996-97$ | 15 | 61 | 26 | 40 | 70 | 68 | 59 | 89 | 93 | 0 | 16 | 44 |
| $1997-98$ | 53 | 83 | 51 | 53 | 72 | 86 | 81 | 92 | 100 | 0 | 16 | 64 |
| $1998-99$ | 20 | 92 | 57 | 60 | 29 | 78 | 82 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 58 |
| $1999-00$ | 9 | 86 | 60 | 55 | 39 | 68 | 81 | 84 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| $2000-01$ | 37 | 70 | 60 | 77 | 57 | 77 | 72 | 56 | 29 | 0 | 87 | 64 |
| Average | 15 | 74 | 35 | 53 | 42 | 78 | 54 | 68 | 78 | 0 | 16 | 45 |



Figure 1: Historical landings and TACCs for the six main SPD stocks. From top to bottom: SPD 1 (Auckland East, Central East), SPD 3 (South East Coast), SPD 4 (South East Chatham Rise), SPD 5 (Sub-Antarctic, Southland), SPD 7 (Challenger), and SPD 8 (Central Egmont, Auckland West).

### 1.2 Recreational fisheries

Spiny dogfish are caught by recreational fishers throughout their geographical range in New Zealand. They are mainly taken as bycatch when targeting other more valued species using rod and line and setnet. In many
parts of New Zealand, spiny dogfish are regarded by recreational anglers as a pest, often clogging nets and taking baits from hooks. Estimates of recreational landings obtained from three surveys in 1991-92 to 199394, 1996 and 1999-00 are given in Table 5. Overall, recreational landings probably comprise only a small proportion (less than $10 \%$ ) of the total spiny dogfish catch.

Table 5: Estimated number and weight of spiny dogfish harvested by recreational fishers by Fishstock and survey. Surveys were carried out in different years in the MAF Fisheries regions: South in 1991-92, Central in 199293, North in 1993-94 (Teirney et al 1997) and nationally in 1996 (Bradford 1998) and 1999-00 (Boyd \& Reilly 2005). Survey harvests are presented as a range to reflect the uncertainty in the estimates.

| Fishstock | Survey | Number | CV\% | Harvest Range (t) | Point estimate (t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991-92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FMA 3 | South |  | 23 |  | 120 |
| FMA 5 | South |  | - |  | 2 |
| FMA 7 | South |  | 92 |  | 11 |
| 1992-93 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FMA 2 | Central |  | 42 |  | 133 |
| FMA 7 | Central |  | 35 |  | 46 |
| FMA 8 | Central |  | 45 |  | 143 |
| 1993-94 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FMA 1,9 | North |  | - |  | $<10$ |
| 1996 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FMA 1 | National | 1000 | - | - | - |
| FMA 2 | National | 5000 | - | - | - |
| FMA 3 | National | 21000 | 17 | 25-40 | 33 |
| FMA 5 | National | 9000 | - | - | - |
| FMA 7 | National | 24000 | 21 | 30-45 | 37 |
| FMA 9 | National | 15000 | - | - | - |
| 1999-00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FMA 1 | National | 9000 | 61 | 4.4-17.9 | 11 |
| FMA 2 | National | 22000 | 37 | 17.3-37.8 | 28 |
| FMA 3 | National | 93000 | 27 | 83.2-145.9 | 115 |
| FMA 5 | National | 7000 | 47 | 4.4-12.3 | 8 |
| FMA 7 | National | 25000 | 35 | 20.4-41.9 | 31 |
| FMA 8 | National | 21000 | 52 | 12.7-40.3 | 27 |
| FMA 9 | National | 12000 | 82 | 2.7-26.2 | 14 |

The Recreational Technical Working Group concluded that the harvest estimates from the diary surveys should be used only with the following qualifications: a) they may be very inaccurate; b) the 1996 and earlier surveys contain a methodological error; and c) the 2000 and 2001 estimates are implausibly high for many important fisheries.

### 1.3 Customary non-commercial fisheries

Maori fishers traditionally caught large numbers of "dogfish" and this included rig, school shark, and spiny dogfish. Quantitative information on the current level of customary non-commercial fisheries take is not available.

### 1.4 Illegal catch

It is unlikely that there is an illegal catch of spiny dogfish as the quota for this species has never been reached, and it has low commercial value.

### 1.5 Other sources of mortality

It is likely that there is a large amount of spiny dogfish discarded by fishers which is never reported on the returns. The level of mortality and any temporal trends from non-reported discards have not been estimated. The introduction of cost recovery charges in 1994-95 may account for the decline in reported discards in that year.

## SPINY DOGFISH (SPD)

## 2. BIOLOGY

Spiny dogfish are widely distributed around the South Island and extend as far north as Manakau Harbour and East Cape on the west and east coasts of the North Island respectively. They are most abundant on the east coast of the South Island and the Stewart/Snares Shelf. They are found on the continental shelf and upper slope down to a depth of at least 500 m , but are most common in depths of $50-150 \mathrm{~m}$. Schools are strongly segregated by size and sex. The size of fish in the commercial fishery is not known but will depend to a large extent on the method of capture and the area fished.

Spiny dogfish are born at a size of $18-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ total length (TL). They have been aged using fin spines, and early growth has been validated by following modes in length-frequency and eye lens weight frequency data. Males mature at 58 cm TL at age 6, and females mature at 73 cm TL at age 10. The maximum ages and lengths in a study of east coast South Island dogfish were 21 years and 90 cm TL for males, and 26 years and 111 cm TL for females.
$M$ was estimated using the equation $\log _{\mathrm{e}} 100 /$ maximum age, where maximum age is the age to which $1 \%$ of the population survive in an unexploited stock. Using a maximum age of 26 gave an estimate of $M$ of 0.18 . This has been revised up to 0.2 to reflect the imprecision with which this estimate is known. A similar estimate of $M$ was obtained using a survivorship table approach (Hanchet 1986). At an instantaneous mortality rate of 0.2 year $^{-1}$ an initial population of 1000 females would replace themselves over their lifespan (given their length-at-age, length-at-maturity and fecundity-length relationships).

Female spiny dogfish give birth to young over an extended period between April and September, mainly on the shelf edge in depths of 200-300 m. Mating also occurs in deeper water (coincident with a movement of mature males offshore), after which females with young "candled" embryos move into shallower waters of 100 m or less. They remain there for 12 months until the embryos are 15 cm long after which they return to deeper water. Parturition occurs after a gestation period approaching 24 months, and is closely followed by mating and ovulation and the biennial cycle is repeated. Both the number and the size of the young increase linearly with the length of the mother. The number of young per litter ranges from 1 to 19.

Young of the year move inshore into shallower waters shortly after birth. Over the next few years they move steadily into deeper water but remain in size segregated schools comprising up to 2 or 3 age classes. Once maturity is reached both males and females undergo inshore/offshore migrations associated with reproductive activity. A north/south migration along the east coast South Island during autumn/spring has also been postulated but the full extent of this migration is unknown.

Spiny dogfish are found both on the bottom and in mid-water and feed on a very wide range of species, including Munida, krill, fish, squid, and crabs.

Biological parameters relevant to the stock assessment are shown in Table 6.

## 3. STOCKS AND AREAS

No specific research on the stock structure of spiny dogfish has been carried out. Limited tagging has been conducted, so the only available data come from seasonal trawl surveys, and fisheries landings data.

The analysis of W.J. Scott and James Cook surveys carried out from 1978 to 1983 clearly showed seasonal migrations of spiny dogfish along the east coast of South Island (ECSI). Spiny dogfish were most abundant in the southern part of the coast from October to April, and more abundant to the north in May to September. It is also clear from summer trawl surveys of the area that there is a resident part of the population of spiny dogfish on the Stewart/Snares Shelf over the summer months. However, there have been
no comparable series of seasonal surveys there and so it is presently unclear whether the East Coast South Island (ECSI) fish migrate south as far as the Stewart/Snares Shelf. Until more data become available fish from the two areas should be treated as separate stocks.

Table 6: Estimates of biological parameters of spiny dogfish for QMA 3 (Hanchet 1986).

| 1. Natural mortality ( $M$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{\text { 2. Weight }=\mathrm{a}(\text { length })^{\mathrm{b}}(\text { Weight in g, length in } \mathrm{cm} \text { fork length }) ~}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | a | b |  | a | b |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0027 | 3.05 |  | 0.0013 | 3.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. von Bertalanffy growth parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Males |  |  | males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | K | $t_{0}$ | $L_{\infty}$ | K | $t_{0}$ | $L_{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.116 | -2.88 | 89.5 | 0.069 | -3.45 | 120.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Maturity ogive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | > | 12 |
| Males | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.68 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Females | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.52 | 0.75 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |

Seasonal trawl surveys were also carried out on West Coast South Island (WCSI) between June 1981 and April 1983 using the W.J. Scott. The catches showed a strong seasonal component being highest in summer and autumn and lowest in winter and spring. It is likely that some fish migrate north in winter, perhaps to the northern and southern Taranaki Bights, and Tasman Bay and Golden Bay. However, it is also clear from summer trawl surveys of the areas that there is a resident part of the population of spiny dogfish in the Taranaki Bights over the summer months. It may therefore be appropriate to treat fish from FMAs 7 and 8 as a single stock.

There is little commercial catch in FMAs 1, 2, 4, and 9, and little data on movement in or between the areas. Until more data have been obtained it would seem appropriate to manage spiny dogfish with the following five Fishstocks:

SPD 1: FMAs 1 \& 2
SPD 3: FMA 3
SPD 4: FMA 4
SPD 5: FMAs 5 \& 6
SPD 7: FMAs 7, 8 \& 9

## 4. STOCK ASSESSMENT

There are no estimates of current or virgin biomass.

### 4.1 Estimates of fishery parameters and abundance

Biomass indices of spiny dogfish from recent trawl surveys using Tangaroa and Kaharoa are summarised in Table 7 and Figure 2. Based on a combination of CVs, variability in biomass indices and the time span of each series, it is concluded that surveys only provide reliable indices of dogfish abundance off the west coast of the South Island and on the Chatham Rise. Relative biomass indices suggest that spiny dogfish became more abundant on the Chatham Rise during the early to mid 1990s. Apart from a temporary increase during the mid-1990s, the abundance of dogfish off the west coast of South Island appears to have been fairly stable between 1991 and 2003. Although the relevant surveys were discontinued, spiny dogfish appear also to have increased substantially in abundance off the east coast of the South Island and on the Stewart-Snares shelf in the mid 1990s.

### 4.2 Biomass estimates

Spiny dogfish biomass in the core strata ( $30-400 \mathrm{~m}$ ) for the east coast South Island trawl survey increased markedly in 1996 and although it fluctuated since then it has remained high with 2012 biomass $11 \%$ above
the post-1994 average of 31978 t (Figures 3 and 4). The post 1994 average biomass is about 2.5 fold greater than that of the early 1990s, indicating that the large increase in biomass between 1994 and 1996 has been sustained. Pre-recruited biomass was a small component of the total biomass estimate in the 1992 to 1994 surveys at $1-3 \%$ of total biomass, but since 1996 it has ranged from 7 to $16 \%$, and in 2012 it was $11 \%$. This is also reflected in the biomass of juvenile spiny dogfish (based on the length-at-50\% maturity) which increased markedly from about $14 \%$ of total biomass before 1996, to between 33 and $57 \%$ in the last five surveys.

The additional spiny dogfish biomass captured in the $10-30 \mathrm{~m}$ depth range accounted for $5 \%$ and $8 \%$ of the biomass in the core plus shallow strata ( $10-400 \mathrm{~m}$ ) for 2007 and 2012 respectively, indicating that in terms of biomass, it may be useful to monitor the shallow strata for spiny dogfish. Further, the addition of the $10-30 \mathrm{~m}$ depth range may be important for monitoring the small fish, as was evident in 2012.

The distribution of spiny dogfish hotspots varies, but overall this species is consistently well represented over the entire survey area, most commonly from 30 m to about 350 m .

### 4.3 Length frequency distributions

The size distributions of spiny dogfish in the 1992 to 1994 core strata ( $30-400 \mathrm{~m}$ ) for the east coast South Island trawl survey are similar and generally bimodal for males, and less defined for females (Figure 5). From 1996 onwards the length distributions were dominated by smaller fish, particularly females, where the proportions of large fish have declined. In 2009 and 2012, unlike previous years, there were signs of a strong juvenile cohort recruiting to the population. Spiny dogfish on the ECSI sampled on these surveys are considerably smaller than those from the Chatham Rise (Figure 6), Southland, and the Sub-Antarctic surveys suggesting that this area may be an important nursery ground for juvenile spiny dogfish.


Figure 2: Spiny dogfish biomass $\pm 95 \%$ CI (estimated from survey CVs assuming a lognormal distribution) and the time series mean (dotted line) estimated from the Chatham Rise (Top) and West Coast South Island (Middle) trawl survey.


Figure 3: Spiny dogfish total biomass and 95\% confidence intervals for the all ECSI winter surveys in core strata ( $\mathbf{3 0}-\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathrm{m}$ ), and core plus shallow strata ( $\mathbf{1 0 - 4 0 0} \mathrm{m}$ ) in 2007 and 2012.


Figure 4: Spiny dogfish juvenile and adult biomass for ECSI winter surveys in core strata ( $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{- 4 0 0} \mathbf{m}$ ), where juvenile is below and adult is equal to or above length at which $50 \%$ of fish are mature.

Table 7: Relative biomass indices ( $t$ ) and coefficients of variation (CV) for spiny dogfish for east coast North Island (ECNI), east coast South Island (ECSI) - summer and winter, Chatham Rise, Stewart-Snares Shelf, Sub-Antarctic, west coast South Island (WCSI) and west coast North Island (WCNI) survey areas*. Biomass estimates for ECSI in 1991 have been adjusted to allow for non-sampled strata ( $7 \& 9$ equivalent to current strata 13,16 and 17). The sum of pre-recruit and recruited biomass values do not always match the total biomass for the earlier surveys because at several stations length frequencies were not measured, affecting the biomass calculations for length intervals. - , not measured; NA, not applicable. Recruited is defined as the size-at-recruitment to the fishery ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ c m}$ ).

 winter, Chatham Rise, Stewart-Snares Shelf, Sub-Antarctic, west coast South Island (WCSI) and west coast North Island (WCNI) survey areas*. Biomass estimates for ECSI in 1991 have been adjusted to allow for non-sampled strata ( $7 \& 9$ equivalent to current strata 13,16 and 17). The sum of pre-recruit and recruited biomass values do not always match the total biomass for the earlier surveys because at several stations length frequencies were not measured, affecting the biomass calculations for length intervals. - , not measured; NA, not applicable. Recruited is defined as the size-at-recruitment to the fishery ( 50 cm ).

| Region | Fishstock | Year | Trip number | Total <br> Biomass estimate | CV (\%) | Total Biomass estimate | CV (\%) | Prerecruit | CV (\%) | Pre- recruit | CV (\%) | Recruited | CV (\%) | Recruited | CV (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chatham Rise | SPD 4 | 2002-03 | TAN0301 | 2220 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2004 | TAN0401 | 3449 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2005 | TAN0501 | 7227 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2006 | TAN0601 | 5650 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2007 | TAN0701 | 5906 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2008 | TAN0801 | 15674 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2009 | TAN0901 | 5548 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2010 | TAN1001 | 6698 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2011 | TAN1101 | 7794 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2012 | TAN1201 | 5438 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2013 | TAN1301 | 6884 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stewart-Snares | SPD 5 | 1993 | TAN9301 | 35776 | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Shelf |  | 1994 | TAN9402 | 29765 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1995 | TAN9502 | 22842 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1996 | TAN9604 | 49832 | 37 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Antarctic | SPD 6 | 1991 | TAN9105 | 8502 | 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Spring) |  | 1992 | TAN9211 | 1150 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1993 | TAN9310 | 1585 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2000 | TAN0012 | 4173 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2001 | TAN0118 | 8528 | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2002 | TAN0219 | 3505 | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2003 | TAN0317 | 2317 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2004 | TAN0414 | 3378 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2005 | TAN0515 | 4344 | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2006 | TAN0617 | 3039 | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sub-Antarctic | SPD 6 | 1992 | TAN9204 | 926 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Autumn) |  | 1993 | TAN9304 | 440 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1996 | TAN9605 | 207 | 56 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1998 | TAN9805 | 1532 | 36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WCSI | SPD 7 | 1992 | KAH9204 | 3919 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

 between different seasons (e.g., summer and winter ECSI) are not strictly valid.
 winter, Chatham Rise, Stewart-Snares Shelf, Sub-Antarctic, west coast South Island (WCSI) and west coast North Island (WCNI) survey areas*. Biomass estimates for ECSI in 1991 have been adjusted to allow for non-sampled strata ( $7 \boldsymbol{\&} 9$ equivalent to current strata 13, 16 and 17). The sum of pre-recruit and recruited biomass values do not always match the total biomass for the earlier surveys because at several stations length frequencies were not measured, affecting the biomass calculations for length intervals. - , not measured; NA, not applicable. Recruited is defined as the size-at-recruitment to the fishery ( 50 cm ).

| Region | Fishstock | Year | Trip number | Total <br> Biomass <br> estimate | CV (\%) | Total <br> Biomass estimate | CV (\%) | Prerecruit | CV (\%) | Prerecruit | CV (\%) | Recruited | CV (\%) | Recruited | CV (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WCSI | SPD 7 | 1994 | KAH9404 | 7145 | 7 | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1995 | KAH9504 | 8370 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1997 | KAH9701 | 5275 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2000 | KAH0004 | 4777 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2003 | KAH0304 | 4446 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2005 | KAH0503 | 6175 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2007 | KAH0704 | 6219 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2009 | KAH0904 | 10270 | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 2010 | KAH1004 | 6402 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WCNI | SPD 9 | 1991 | KAH9111 | 443* | 34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1994 | KAH9410 | 381* | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1996 | KAH9615 | 634* | 68 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1999 | KAH9915 | 106* | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

 between different seasons (e.g., summer and winter ECSI) are not strictly valid.


Figure 5: Scaled length frequency distributions for spiny dogfish in core strata ( $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{- 4 0 0} \mathbf{~ m}$ ) for all nine of the ECSI winter surveys. The length distribution is also shown in the 10-30 m depth strata for the 2007 and 2012 surveys overlayed (not stacked) in light grey. Population estimates are for the core strata only, in thousands of fish


Figure 6: Scaled length frequency distributions for spiny dogfish, for Chatham Rise surveys. M, males and F, females, (CV) (Stevens et al 2011). [Continued on next page].


Figure 6 [Continued]: Scaled length frequency distributions for spiny dogfish, for Chatham Rise surveys. M, males and $F$, females, (CV) (Stevens et al 2011). [Continued on next page].


Figure 6 [Continued]: Scaled length frequency distributions for spiny dogfish, for Chatham Rise surveys. M, males and F, females, (CV) (Stevens et al 2011). [Continued on next page].


Figure 6 [Continued].

Manning et al (2004) evaluated the usefulness of commercial CPUE, commercial length composition, trawl survey relative biomass estimates and trawl-survey-catch length-composition for monitoring all major SPD stocks (Table 8).

Table 8: Catch and effort data sets and analyses evaluated as monitoring tools for major SPD stocks.
QMA
SPD 3 - East coast South Island
SPD 4 - Chatham Rise
SPD 5 - Stewart Snares Shelf
SPD 7 - West Coast South Island

## Data set and analysis

1. Standardised setnet CPUE for core vessels targeting SPD.

Standardised setnet CPUE for core vessels targeting all species.
Standardised bottom trawl CPUE for core vessels targeting all species.
Relative abundance indices from East Coast South Island trawl surveys (discontinued after 2001)
Standardised bottom trawl CPUE for core Korean vessels
Standardised bottom trawl CPUE for core domestic vessels
Standardised bottom longline CPUE for core domestic vessels
Relative abundance indices from Chatham Rise trawl surveys.
Standardised bottom trawl CPUE.
10. Relative abundance indices from Stewart-Snares shelf surveys (discontinued after 1996)
11. Standardised bottom trawl CPUE for core vessels
12. Relative abundance indices from West coast South Island Trawl Surveys.

Based on the results of the analyses listed in Table 8, the following methods were recommended for monitoring SPD:

| QMA | Recommended Monitoring Tools |
| :--- | :--- |
| SPD 3 - East coast South Island | Standardised setnet CPUE using model 2 (core vessels targeting all species) |
| SPD 4 - Chatham Rise | Chatham Rise Trawl Survey and length composition of commercial catch |
| SPD 5 - Stewart Snares Shelf | *Standardised bottom trawl CPUE and length composition of commercial catch. |
| SPD 7 - West Coast South Island | West coast South Island Trawl survey and length composition of commercial catch |
| *Information on historical changes in reporting rates is required before this index can be used. |  |

### 4.2 Biomass estimates

Lack of suitable information has precluded estimation of virgin and current biomass for spiny dogfish. Although most of the necessary biological parameters (Hanchet 1986, 1988, Hanchet \& Ingerson 1997), relative indices of abundance and data required to estimate fishing selectivity for most important fisheries (with the exception of FMA 4 bottom longline and FMA 3 setnet fisheries) are now available, robust stock assessments will also require estimates of historical, unreported discarding and discard mortality so that an accurate history of fishery related removals can be constructed.

### 4.3 Yield estimates and projections

Estimation of Maximum Constant Yield (MCY)
MCY cannot be estimated.

## Estimation of Current Annual Yield (CAY)

CAY cannot be determined.

### 4.5 Other factors

The ability to withstand harvesting depends on the strength of a number of compensatory mechanisms. For example, under exploitation individuals may grow faster, show increased fecundity, or suffer reduced natural mortality. In elasmobranchs the number of young born is related directly to the number of adult females, and, because of the relatively large size and hence good survival of the young at birth, it is presumed that there is a strong stock recruit relationship for these species.

Several methods of estimating $M C Y$ involve the multiplication of a harvest level by an estimate of $B_{0}$ or $B_{a v}$. Francis \& Francis (1992) used Monte Carlo simulation to estimate harvest levels for calculating MCY for a rig stock. No stock-recruitment data were available for elasmobranchs at the time and so they used values for the Beverton \& Holt steepness parameter ranging from 0.35 to 0.50 , and recruitment variability of 0.4 . These values were all at the low range of values used for teleost species and which they considered appropriate for rig. The results of their simulation studies showed that the estimates of $M C Y$ obtained using the harvest levels given in the equations in the Guide to Biological Reference Points were overly optimistic for rig. Given that spiny dogfish have a slower growth rate and are less fecund than rig, it seems reasonable to assume that those harvest levels are also unsuitable for spiny dogfish.

## 5. STATUS OF THE STOCKS

No estimates of current or reference biomass are available, but trawl survey estimates of abundance are all at or above the long term average (1991-2011 for Chtaham Rise and 1992-2011 for WCSI).

Although reported commercial catches of spiny dogfish were observed to increase in all major FMAs during the 1990s, the extent to which these increases can be attributed to changes in reporting practice (i.e., more accurate reporting of discards in recent times) is uncertain. Trawl surveys, on the other hand, indicate that there was a general increase in the abundance of spiny dogfish, particularly around the South Island, in the mid 1990s.

Reported landings and TACCs for the 2012-13 fishing year are summarised in Table 9.

Table 9: Other mortality, recreational, and customary non-commercial allowances (t), Total Allowable Commercial Catches (TACC, $t$ ) and Total Allowable Catch (TAC, $t$ ), along with reported landings ( $t$ ) of SPD for the most recent fishing year.
$\left.\begin{array}{llrlrrrrr}2012-13\end{array}\right)$
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