

ORANGE ROUGHY, CHATHAM RISE AND SOUTHERN NEW ZEALAND (ORH 3B)

1. FISHERY SUMMARY

1.1 Commercial fisheries

Orange roughy are found in waters deeper than 750 m throughout Quota Management Area 3B. Historically, the main fishery has been concentrated on the Chatham Rise. Annual reported orange roughy catches in ORH 3B were mostly just over 30 000 t in the 1980s but have progressively decreased since 1989–90 because of a series of TACC reductions (Table 1).

Table 1: Annual reported catches and TACs of orange roughy from ORH 3B. (Catches from 1978–79 to 1985–86 are from Robertson and Mace 1988) and from 1986–87 to 2008–09 from Fisheries Statistics Unit and Quota Monitoring System data). ‡

Fishing year	Reported catch (t)	TAC (t)
1979–80†	11 800	–
1980–81†	31 100	–
1981–82†	28 200	23 000
1982–83*	32 605	23 000
1983–84*	32 535	30 000
1984–85	29 340	30 000
1985–86	30 075	29 865
1986–87	30 689	38 065
1987–88	24 214	38 065
1988–89	32 785	38 300
1989–90	31 669	32 787
1990–91	21 521	23 787
1991–92	23 269	23 787
1992–93	20 048	21 300
1993–94	16 960	21 300
1994–95	11 891	14 000
1995–96	12 501	12 700
1996–97	9 278	12 700
1997–98	9 638	12 700
1998–99	9 372	12 700
1999–00	8 663	12 700
2000–01	9 274	12 700
2001–02	11 325	12 700
2002–03	12 333	12 700
2003–04	11 254	12 700
2004–05	12 370	12 700
2005–06	12 554	12 700
2006–07	11 271	11 500
2007–08	10 291	10 500
2008–09	8 758	9 420

† Catches for 1979–80 to 1981–82 are for a April–March fishing year.

* Catches for 1982–83 and 1983–84 are 15 month totals to accommodate the change over from an April–March fishing year to an October–September fishing year. The TAC for the interim season, March to September 1983, was 16 125 t.

‡ Catches from 1984–85 onwards are for a 1 October – 30 September fishing year.

There have been major changes in the distribution of catch and effort over the history of this fishery (Table 2). Initially, it was confined to the Chatham Rise and, until 1982, most of the catch was taken from areas of relatively flat bottom on the northern slopes of the Rise (in the Spawning Box), between mid-June and mid-August, when the fish form large aggregations for spawning (Figure 1).

From 1983 to 1989 about one third of the catch was taken from the south and east Chatham Rise, where new fishing grounds developed on and around knolls and hill features. Much of the catch from these areas was taken outside the spawning season as the fishery extended to most months of the year.

In the early 1990s, effort within the Chatham Rise further shifted from the Spawning Box to eastern and northwestern parts of the Rise. The Spawning Box was closed to fishing from 1992–93 to 1994–95. In recent years, the catches from the main fishing grounds on the Chatham Rise have declined due to TACC reductions.

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

The early 1990s also saw the Puysegur fishery develop, followed by other fishing grounds near the Auckland Islands and on the Pukaki Rise, which is now the focus for the fishery south of the Chatham Rise.

Table 2: ORH 3B catches by area, to the nearest 100 t, and by percentage (to the nearest percent) of the total ORH 3B reported catch. Catches are equivalent to those shown in Table 1, but allocated to area using the ratio of estimated catches, and revised such that all years are from 1 October-30 September. Note that catches for the East Rise are given by the sum of Spawning Box and Rest of East Rise.

Year	Northwest Rise		South Rise		Spawning box		Rest of East Rise		Non-Chatham	
	t	%	t	%	t	%	t	%	t	%
1978-79	0	0	0	0	11 500	98	300	2	0	0
1979-80	1 200	4	800	3	27 900	90	200	4	0	0
1980-81	8 400	30	3 700	13	16 000	57	100	0	0	0
1981-82	7 000	28	500	2	16 600	67	800	3	0	0
1982-83	5 400	35	4 800	31	4 600	30	600	4	0	0
1983-84	3 300	13	5 100	21	15 000	61	1 500	6	0	0
1984-85	1 800	6	7 900	27	18 400	63	1 100	4	0	0
1985-86	3 700	12	5 300	18	17 000	56	4 100	13	0	0
1986-87	3 200	10	4 900	16	20 200	66	2 400	8	0	0
1987-88	1 600	7	6 800	28	13 500	56	2 300	10	0	0
1988-89	3 800	12	9 200	28	16 700	51	3 100	9	0	0
1989-90	3 300	10	11 000	35	16 200	51	1 100	3	200	1
1990-91	1 500	7	6 900	32	6 100	28	6 100	29	900	4
1991-92	300	1	2 200	9	1 000	4	12 000	51	7 800	34
1992-93	3 800	19	5 400	27	100	0	4 700	23	6 100	30
1993-94	3 500	21	5 100	30	0	0	4 900	29	3 500	20
1994-95	2 400	20	1 600	13	500	5	3 500	30	3 800	32
1995-96	2 400	19	1 300	10	1 600	13	2 200	17	5 000	40
1996-97	2 200	24	1 400	15	1 700	19	1 900	21	1 900	21
1997-98	2 300	23	1 700	17	2 400	24	2 200	22	1 600	16
1998-99	2 700	28	1 200	13	1 100	11	2 500	27	1 900	21
1999-00	2 100	24	1 100	13	1 500	17	3 100	36	800	9
2000-01	2 600	27	1 700	18	1 200	13	2 300	24	1 500	17
2001-02	2 200	19	1 100	10	3 100	28	3 600	31	1 300	12
2002-03	2 200	19	1 500	13	3 200	27	3 900	33	1 500	7
2003-04	2 000	18	1 400	12	4 300	38	2 600	23	1 000	9
2004-05	1 600	13	1 700	14	4 100	33	3 000	24	2 000	16
2005-06	1 400	11	1 300	10	3 900	31	3 900	31	2 100	16
2006-07	700	7	1 200	11	4 200	37	3 700	32	1 500	16
2007-08	800	8	1 300	13	3 800	37	2 700	26	1 600	16
2008-09	700	8	1 200	14	3 400	39	2 200	25	1 300	15




Figure 1: ORH3B sub-areas and the approximate position of other named fisheries outside of the Chatham Rise. The Spawning Box is in the western part of the East Rise (to the west of the vertical broken line at 175°W). The East and South Rise are currently managed as a single unit. The Arrow Plateau has been designated a Benthic Protected Area. The sub-Antarctic is all areas below 46°S on the east coast, and 44°16'S on the west coast, except Puysegur.

Since 1992–93, the distribution of the catch within ORH 3B has been affected by a series of catch-limit agreements between the fishing industry and the Minister of Fisheries. Initially, the agreement was that at least 5000 t be caught south of 46° S. Subsequently, the catch limits, and the designated sub-areas to which they apply, have changed from year to year.

The TACC has been reduced to 7 940 t in 2009–10 (Table 3). The agreed catch limit for the Chatham Rise is currently 5 850 t. A three-year staged process to reduce F to F_{MSY} was initiated on 1 October 2008. Under this approach the catch limit will be set at 4.5% ($F_{MSY} = M$) of the estimated current biomass in each year from 1 October 2010.

Within the Chatham Rise, catches have generally been about the same as these agreed catch limits (Tables 2 and 3). However, the east Rise sub-area catch limit was exceeded by 550 t in 2005–06 and 650 t in 2006–07. In some years they have been exceeded by catches taken by commercial vessels in support of research surveys. Since 2004–05, 250 t of the TACC has been set aside for industry research surveys, but not allocated to any of the designated sub-areas (surveys of Puysegur were completed in 2004–05 and 2005–06, Northeast Hills and Priceless in 2006–07, Northeast Hills and Mt Muck in 2007–08, Graveyard Hill and Mt Muck in 2008–09).

Table 3: Catch limits (t) by designated sub-area within ORH 3B, as agreed between the industry and Minister of Fisheries since 1992–93. Note that East Rise includes the Spawning Box, closed between 1992–93 and 1994–95. Sub-area boundaries have varied somewhat between years. * South Rise included in East Rise catch limit. ** Arrow Plateau included in Sub-Antarctic.

Year	Northwest Rise	East Rise	South Rise	Puysegur	Arrow Plateau	Sub-Antarctic
1992–93	3 500	4 500	6 300	5 000	–	2 000
1993–94	3 500	4 500	6 300	5 000	–	2 000
1994–95	2 500	3 500	2 000	2 000	3 000	1 000
1995–96	2 250	4 950	*	1 000	**	4 500
1996–97	2 250	4 950	*	500	**	5 000
1997–98	2 250	4 950	*	0	1 500	4 000
1998–99	2 250	4 950	*	0	1 500	4 000
1999–00	2 250	4 950	*	0	1 500	4 000
2000–01	2 250	4 950	*	0	1 500	4 000
2001–02	2 000	7 000	1 400	0	1 000	1 300
2002–03	2 000	7 000	1 400	0	1 000	1 300
2003–04	2 000	7 000	1 400	0	1 000	1 300
2004–05†	1 500	7 250	1 400	0	1 000	1 300
2005–06†	1 500	7 250	1 400	0	1 000	1 300
2006–07‡	750	8 650‡	*	0	0	1 850
2007–08‡	750	7 650#	*	0	0	1 850
2008–09†	750	6 570§Ω	*	0	0	1 850
2009–10†	750	5 100§	*	0	0	1 850

† 250 t set aside for industry research surveys.

‡ 8 650 t allocated to the East and South Chatham Rise combined, with no more than 2 000 t from the South Rise, and no more than 7 250 t from the East Rise.

Combined East and South Rise catch not to exceed 7650 t; East Rise (Spawning Box, NE Rise and SE Rise) not to exceed 6500 t; South Rise catch not to exceed 1750t. A catch limit of 1650 t applies to each of the NE Rise and SE Rise; a catch limit of 3200 t applies to the Spawning Box from 1 June – 31 August. Outside of June-August, this subarea component is part of the NE Rise sub-area and subject to the 1650 t catch limit.

§ East & South Rise managed as a single sub-area.

Ω The catch from the spawning plume (1 June – 31 August) was not to exceed 3 285 t. This restriction was removed from 1 October 2009.

On the Chatham Rise, the overall median catch rate (for target tows) fluctuated around 5 t/tow from 1979–80 to 1986–87, dropped to around 2 t/tow until 1992–93, and has since dropped further to the recent level of 0.5–1 t/tow. However, outside the Spawning Box catches increased in the 1990s and catch rates have been highly variable, sustained largely by the discovery of new fishing areas. Flat areas of the northwest Rise and several major hills on the South Rise were important in the late 1980s, but do not support their previous levels of catch, now accounting for less than 5% of the estimated catch (Table 4). High catch rates can still occur, but these are sporadic.

Between 1991–92 and 2000–01, more than half of the Chatham Rise catch came from four hill complexes: the Andes, Smith City and neighbours, Graveyard, and Big Chief and neighbours (Table 4). All of these have shown a decline in unstandardised catch rate since the early years of the fishery, but in recent years most unstandardised catch rates have shown no strong trend. After 2000–01, the proportion of the catch from these hill complexes decreased, as a greater proportion of the catch came from the Spawning Box (about 39% in 2008–09). In addition, large catches have been made in recent

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

years outside of the spawning season, in recently developed areas of the southeast Rise. Catches from the Spawning Box taken during the spawning season (which peaks in July) have been relatively high since 2001–02, although unstandardised catch rates have been variable.

Table 4: Orange roughy estimated catches (to nearest 10 t) and unstandardised median catch rates (to nearest 0.1 t/tow) for four important hill complexes and the Spawning Box In season (spawning plume area, May–August) and Out season (September–April) on the Chatham Rise (letters indicating subareas, as in Table 3, in parentheses), using catch and effort data held by NIWA. Only tows targeted at orange roughy are included. (Approximate positions are: Big Chief, 44.7 S, 175.2 W; Smiths City and near-neighbours, 43.1 S, 174.2 W; Andes, 44.2 S, 174.6 W; Graveyard, 42.8 S, 180 W). –, catch < 10 t (2007–08 data are provisional, and catch totals are possibly incomplete). n/a means there were less than 3 vessels in the fishery.

Year	Andes (E)			Smith's City Northeast Hills (E)			Spawning Box In (E)			Spawning Box Out (E)			Rest of East (E)		
	Catch	Tows	t/tow	Catch	Tows	t/tow	Catch	Tows	t/tow	Catch	Tows	t/tow	Catch	Tows	t/tow
1979–80	–	–	–	110	36	3.1	9 800	968	10.7	7 450	803	6.0	560	206	2.2
1980–81	–	–	–	–	2	–	11 100	890	11.5	6 300	466	11.5	30	10	3.5
1981–82	–	–	–	40	11	3.6	4 750	470	4.5	4 460	607	4.9	360	77	4.0
1982–83	–	–	–	40	2	17.8	3 980	227	13.4	3 870	390	8.1	1 030	63	8.5
1983–84	–	–	–	60	7	6.3	6 590	378	13.4	8 630	837	7.7	1 190	139	6.4
1984–85	–	–	–	10	3	3.2	9 320	676	10.4	7 460	537	10.0	990	80	9.5
1985–86	–	–	–	670	52	11.4	8 521	659	10.0	7 660	861	6.0	3 030	306	8.1
1986–87	–	–	–	210	34	3.9	8 090	597	8.9	12 040	1 040	6.2	1 950	296	4.6
1987–88	–	–	–	160	33	4.5	7 870	622	8.0	5 840	706	5.0	2 100	324	5.3
1988–89	30	18	0.3	310	48	3.9	7 070	598	9.6	6 540	816	5.0	2 080	299	4.5
1989–90	90	13	1.5	40	9	4.0	6 830	403	12.5	5 020	606	5.2	360	86	3.0
1990–91	80	12	3.2	4 890	633	3.5	2 820	238	8.0	2 810	206	8.0	480	87	1.0
1991–92	7 080	724	5.0	1 270	222	2.0	650	85	6.0	310	56	5.7	3 050	366	5.0
1992–93	2 940	345	5.0	600	84	2.0	50	2	27.0	–	–	–	570	75	2.0
1993–94	3 320	605	1.8	560	109	2.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	510	122	1.9
1994–95	1 650	573	1.0	1 140	345	1.0	490	86	0.3	10	25	–0.1	440	195	1.0
1995–96	1 120	418	0.5	410	145	1.0	1 360	127	5.0	160	28	0.9	450	120	0.5
1996–97	730	260	1.0	720	164	1.0	930	101	3.0	620	133	2.0	370	117	1.0
1997–98	1 140	476	0.5	400	146	0.4	1 580	118	6.0	630	151	1.4	450	259	0.3
1998–99	1 260	448	1.0	810	272	1.0	510	73	2.7	490	139	1.6	350	214	0.3
1999–00	1 990	529	1.0	680	210	0.8	910	34	25.0	510	111	2.0	390	162	0.3
2000–01	980	354	1.1	650	191	1.0	810	59	5.5	430	123	2.0	580	155	1.0
2001–02	2 040	546	1.5	490	167	0.9	2 120	159	4.0	990	224	2.0	900	240	1.1
2002–03	2 230	872	1.0	400	124	0.5	2 150	166	8.0	1 000	216	1.8	1 280	397	0.8
2003–04	1 170	677	0.5	360	160	0.8	1 880	163	6.0	2 080	429	2.8	840	394	0.6
2004–05	1 090	518	0.6	310	127	0.9	1 910	214	4.4	1 890	430	2.7	1 330	405	0.9
2005–06	1 340	727	0.5	370	119	0.7	1 630	117	9.0	1 890	322	3.0	1 810	533	0.8
2006–07	1 160	583	0.5	570	201	0.7	1 980	121	11.2	1 800	399	2.5	1 540	573	0.9
2007–08	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2 550	200	5.0	930	247	2.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
2008–09	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2 020	121	18.0	1 110	248	2.8	1 170	443	1.0

Year	Graveyard (NW)			Rest of Northwest (NW)			Hegerville (S)			Big Chief (S)			Rest of South (S)		
	Catch	Tows	t/tow	Catch	Tows	t/tow	Catch	Tows	t/tow	Catch	Tows	t/tow	Catch	Tows	t/tow
1979–80	–	–	–	840	81	7.7	20	2	8.1	–	–	–	20	12	<0.1
1980–81	50	7	4.0	7 960	2 074	2.3	980	235	3.3	–	–	–	110	25	3.4
1981–82	90	12	6.4	3 830	616	4.4	40	9	4.3	–	–	–	30	28	1.1
1982–83	90	11	5.0	8 500	1 484	3.6	7 440	856	7.1	–	–	–	180	31	<0.1
1983–84	–	–	–	2 780	657	2.9	3 370	493	4.5	–	–	–	120	86	0.1
1984–85	–	–	–	1 640	314	3.3	5 660	824	4.5	–	–	–	870	289	0.6
1985–86	30	11	2.5	3 400	564	2.8	3 660	840	1.8	–	–	–	530	198	0.6
1986–87	30	11	2.0	2 920	660	2.3	2 470	601	1.6	–	–	–	1 440	433	1.1
1987–88	130	19	4.7	1 360	386	2.4	2 020	673	0.8	–	–	–	3 180	924	0.7
1988–89	130	25	3.2	2 780	782	1.8	1 170	568	0.6	1 010	199	1.7	4 650	1768	0.3
1989–90	160	28	5.5	2 100	602	2.0	470	237	0.6	2 830	529	1.5	4 090	1121	1.0
1990–91	10	2	4.2	1 230	261	2.6	170	75	0.3	3 150	453	2.1	1 620	500	0.3
1991–92	70	25	1.3	180	60	2.0	30	52	<0.1	820	138	2.5	780	308	0.3
1992–93	3 300	297	5.1	170	69	1.4	290	83	1.5	3 310	703	2.0	1 190	462	<0.1
1993–94	2 180	363	1.9	1 120	213	1.0	220	129	0.5	2 350	698	0.6	2 060	1129	0.1
1994–95	1 510	363	1.0	720	268	1.0	100	95	<0.1	510	242	0.8	880	937	<0.1
1995–96	1 790	355	1.0	430	212	0.8	80	104	<0.1	580	151	1.0	460	553	<0.1
1996–97	870	243	0.5	1 210	400	2.0	170	75	0.2	560	195	0.5	440	304	<0.1
1997–98	830	305	0.4	1 290	487	1.0	60	52	0.1	950	285	0.4	410	503	0.1
1998–99	930	186	0.8	1 510	550	1.0	50	17	0.5	560	215	0.5	390	258	0.3
1999–00	630	239	0.5	1 280	353	1.0	50	10	0.3	380	123	0.5	430	173	0.5
2000–01	1 010	301	0.5	1 310	613	1.0	100	21	3.0	1 020	213	0.8	400	203	0.5
2001–02	730	206	0.9	1 260	645	0.8	30	18	0.6	660	234	0.9	280	186	0.5
2002–03	1 080	253	0.8	1 050	593	0.8	150	42	1.4	660	276	0.5	480	204	0.5
2003–04	744	126	0.7	1 030	586	1.0	100	48	0.4	570	300	0.5	460	266	0.4
2004–05	916	170	1.1	560	331	0.7	100	23	2.2	790	308	0.5	490	231	0.6
2005–06	958	188	0.6	380	238	0.7	90	53	0.5	500	303	0.4	400	281	0.4
2006–07	591	78	1.8	80	29	0.2	160	38	0.6	510	279	0.4	200	187	0.3
2007–08	386	176	0.6	320	109	0.8	280	107	0.6	690	335	0.5	170	189	0.3
2008–09	385	75	1.3	280	110	0.5	500	182	0.5	330	307	0.2	120	158	0.1

Since 1990, there has been considerable exploratory fishing throughout ORH 3B, and several fisheries have developed in areas outside the Chatham Rise (Table 5).

The first fishery to be developed south of the Chatham Rise was on Puysegur Bank, where spawning aggregations of orange roughy were found during a joint Industry-MFish exploratory fishing survey in 1990–91. The fishery developed rapidly, but from 1993–94 catch limits were substantially under-caught. Catch limits were subsequently reduced from the initial level of 5 000 t, and the industry implemented a catch limit of 0 t beginning in the 1997–98 fishing year (reported catches in 2004–05 and 2005–06 were taken during industry surveys).

Exploratory fishing on the Macquarie Ridge south of Puysegur in 1993 saw a fishery develop off the Auckland Islands. Total catches rose to around 900 t in 1994–95, but then dropped to less than 200 t by 1999–00, and has been infrequent in recent years (Table 5).

In 1993–94, the first major catches were taken to the east of the Chatham Rise, on the ‘Arrow Plateau’. A catch limit of 3000 t was put in place for 1994–95, with a limit of 500 t for any one hill. Only a few hills in this area have been fished successfully, and the catch has never reached the catch limit (Table 5). The Arrow Plateau was closed to orange roughy fishing when it was designated a Benthic Protected Area in 2007.

Table 5: Estimated ORH 3B catches (to the nearest 10 t) and unstandardised median catch rates (to nearest 0.1 t/tow) for areas outside the Chatham Rise, using estimated catch and effort data held by NIWA. Only tows targeted at orange roughy are included. For this table the areas were defined by the following rectangles: Arrow – 42.17–46°S, 173.67°W; Auckland - 49–52 °S, 165–167 °E; Bounty – 46–47.5°S, 177.5–180°E; Priceless – 48–48.44°S, 174.7–175.2°E; Other Pukaki – 47–50.4°S, 174–176.4°E (and not in Priceless); Puysegur - 46–47.5 °S, 165–166.5 °E. The area described as Antipodes in previous reports is now included in Other Pukaki. All years are from 1 October-30 September (2005–06 data are provisional and catch totals may be incomplete). –, catch < 10 t. n/a means there were less than 3 vessels in the fishery.

Year	Arrow		Auckland		Bounty		Priceless		Other Pukaki		Puysegur		Other	
	Catch	t/tow	Catch	t/tow	Catch	t/tow	Catch	t/tow	Catch	t/tow	Catch	t/tow	Catch	t/tow
1985–86	120	18.5	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
1986–87	110	10.6	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
1987–88	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
1988–89	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	30	<0.1
1989–90	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	100	1.4	50	6.0	–
1990–91	150	4.5	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	600	4.6	20	<0.1	–
1991–92	100	10.0	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	6 320	10.6	170	0.6	–
1992–93	10	6.5	30	<0.1	–	–	–	–	–	4 280	6.7	330	<0.1	–
1993–94	470	1.0	180	<0.1	–	–	–	–	–	2 410	1.9	80	<0.1	–
1994–95	750	0.3	880	0.2	–	–	–	–	–	1 260	7.9	20	<0.1	–
1995–96	170	0.1	380	0.1	–	–	–	–	3 060	5.0	730	2.4	520	<0.1
1996–97	280	0.1	120	<0.1	20	<0.1	–	–	670	<0.1	490	2.6	400	<0.1
1997–98	210	0.1	370	0.1	240	<0.1	10	<0.1	130	<0.1	–	–	1 050	<0.1
1998–99	580	0.3	440	0.1	150	0.1	–	–	120	<0.1	–	–	1 820	0.5
1999–00	240	0.1	150	<0.1	170	<0.1	–	–	–	–	–	–	60	<0.1
2000–01	180	0.1	60	<0.1	150	0.3	–	–	20	<0.1	–	–	1 030	0.3
2001–02	55	0.2	130	0.1	40	0.1	550	22.3	–	–	–	–	460	0.4
2002–03	220	0.2	–	–	120	1.5	480	7.0	–	–	–	–	400	0.4
2003–04	130	0.1	–	–	90	0.2	450	0.3	–	–	–	–	440	<0.1
2004–05	60	0.1	–	–	100	0.4	540	0.3	520	9.8	100	5.6	550	<0.1
2005–06	60	0.1	–	–	40	0.2	540	0.9	740	4.0	190	2.6	250	<0.1
2006–07	–	–	–	–	–	–	470	0.5	730	1.1	–	–	–	–
2007–08	–	–	n/a	n/a	–	–	n/a	n/a	700	0.5	–	–	–	–
2008–09	–	–	n/a	n/a	–	–	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	–	–	n/a	n/a

In 1995–96, large catches were reported on the southeast Pukaki Rise, with a catch total of over 3000 t (Table 5). However, the catches dropped rapidly, and within a few years the fishery had effectively ceased. From 2001–02 a fishery developed on the northeast Pukaki Rise, and includes the area known as Priceless, where catches are mostly taken at the start of the fishing year. Catches have reached the feature limit of 500 t for each of the 5 years up to 2007–08, but catches and catch rates declined substantially in 2008–09. In 2007–08, the fishery in the sub-Antarctic was limited to the Auckland Islands and northeast Pukaki Rise areas. In 2008–09 the fishery extended over a relatively wide area, but catch rates were low (≤ 0.5 t/tow) throughout.

Catches of orange roughy have also been taken off the Bounty Islands (around 100–200 t/yr from 1997–98 to 2005–06, but infrequently in recent years, Table 5), off the Snares Islands (up to around

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

500 t, but infrequently in recent years), areas of the Macquarie Ridge (100-500 t per year since 2000-01), and off Fiordland (around 500 t in 2000-01, but catches then rapidly decreased).

1.2 Recreational fisheries

No recreational fishing for orange roughy is known in this quota management area.

1.3 Customary non-commercial fisheries

No customary non-commercial fishing for orange roughy is known in this quota management area.

1.4 Illegal catch

No information is available on illegal catch in this quota management area.

1.5 Other sources of mortality

There has been a history of catch overruns on the Chatham Rise because of lost fish and discards, and discrepancies in tray weights and conversion factors. In assessments, total removals from each part of the Chatham Rise were assumed to exceed reported catches by the overrun percentages in Table 6.

Table 6: Catch overruns (%) by year.

Year	1978-79	1979-80	1980-81	1981-82	1982-83	1983-84	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88
Overrun	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	28	26	24
Year	1988-89	1989-90	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95 & subsequently			
Overrun	22	20	15	10	10	10	5			

For Puysegur and other southern fisheries there is no reason to believe that, if there was an overrun in catches, this shows any trend over time. For this reason, it was assumed that there was no overrun for this area.

2. BIOLOGY

Biological parameters used in this assessment are presented in the Biology section at the beginning of the Orange Roughy section.

3. STOCKS AND AREAS

For the purposes of this report the term “stock” refers to a biological unit with a single major spawning ground, in contrast to a “Fishstock” which refers to a management unit.

Genetically two main stocks are recognised within ORH 3B (Chatham Rise and Puysegur; Smith & Benson 1997) and these are considered to be distinct from stocks in adjacent areas (Cook Canyon and Ritchie Bank). However, it is likely, because of their geographical separation and discontinuities in the distribution of orange roughy, that concentrations of spawning fish on the Arrow Plateau, near the Auckland Islands, and west of the Antipodes Islands also form separate stocks.

Genetic data has been applied to define stock boundaries, both within ORH 3B, and between it and adjacent areas. Mitochondrial DNA shows that there are considerable differences between Puysegur fish and fish from the geographically adjacent areas Cook Canyon and Chatham Rise. Allozyme frequency studies suggest that Chatham Rise fish are distinct from those on the Ritchie Bank (ORH 2A). These data also suggest multiple stocks within the Chatham Rise, but do not indicate clear stock boundaries. Although there is significant heterogeneity amongst allozyme frequencies from different areas of the Rise, these frequencies varied as much in time (samples from the same location at different times) as in space (samples from different locations at the same time).

Chatham Rise

In 2008 the stock structure of orange roughy on the Chatham Rise was comprehensively reviewed (Dunn 2008). The approach evaluated all available data as no single dataset seems to provide definitive information about likely stock boundaries. The data analysed included: catch distribution and CPUE patterns; location of spawning and nursery grounds; inferred migrations; size, maturity and condition data; genetic studies, and habitat and natural boundaries.

There is evidence that a separate stock exists on the Northwest Rise. The Northwest Rise contains a substantive spawning ground on the Graveyard Hills, and also nursery grounds around, and primarily to the west of, the Graveyard Hills. There is a gap in the distribution of early juveniles (< 15 cm SL) between the Graveyard area and the Spawning Box at approximately 178°W. A research trawl survey found post-spawning adult fish to the west, but not to the east, of the Graveyard Hills, and a westerly post-spawning migration was inferred. Analyses of median length from commercial and research trawls found orange roughy on the Northwest Chatham Rise and Graveyard Hills were smaller than those on the East Rise. A substantial decline in the size of 50% maturity after 1992 was found for both the Graveyard Hills and the Northwest Rise, but not for other areas. The only information that does not support the Northwest Rise being a separate stock is an indication from patterns in commercial catch rates that some fish arriving to spawn in the Spawning Box may come from the west (Doonan & Coburn 1994, 1997). Catch data and genetic studies do not shed any further light on stock structure. Oceanographic models suggest that a gyre to the east of the Graveyard may provide a mechanism for a separation between the Northwest Chatham Rise and the East Rise. Based on the available data the Northwest Chatham Rise is considered to be a separate stock.

The previous separation of the Northeast Hills and Andes as separate stocks from the Spawning Box and Eastern Flats was based on simultaneous spawning aggregations occurring on these hills, and because stock assessment models indicated a mismatch between the standardised CPUE trends. However, the scale of spawning on these hills is not known. The information that suggests all of these areas are a single stock includes: the occurrence of a continuous nursery ground throughout the area; similar trends in size of 50% maturity in each area; essentially continuous habitat with similar environmental conditions; inferred post-spawning migrations from the Spawning Box towards the east Rise. Analyses of median lengths from commercial catches showed no obvious differences between areas. In addition, the spawning aggregations found on the Northeast Hills and Andes appear to have been minor compared to that in the Spawning Box. The spawning aggregation on the Northeast Hills is also associated with an increase in mean length and catch rates, suggesting fish spawning on these hills are not resident, and thus are not separate from the surrounding area. Based on the available data the Northeast Hills and Andes are therefore considered to be the same stock as the Spawning Box and Eastern Flats.

The only evidence to separate the eastern area of the South Rise (Big Chief and surrounds) from the East Rise is the lack of spawning migrations inferred from an absence of a seasonal effect in standardised CPUE analyses. The evidence that the Big Chief area is the same stock as the East Rise includes: the nursery grounds and habitat are continuous; there were no splits between the areas identified from analyses of median length; and the fisheries are similar. The reports of spawning fish around Big Chief have been infrequent, and so are considered equivocal on stock structure. The Big Chief area is therefore considered part of the East Rise stock.

There is weak evidence that the area of the South Rise west of and including Hegerville is a separate stock. This includes: median length analyses indicated a split in this area; and there is an oceanographic front at 177°W. However, very few catches of spawning orange roughy have been reported in this area, and there appears to be no substantial nursery ground. Both of these factors support the idea that this area does not have a separate stock. In the area to the west of the suggested split the fish are relatively small during spawning, and relatively large during non-spawning. Combined with a standardised CPUE which shows a decline in abundance around July (peak spawning), and a somatic condition factor which declines during September-November (post-spawning). This supports a hypothesis of adult fish leaving the area to spawn elsewhere.

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

The South Rise could provide feeding habitat for the stock, which is estimated to have had an initial biomass of over 300,000 t, an amount that was probably too large to inhabit only the East Rise. There is more evidence to support orange roughy in this area being part of the East Rise stock than there is to the contrary. The current hypothesis is that the area to the west of the current convergence may be relatively marginal habitat, where larger juvenile, maturing and adult orange roughy were once predominant, and there is little spawning and few juveniles because the water is relatively cold.

Based on these analyses, the Chatham Rise has been divided into two areas: the Northwest, and the East and South Rise combined (Figure 1). The centre of the Northwest stock is the Graveyard Hills. The centre of the East and South Rise stock is the Spawning Box during spawning, and the southeast corner of the Rise during non-spawning.

4. STOCK ASSESSMENT

In 2008, the Plenary reviewed the status of the East and South Rise orange roughy stock using available information sources; of these only the acoustic estimates of orange roughy biomass in the spawning plume, along with associated evaluations of stock status, have been updated in 2009 and 2010. Because the conclusions of the 2008 analyses of stock structure (section 3 above) indicated that the East and South Rise were likely to be a single stock, the earlier stock assessments for these areas are no longer considered to be reliable and are not reported here. The 2006 assessment for the Northwest Chatham Rise, and the 1998 assessment for Puysegur are reported as previously. There are no assessments for any other areas of ORH 3B.

4.1 Northwest Chatham Rise

4.1.1 Assessment inputs

Four sets of observational data are used in the assessment:

- (1) A standardised CPUE series;
- (2) An absolute mature biomass estimate (egg survey);
- (3) Three relative mature biomass estimates (acoustic/trawl wide-area surveys); and
- (4) A commercial fishery length-frequency data series.

The standardised CPUE series excluded short duration tows made in the Graveyard Hills complex (McKenzie, 2006), and is shown in Table 7. The first three points of this series were excluded from the assessment (see Introduction), and a process error of 20% was added to the CVs for the series.

Table 7: Estimates of standardized catch per unit effort (and CVs) for the Northwest Chatham Rise stock. The first three points were excluded from the assessment (1980–81 though to 1982–83). A 20% process error has been added to each of the CVs.

Fishing year	CPUE (All months)	(CV%)
1980–81	1.34	28
1981–82	1.61	25
1982–83	0.96	24
1983–84	0.60	24
1984–85	0.89	25
1985–86	1.09	25
1986–87	0.80	24
1987–88	0.58	24
1988–89	0.44	25
1989–90	0.68	24
1990–91	0.67	26
1991–92	0.46	33
1992–93	0.38	35
1993–94	0.43	34
1994–95	0.42	27
1995–96	0.22	34
1996–97	0.40	26
1997–98	0.31	26
1998–99	0.18	28
1999–00	0.22	30
2000–01	0.19	27
2001–02	0.17	27
2002–03	0.13	28
2003–04	0.16	28
2004–05	0.15	28

Biomass estimates from four resource-surveys were used in this assessment: a 1996 egg survey, and acoustic surveys in 1999, 2002, and 2005 (Table 8).

Table 8: Estimates of mature biomass (and their CVs) for the Northwest Chatham Rise stock.

Source	Date	Biomass (t)	CV	Reference
Egg survey	June/July 1996	49 000	0.8	Francis <i>et al.</i> (1997)
Acoustic survey	June/July 1999	29 000	0.425	Bull <i>et al.</i> (2000), Francis & Bull (2000)
Acoustic survey	June/July 2002	42 000	0.63	Doonan & Hart (2003)
Acoustic survey	June/July 2005	9100	0.40	Smith (2006)

The 1996 egg survey estimate was treated as absolute but very uncertain. Although the best estimate (which combines data from all four snapshots) is 49,000 t, estimates from individual snapshots varied widely (from 12,000 t in snapshot 2 to 1,000,000 t in snapshot 1), probably because the assumptions under which they were made (e.g., that daily egg production and mortality was constant throughout each snapshot) were violated. Thus, it was not possible to calculate a CV for this estimate, and an arbitrary high value of 0.8 was assigned.

The acoustic survey estimates were treated as relative estimates with informed priors. There is uncertainty about the expansion of the acoustic biomass estimates to the whole of the Northwest Chatham Rise. Two alternative approaches for 1999 gave a “low” and “high” estimate (Bull *et al.* 2000, Francis & Bull 2000) of which the “high” estimate was used. The 2002 estimate (Doonan & Hart, 2003) expanded the biomass by a spawning ratio of 1.35 to obtain a single value of 42 000 tonnes. Hicks (2004c) gives a brief overview of the 1999 and 2002 surveys. The 2005 estimate was from a wide-area survey that covered almost the entire Northwest Chatham Rise. An informed prior was placed on the 2005 proportionality constant (q_{2005}). Informed priors were also developed for the ratios q_{1999}/q_{2005} and q_{2002}/q_{2005} . All priors on q were lognormal with the best estimate equated to the median of the prior distribution (Cordue 2006). These and other priors are summarised in Table 9.

Table 9: The prior distributions on the free parameters and ratio penalty quantities in the model. The parameters, μ and CV, defining the lognormal priors are in natural space. No explicit bounds were put on the ratios q_{1999}/q_{2005} or q_{2002}/q_{2005} , but are implicit from the bounds on q_{1999} , q_{2002} , q_{2005} .

Free parameters	Prior	[lower bound, upper bound]
B_0 (t)	uniform-log	[5000, 300 000]
relativity constant (q)	uniform-log	[1e-07, 0.01]
catchability 1999 (q_{1999})	Uniform	[0.1, 4.0]
catchability 2002 (q_{2002})	Uniform	[0.1, 4.0]
catchability 2005 (q_{2005})	lognormal ($\mu=1.113$, $cv=0.6069$)	[0.1, 4.0]
commercial logistic selectivity a_{50}	Uniform	[5, 50]
CV at age 1 for length-at-age	Uniform	[0.001, 1]
CV at age 80+ for length-at-age	Uniform	[0.001, 1]
Ratio penalty quantities	Prior	[lower bound, upper bound]
q_{1999}/q_{2005}	lognormal ($\mu=1.027$, $cv=0.2330$)	-
q_{2002}/q_{2005}	lognormal ($\mu=0.952$, $cv=0.03301$)	-

Nine years of length-frequency data from the period 1989–97 were collected into a single length-frequency that was centred on the 1993 fishing year. Eight years of length-frequency data from the period 1998–05 were collected into a single length-frequency that was centred on the 2002 fishing year. The effective sample size was set at 1/6 of the number of tows for each period: 19 for the “1993” period and 35 for the “2002” period (A. Hicks pers. comm.).

Age frequency data (used in the 2004 assessment) were excluded from the 2006 assessment as intersessional work indicated that the ages assigned to orange roughy otoliths were both biased and imprecise (see Introduction). The use of age data was restricted to the estimation of basic biological parameters. Unfortunately, it was not possible to use otoliths from the Northwest Chatham Rise stock itself as only 69 suitable otoliths were available. Therefore, otolith data from the adjacent East Chatham rise were used to re-estimate the parameter values for the sexual maturity, length-at-age, and

weight-at-length curves. The values for other biological parameters (i.e., natural mortality and maximum exploitation rate) were unchanged from the 2004 assessment (McKenzie 2005)

4.1.2 Stock assessment

The observational data were incorporated in a Bayesian stock assessment with deterministic recruitment to estimate stock size and do forward projections. The stock was considered to reside in a single area, with no partition by sex or maturity. Age groups were 1-80 years, with a plus group of 80+. Exploratory model fits demonstrated an apparent disparity between the age of sexual maturity as found from the otolith data (using counts to the transition zone) and the size of fish caught by the commercial fishery. Therefore, the maturity data were not used and the maturity ogive was set equal to the selectivity ogive, which was estimated within the model using the length-frequency data (see Introduction).

Three alternative model runs are reported: Alldata (in which both the CPUE and biomass survey data were incorporated), Nobiomass (in which the biomass survey data were omitted), and NoCPUE (in which the CPUE data were omitted). For each run, the uncertainty in the estimated parameters was evaluated using Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) techniques. For the MCMCs, 3000 samples were taken from a chain of length 3 million.

4.1.3 Biomass estimates

For the Alldata run, B_0 was estimated to be 55,000 t (95% confidence interval 51,400-59,500 t; Table 10), the 2006 biomass was 6000 t (4200-9300 t), or 11% (8–16%) B_0 . The Nobiomass run produced slightly lower estimates of all biomass metrics. The NoCPUE run produced higher estimates of B_0 (79,800 t; 59 600-128,600 t) and $B_{CURRENT}$ (30,900; 12,400-77,500 t) with the median estimate for the ratio of the two being 39% (21-61%) B_0 .

Neither of the runs that included the survey estimates fit all four biomass indices well (Figure 2). For the Alldata run, the estimated biomass trajectories provided a reasonable fit to the acoustic biomass indices, but not the egg survey. The NoCPUE run provided a reasonable fit to the egg survey and the first two acoustic biomass indices, but was above the upper confidence interval of the most recent (2005) biomass index.

Table 10: Biomass estimates (medians, with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses) for three runs. $B_{CURRENT}$ is the mid-year biomass in 2006.

Run	B_0 (t)	$B_{CURRENT}$ (t)	$B_{CURRENT}$ (% B_0)
Alldata	55 000 (51 400-59 500)	6000 (4200-9300)	11 (8-16)
Nobiomass	52 500 (48 300-56 400)	4400 (3200-6900)	9 (6-13)
NoCPUE	79 800 (59 600-128 600)	30 900 (12 400-77 500)	39 (21-61)

The large discrepancy between the NoCPUE run and the other two runs reflects the relative influence of biomass vs. CPUE indices. When CPUE data are included, they dominate the result (as in the Alldata and Nobiomass runs) because there are a large number of CPUE observations and they cover a period in the fishery when the biomass changed a lot. In contrast, there are only four fishery-independent indices of biomass and they occur in recent years when the biomass is not likely to have changed much. In addition, two of these indices have extremely high CVs. The egg survey, in particular, is deemed to be unreliable (thus its high CV).

The Plenary noted that the three runs presented should not be given equal weight. The NoCPUE run was not considered to give a reliable assessment of stock status because it relies on survey estimates that are few in number, have high CVs, and are restricted to the end of the time series when there is relatively little contrast in stock size. However, it should also be noted that there is uncertainty in the other two runs that include CPUE because the extent to which the CPUE (which is based only on flat tows) indexes the entire stock is unknown.




Figure 2: Estimated biomass trajectories (lines) and fitted data (points) from all model runs. The data are identified by the plotting symbol ('c' = CPUE, '6' = 1996, '9' = 1999, '2' = 2002, '5' = 2005). CPUE data are scaled up to the biomass. Vertical bars (for biomass indices only) show 95% confidence intervals. Plots are from the medians of the posterior distribution.

For the Alldata and Nobiomass runs, exploitation rates appear to have been higher than the exploitation rate associated with a CAY strategy, E_{CAY} (0.064) for most of the history of the fishery (Figure 3). This is to be expected since the fishery was purposely managed to have a fishing down phase. Estimated exploitation rates for 2004–05 were 0.26 and 0.34 for the Alldata and Nobiomass runs respectively, both of which were considerably higher than the estimate for the NoCPUE run (0.053).




Figure 3: Estimated exploitation rates (solid line) with 95% CI (dashed line) for all model runs. The horizontal dotted line shows the exploitation rate under a CAY policy, E_{CAY} (0.064).

4.1.4 Sensitivity analyses

Independently estimating maturity ogives (from otolith transition zone data, outside the stock assessment model) and selectivity ogives (from length-frequency and other information, within the model) gave similar results to previous assessments (selectivity curves estimated to be well to the right of maturity curves; see Introduction), an outcome believed by the Plenary to be untenable.

Halving the natural mortality gave moderately better fits to all the observational data, with a current % B_0 that was slightly less than that from the Alldata model.

4.1.5 Projections

Five-year projections based on deterministic recruitment were carried out using a range of constant catch options. For each catch option, three measures of fishery performance were calculated:

- (1) $P_{0.2}$: the probability that the biomass in 2011 is greater than 20% B_0 [$P(B_{2011} > 20\%B_0)$];
- (2) P_{MSY} : the probability that the biomass in 2011 is greater than B_{MSY} [$P(B_{2011} > B_{MSY})$] (where 30% B_0 is used as a proxy for B_{MSY} , as is conventional for New Zealand orange roughy stocks – see Introduction); and
- (3) B_{MED} : the median biomass in 2011 (expressed as a percentage of B_0).

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

For all runs the projections indicate that the biomass should slightly increase with a catch of 1500 t (Table 11). However, for the Alldata and Nobiomass runs, maintaining the catch at 1500 t results in close to zero probability that the stock will have rebuilt to 20% B_0 or to B_{MSY} within 5 years. Zero catch results in a high probability of rebuilding to 20% B_0 , but almost zero probability of rebuilding to B_{MSY} .

Table 11: Results from projections to 2011 for three runs from each model. $B_{CURRENT}$ (as % B_0) is given in parentheses next to the run name for B_{MED} . A 5% overrun was assumed for all years (i.e., the actual catches were assumed to be 5% higher than the values shown). $B_{CURRENT}$ is the mid-year biomass in 2006.

Performance measure	Run	Annual catch (t, over five-year period)				
		0	500	1000	1500	2000
$P_{0.20}$	Alldata	0.97	0.50	0.09	0.01	0.00
	Nobiomass	0.71	0.11	0.01	0.00	0.00
	NoCPUE	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.98
P_{MSY}	Alldata	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Nobiomass	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	NoCPUE	0.99	0.97	0.94	0.88	0.81
B_{MED} (10.6)	Alldata (10.9)	23.4	20.0	16.7	13.6	10.5
	Nobiomass (8.5)	20.9	17.5	14.3	11.2	8.3
	NoCPUE (38.8)	49.0	46.5	44.0	41.4	38.8

4.1.6 Yield estimates

For Chatham rise orange roughy, the exploitation rate under a CAY policy is 0.064 and the associated long-term average yield (MAY) is 1.99% B_0 (see Introduction). The Alldata and Nobiomass results suggest that a catch of 1500 t is 3.7-4.8 times the estimated CAY, and 1.4-1.5 times the associated long-term average yield (MAY) (Table 12).

Table 12: Estimated yields: CAY for 2007 and long-term yield under a CAY policy (MAY). The median is shown with the 95% confidence interval in parentheses. All yields were adjusted to allow for an assumed over-run of 5% in future catches.

Run	CAY ₂₀₀₇ (t)	MAY (t)
Alldata	410 (300-610)	1 040 (970-1 130)
Nobiomass	310 (230-470)	990 (910-1 070)
NoCPUE	1 950 (810-4 790)	1 510 (1 130-2 440)

4.2 East and South Chatham Rise

Based on the conclusions of the 2008 analyses of stock structure (section 3 above) this evaluation of stock status assumes the East and South Rise to be a single stock. The previous stock assessment split the area into 4 sub-areas: the Spawning Box and Eastern Flats, the Northeast Hills, the Andes, and the South Rise.

The Northeast Hills, Andes, and the combined Spawning Box and Eastern Flats were last assessed in 2006. The Northeast Hills and Andes were treated separately from the Spawning Box and Eastern Flats because of a mismatch between declining CPUE trajectories in the hill areas, and a model estimated biomass rebuild for the combined Spawning Box and Eastern Flats. All the model runs for the Spawning Box and Eastern Flats predicted that the stock biomass had been rebuilding since the catches were substantially reduced in the early 1990s. However, this rebuild was insensitive to the recent observational data: when all of the data after 1994 were excluded the model gave an almost identical result to when they were included. From this result, it became clear that the rebuild was largely being driven by model assumptions about incoming recruitment, rather than actual data.

The South Chatham Rise was last assessed in 2004. The stock assessment model did not provide a good fit to the biomass indices (standardised CPUE), and predicted a biomass rebuild which was not seen in the CPUE indices. The rebuild was also driven by model assumptions concerning recruitment.

Analyses of the main observational data are reviewed to draw conclusions on likely stock status. The data considered include (a) research trawl surveys, (b) acoustic surveys of the spawning plume and background areas, (c) catch, and (d) standardised CPUE. In addition the size of the virgin biomass is discussed.

4.2.1 Research trawl surveys

(i) Spawning Box surveys 1984 to 1994

Research trawl surveys of the Spawning Box during July were completed from 1984 to 1994, using three different vessels: *FV Otago Buccaneer*, *FV Cordella*, and *RV Tangaroa* (Figure 4). A consistent area was surveyed using fixed station positions (with some random second phase stations each year).




Figure 4: The Spawning Box trawl survey biomass index (assuming a catchability of 1 for each vessel), with 95% confidence intervals shown as vertical bars. Vessels indicated as B, *FV Otago Buccaneer*; C, *FV Cordella*; T, *RV Tangaroa*.

Whether the survey estimates of biomass are comparable within each series depends on whether the trawl surveys were consistently indexing the full spawning biomass. None of the fixed stations are located in the area where the plume is currently found and few if any of the survey stations fished on a “genuine spawning plume” (i.e., aggregations with a large vertical extent). This is of no consequence if in each year the spawning plume(s) contained a constant proportion of the spawning biomass. However, if there was an increasing (or decreasing) proportion of biomass within the plume(s) then the trawl indices would tend to over-estimate (or under-estimate) the decline in spawning biomass.

Under the assumption that the proportion of spawning fish in the plume was constant over time, each series gives a relative index of abundance over time. Four alternative abundance series were considered:

(a) *Otago Buccaneer*

Over 4 years the relative biomass estimates declined steadily from 130 000 t in 1984 to 60 000 t in 1987. The biomass in 1987 was 46.1% of the 1984 level.

(b) *Cordella*

Over 3 years the relative biomass estimates from the series declined from 73 000 t to 34 000 t. The biomass in 1990 was 46.6% of the 1988 level. There were some differences in the timing of the three *Cordella* surveys which means that the three estimates in this series may not be as comparable as the earlier *Otago Buccaneer* series.

(c) *Tangaroa*

The biomass estimate increased from 22 000 t to 61 000 t, a roughly 2.8-fold increase; however, the 1994 survey has wide confidence bounds due to the influence of a single large tow (Figure 4).

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

(d) 1984–90 time series

If the *Otago Buccaneer* and the *Cordella* are both assumed to have had the same catchability then the point estimate of spawning biomass in 1990 would be 26% of the 1984 spawning biomass. However, it is likely that the vessels had different relative catchabilities.

It is highly likely that the biomass was less than 50% B_0 by 1987 as the *Otago Buccaneer* series alone shows a decline of over 50% and the cumulative catch from the stock was about 130 000 t (including estimated catch overruns from Table 6) before the first *Otago Buccaneer* survey in July 1984. The subsequent *Cordella* series then shows a continuing decline in abundance consistent with the continuing high level of catches over the years 1988–90. The exact level of the decline remains uncertain because of the poorly known relative catchabilities of the different vessels and the possible impact of the timing of the surveys, but the Plenary agreed that by 1990 the stock was likely to be of the order of 30% B_0 .

4.3 Wide-area surveys 2004 and 2007

The 2004 and 2007 surveys by *Tangaroa* covered the area which extends from the western edge of the Spawning Box around to the northern edge of the Andes. The area surveyed did not include the spawning plume, the Northeast Hills, or the Andes. The survey used a random design over sixteen strata grouped into five sub-areas, and was a combined acoustic and trawl survey in 2004, and a trawl survey in 2007. The trawl net used was the full-wing and relatively fine mesh ‘ratcatcher’ net. The surveys covered the same survey area as the Spawning Box trawl surveys from 1984 to 1994 as well as additional strata to the east. The depth range surveyed was 825 m to 1250 m, except in subarea 2, where the limits were 800 m to 1350 m. The total area surveyed was 13 147 km². In 2007, the survey ran from 4–27 July and 62 trawl tows were completed. In 2004, the survey ran from 7–29 July and 57 trawl tows were completed.

The relative abundance of orange roughy estimated from the trawl surveys did not change significantly between 2004 and 2007 (Table 13). The size distribution of the fish did change, however, with an increase of about 2 cm in the left hand limb (see Figure 5).

Table 13: Relative estimates of orange roughy mature biomass and number of fish (CV. in parentheses) from the 2004 and 2007 trawl surveys, assuming a catchability of 1 between the wingtips (25.4 m).

Year	Biomass (t)		Numbers ('000,000)
	Total	Mature (>= 33cm)	
2004	17 000 (10%)	7 000 (12%)	19.1 (13%)
2007	17 000 (13%)	7 100 (17%)	18.8 (12%)

It is not known whether the difference in the size distribution between the last two wide area surveys reflects a real change in the population size structure. However, the surveyed areas were similar and the data appear to be comparable. The length distribution suggests recruitment has been poor or absent at the bottom end of the length range (Figure 5). Using assumed orange roughy growth rates, this recruitment failure, if real, would reach the spawning stock and the fishery in about 10 years from 2007). Further surveys would be required to determine whether the drop in recruitment is real.




Figure 5: Orange roughy proportion at length estimated from the *RV Tangaroa* wide-area trawl survey of the Spawning Box and Eastern Flats in July 2004 (solid line) and July 2007 (dashed line). The vertical broken lines indicate a length of 19 cm, equivalent to the length of 50% vulnerability to the trawl net.

4.3.1 Acoustic surveys

i) Plume surveys

Acoustic estimates of the biomass in spawning aggregations (plumes) in the Spawning Box during July were thoroughly reviewed and revised in 2008-2010 (Cordue 2008; Doonan 2009; Hampton *et al.* 2008, 2009, 2010). For the 2002-09 time series, the main changes were:

- a) identification and removal of snapshots which were possibly biased because of excessive signal loss due to poor weather (mean wind speed > 20 knots), and the snapshot was interrupted, or where the fish movement alongshelf was too great, as explained in Hampton *et al.* (2009),,
- b) removal of transects on which no orange roughy were detected, and trimming zero estimates along the remaining transects to improve CV estimates,
- c) Replacement of the weather corrections in all years by a correction to each transect obtained from the linear bottom reference model (Base Case Model blm3) presented to the Working Group by Patrick Cordue on 23 April 2010,
- d) Replacement of the calibrations in years of poor calibration conditions with the geometric mean of the calibrations in good conditions for reasons given in Hampton *et al.* (2009). ,
- e) application of new estimates of target strength based on observations of individual orange roughy (Macaulay *et al.* 2009) applied to a single pooled length distribution for each survey ($TS = 16.15 \text{ LogL} - 76.81$),
- f) Direct correction for errors in absorption coefficient applied to each survey rather than correction through the error model,
- g) Correction of each survey estimate between 2002 and 2007 for small software errors in the ES60 software, as explained in Hampton *et al.* (2008) and Hampton *et al.* (2009). No corrections to the 2008 and 2009 estimates were necessary since the error was removed in later software upgrades, and
- h) Estimation of sampling CV from the variation between the snapshot estimates (CV2).

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

For the 1996-2000 acoustic surveys of the Spawning Plume, similar refinements were undertaken where appropriate data were available, along with other analyses, in an attempt to ensure they were as consistent as possible with each other and with the 2002-09 time series.

Twelve acoustic estimates of biomass were accepted for presentation by the Working Group (Figure 6). However, the Working Group still had reservations about the comparability of the 1996, 1998 and 2000 surveys to the 2002-09 time series because of the different methodologies used and the relatively small number of transects involved in the earlier surveys (as reflected in their wide confidence intervals). For this reason, it is only the 2002-09 estimates that are assumed to represent a consistent time series. This time series indicates a substantial decline in biomass from 2002 to 2009 with the biomass in 2009 (28 200 t) being about 44% of that in 2002 (64 000 t). The average of the point estimates from the last 3 years is 31 400 t.

The patterns in the biomass estimates in Figure 6 are markedly different from those presented in 2008, which indicated no trend in biomass for the Spawning Plume from 2002 to 2007. The patterns in the Figure 6 estimates are, however, similar to the series presented in 2009 based on the bottom reference method. The addition of a further survey estimate from 2009 has continued the declining trend.




Figure 6: Acoustic biomass estimates for the Spawning Plume in the Spawning Box during July, completed by MFish/NIWA using *RV Tangaroa* (1996, lower 1998, and 2000 points), by ORM/CSIRO using *FV Amatal Explorer* (higher 1998 point) or by the Deepwater Group/FRS using *FV San Waitaki* (time series from 2002-09). The 2002-09 time series is from Table 7 of Hampton et al. 2010. Error bars are ± 2 standard errors.

(ii) Wide area acoustic surveys

In addition to the acoustic biomass series for the Spawning Plume a wide-area survey of the Spawning Plume, Spawning Box background areas, and Northeast Flats combined was completed by *Tangaroa* in 2004. The wide-area survey was designed to survey the entire stock, unlike the Plume surveys, which were assumed to index a constant proportion of mature orange roughy in the Spawning Box and Northeast Flats sub-area. These surveys show that there is mature fish outside the Spawning Plume and allowance needs to be made for this biomass in determining current stock size.

It is difficult to regard the wide area estimates as estimates of absolute biomass because they include fish from mixed-species marks. The low target strength of orange roughy relative to other species in the mixed-species marks means that there is much greater potential for bias in determining estimates outside the aggregations. Although there is obviously some mature biomass outside the plume, the proportion is difficult to determine.

iii) Acoustic surveys on hills

Acoustic surveys of the Northeast Hills (Smith's City & Camerons) have been completed by the industry vessel *FV San Waitaki* in 2003, 2004, 2006 and 2008 and by *RV Tangaroa* in 2004 and 2007. Because the species mix is not known it is difficult to determine the biomass of orange roughy in this area. Estimates of biomass from the *Tangaroa* surveys suggested a low abundance of orange roughy, with no change in total acoustic backscatter between 2004 and 2007. Earlier *Tangaroa* surveys and the *San Waitaki* surveys suggested that larger quantities of roughy may have been present. Acoustic surveys of Mt Muck were completed by *RV Tangaroa* in 2000 and 2004 and by *FV San Waitaki* in 2008 and 2009.

4.3.2 Catch patterns

The extent and timing of the commercial fishery for orange roughy on the Chatham Rise has changed over time. The fishery started in the Spawning Box during the spawning season (centred on July), and the south Rise west of Hegerville outside of spawning. During the period around the Spawning Box closure (1992–93 to 1994–95) large catches were taken during the spawning season on the Northeast Hills, Andes, and Big Chief and neighbouring hills. Spawning season catches continued on Smith's City in subsequent years, but were negligible from 2001–02, leaving the Spawning Box as the only substantial spawning season fishery. The non-spawning fishery operated in the Spawning Box in 1979–80 and 1981–82, but otherwise was focused on the South and East Rise.

On the South Rise, catches progressed eastwards during the mid to late 1980s, an effect which was described as a serial depletion of orange roughy from the hills (Clark 1997). Since the early 1990s, the focus of the non-spawning fishery has been on the Northeast Hills, the Andes, and Big Chief and neighbouring hills. Little catch has come from the South Rise west of Big Chief and neighbours, and the only notable catches on the North Rise west of the Northeast Hills have been at the western end of the Spawning Box in 2003–04 and 2004–05, and at the eastern end of the Spawning Box pre-spawning (peaking in May) during 2005–06 and 2006–07. The non-spawning fishery has therefore largely contracted to the hill complexes on the southeast corner of the Rise, where in recent years some new fishing locations have been developed between the Andes and Big Chief ('Middleground'), and just north of the Andes ('Harrisville').

Overall, there has been a spatial contraction of the fishery during the spawning period to the Spawning Box, and a spatial contraction of the fishery during the non-spawning phase towards the southeast corner of the Rise. If we assume that the fishery focuses effort on the areas where catch rates are consistently highest, we can infer these areas are the centre of the distribution for this orange roughy stock. It is also unlikely that there are now many areas where high densities of recruited orange roughy can be found that have not already been fished.

4.3.3 Standardised CPUE

Eight standardised CPUE indices were developed for the east and south Rise, five of which have been updated to the end of the 2006–07 fishing year (Figure 7). The catch and effort data used in the analyses included all target species on the south Rise, where there is a substantial and overlapping oreo fishery, but were restricted to tows which caught or targeted orange roughy on the north and east Rise, where orange roughy was the dominant target species. Vessels were only included in the analyses if they had completed 20 or more tows in 3 or more years, and fine-scale sub-areas (2' squares) were only included if they had been fished 3 or more times for 8 or more years. The latter criterion was intended to restrict the analyses to areas which had been consistently fished. Tows which were believed to have come fast, and data for 1988–89, were also excluded. The estimation of indices took no account of potential technology creep.

Standardised CPUE indices for the spawning-box fishery show different trends pre and post closure of the spawning box. Post closure there is little trend, but pre closure there is a reduction by the early 1990s to about 35% of the 1980 level (Figure 7). The fishery on the eastern flats (which targeted orange roughy migrating out of the spawning box) also showed a marked decline in catch rates to about 35% of the initial level, but over the period 1983 to 1988 (Figure 7). The remaining CPUE indices (hill fisheries and the South Rise flat fishery) show initial very steep declines, followed by little trend (Hegerville and Neighbours, and South Rise flats) or a continuing decline (Northeast Hills,

Andes Complex, Big Chief and Neighbours). Since 1995, all of the hill indices, except Hegerville and Neighbours, have shown an overall decline of more than 50% (Figure 8).




Figure 7: Orange roughy standardised CPUE indices (black circles with bars showing 95% confidence intervals) and annual catches (broken lines) from the commercial fisheries on the East and South Chatham Rise.

Due to the targeted nature of fishing, and other factors, CPUE indices may not be proportional to stock abundance, and must be interpreted with care. Also, the orange roughy CPUE indices presented here cannot be considered equally reliable. In particular, the indices from the Spawning Box fishery, which targets predictable spawning aggregations, are unreliable as indices of abundance and are only presented for completeness (Coburn & Doonan 1997, Dunn 2007).

It is likely that the hill CPUE indices reflect trends in “local abundance” (i.e., orange roughy associated with the hills during the non-spawning season). They suggest that total local abundance of the Northeast Hills, Andes Compaex, and Big Chief and Neighbours is about 5% of that in the early 1990s. On Hegerville and Neighbours it is perhaps 20% of the early 1980s level. The local abundance on the South Rise Flats has also likely declined to very low levels.

It is less certain that the hill CPUE indices (or the South Rise Flats index) reflect trends in total stock biomass. Certainly, the initial steep declines are too rapid to be indexing total abundance (e.g., if the biomass in the early 1990s was 30-50% B_0 then three of the hill CPUE time series suggest current biomass in the range of 1.5-2.5% B_0 – which is the level of current annual catches). However, although the initial declines are not proportional to total stock abundance, the CPUE indices for the main non-spawning fisheries have all declined at a similar overall rate since the mid-1990s, which suggest that vulnerable biomass may be continuing to decline at current catch levels.




Figure 8: Orange roughy standardised CPUE indices (with 95% confidence intervals) for the main hill complexes in the commercial fishery on the East and South Chatham Rise between 1994–95 and 2006–07.

4.3.5 Estimates of virgin biomass

By the time the 1990 trawl survey was completed, about 325 000 t had been caught from the East and South Rise stock, 70% of which came from the Spawning Box. The fishery has since been extended to the hills on the East and South Rise and the total cumulative catch (including over-runs) from the stock up to 2006–07 was 499 000 t.

Although recent models used to assess Chatham Rise orange roughy stocks are not thought to be useful in determining recent stock status (see section 4.2 above), earlier models are believed to provide ball-park estimates of the initial stock size before fishing began. Model outputs from the assessments in the early 1990s gave B_0 estimates of between 300 000 and 450 000 tonnes. Simple stock reduction models with the catch data give similar ranges. Based on the cumulative catch and the previous stock assessments for Chatham Rise orange roughy, the 2008 Plenary considered that B_0 was likely to fall in the range 300 000 to 450 000 t.

4.3.6 Estimates of current biomass

The results from acoustic surveys, reported in section 4.3.1, are summarised in Table 14. The estimate for the Plume is the point estimate for 2009 for the time series shown in Figure 6.

No data are available to estimate biomass for the South Chatham Rise. The 2008 Plenary arbitrarily assumed that the spawning biomass on the South Chatham Rise was equal to the estimate of that on the Andes (2 400t).

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

Table 14: Acoustic estimates of spawning biomass for East and South Chatham Rise orange roughy. The estimate for the Plume is the point estimate for 2009 from the 2002-09 time series (Figure 6). All other estimates are unchanged from those derived in the 2008 Plenary: estimates for the NE Flats, NE Hills and Mt Muck were derived from data collected during the period 2002–2007; and the estimate for the Andes was derived from 2000 as more recent data were not available. Estimates for the Northeast (NE) Hills refer to total orange roughy (almost entirely spawning); estimates for the Andes and Mt. Muck refer to spawning orange roughy; all were derived from Doonan *et al.* (2001, 2006, 2009). All biomass estimates have been rounded to the nearest 100 t.

Area	Mean
Plume	28 200
NE Flats	5 700
NE Hills	700
Mt Muck	1 500
Andes	2 400
South	2 400
Total	40 900

Except for the plume estimate, the numbers in Table 14 are the same as those derived by the 2008 Plenary. However, given that the spawning plume estimate decreased by about 18% between 2007 and 2009, the 2010 Plenary believed that it was likely that the biomass in other areas had also declined. The simplest assumption is that the plume represented the same proportion of the total spawning biomass in 2009 as it did in 2007 taken as 81% from Table 15 in the 2008 Plenary report. This resulted in a total spawning biomass for 2009 of 35 000 t.

Estimates of the proportion of the total mature biomass that was likely to be spawning were considered by the 2008 Plenary. A review of available literature found that the multiplier of the spawning biomass to obtain an estimate of mature biomass ranged from 1.01 to 1.91 (Dunn & Dunn 2008). The 2008 Plenary considered those estimates that were more reliable and concluded that a maximum credible range was 1.1 to 1.91, with a mean of 1.49.

Applying these multipliers gives a range of estimates of mature biomass of 45 000 to 78 100 t, with a mean of 60 900 t for the total in Table 14; and a range of 38 500 to 66 800 t, with a mean of 52 000 t for the total (35 000 t) that assumed declines in all areas. The Working Group considered that the means were the best estimates of current biomass to use for management purposes. It was noted that only about half of this biomass was obtained from actual measurements from surveys of the spawning plume. The remainder of the 52 000 – 60 900 t has been derived from earlier biomass estimates or from the assumption that approximately one-third of the mature biomass does not spawn in any year. The Working Group considers that the large proportion of unverified biomass in this estimate reduces its confidence in this assessment.

4.4 Summary

Catch data from the East and South Chatham Rise indicate that the stock was fished down from an initial biomass of about 300 000 to 450 000 t at a reported rate of about 30 000 t per year from 1980-81 to 1989-90. Catches from the stock were then cut back and new fisheries developed in the southern parts of ORH 3B. Initial catches and the trawl surveys of the Spawning Box suggest a reduction in stock size by 1987 to less than 50% B_0 with further declines through to the end of the *Cordella* survey series in 1990. Given the level of catches from 1987 to 1990 (an additional 130 000 t) the 2008 Plenary agreed that the stock was likely to have been of the order of 30% B_0 by 1990.

It is likely that the hill CPUE indices reflect real trends in “local abundance”. It is less certain that the hill CPUE indices (or the South Rise Flats indices) reflect trends in total stock abundance. However, the CPUE indices for the main non-spawning fisheries have all declined at a similar overall rate since the mid-1990s, suggesting that vulnerable biomass may be continuing to decline at current catch levels.

Biomass estimates from acoustic surveys of the spawning plume from 2002 to 2009 have declined by about 56%, from about 64 000 t in 2002 to 28 200 t in 2009 (Figure 6).

The acoustic estimates of Plume biomass were used as a basis for estimating the current biomass, by first adding on an allowance for spawning fish in other areas and then scaling up the estimated spawning biomass to the total mature biomass using a range of multipliers of 1.1-1.9, with a mean of 1.49. This gave a range of mature biomass for the East and South Chatham Rise of 45 000 – 78 100 t, with a mean of 60 900 t using one method; and a range of 38 500 – 66 800 t, with a mean of 52 000 t for the other.

Combining these $B_{CURRENT}$ and B_0 estimates gives a current stock status range of 10-26% B_0 or 9-22% B_0 (Table 15). This is in line with the 2008 Plenary conclusion that the stock was likely to have been of the order of 30% B_0 by 1990, continuing substantial declines in most CPUE indices, and a 56% decline in the acoustic estimates of the spawning plume biomass over the past 7 years.

Table 15: Current biomass as a percentage of the initial biomass (B_0) using the range of estimates for B_0 and current biomass.

B_0 (tonnes)	Current biomass (% B_0) based on Table 14			Current biomass (% B_0) based on Table 14, adjusted for declines outside the plume		
	Low B_{2009} (45 000 t)	High B_{2009} (78 100 t)	Mean B_{2009} (60 900 t)	Low B_{2009} (38 500 t)	High B_{2009} (66 800 t)	Mean B_{2009} (52 000 t)
300 000	15.0%	26.0%	20.3%	12.8%	22.3%	17.3%
450 000	10.0%	17.4%	13.5%	8.6%	14.8%	11.6%

5. STATUS OF THE STOCKS

For orange roughy stocks, the management target is 30% B_0 .

5.1 Chatham Rise

Stock Structure Assumptions


Chatham Rise orange roughy are believed to comprise two biological stocks; these are assessed and managed separately: one in the Northwest of the Rise and the other ranging throughout the East and South Rise, based on the presence of two main areas where spawning takes place simultaneously, and observed and inferred migration patterns of adults and juveniles. These two biological stocks form the bulk of the ORH 3B Fishstock. They are geographically separated from all other ORH 3B biological stocks.

Northwest Chatham Rise

Stock Status	
Year of Most Recent Assessment	2006
Assessment Runs Presented	2 alternative runs
Reference Points	Target: 30% B_0 Soft Limit: 20% B_0 Hard Limit: 10% B_0
Status in relation to Target	The Alldata run suggested that B_{2006} was approximately 11% B_0 , and the Nobiomass run slightly lower (9% B_0). Both suggest that B_{2006} was Very Unlikely (< 10%) to be at or above the target biomass.
Status in relation to Limits	B_{2006} was Very Likely (> 90%) to be below the Soft Limit, and About As Likely As Not (40-60%) to be below the Hard Limit.

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

Historical Stock Status Trajectory and Current Status



Estimated biomass trajectories (lines) and fitted data (points) from all model runs. The data are identified by the plotting symbol ('c' = CPUE, '6' = 1996, '9' = 1999, '2' = 2002, '5' = 2005). CPUE data are scaled up to the biomass. Vertical bars (for biomass indices only) show 95% confidence intervals. Plots are from the medians of the posterior distribution.

Fishery and Stock Trends

Recent Trend in Biomass or Proxy	Biomass was projected to have declined from the 1980s to 2006.
Recent Trend in Fishing Mortality or Proxy	Unknown
Other Abundance Indices	-
Trends in Other Relevant Indicators or Variables	-

Projections and Prognosis (2006)

Stock Projections or Prognosis	Under both models the stock was not projected to recover to the soft limit unless catches were reduced.
Probability of Current Catch or TACC causing decline below Limits	Soft Limit: Below Soft Limit in 2006. Hard Limit: Likely (> 60%)

Assessment Methodology

Assessment Type	Type 1 – Quantitative stock assessment	
Assessment Method	Statistical catch-at-age model implemented in CASAL with Bayesian estimation of posterior distributions.	
Main data inputs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Standardised CPUE index, excluding short tows made in the Graveyard hills. - 1996 egg survey, as an absolute mature biomass estimate - 3 Relative mature biomass estimates (acoustic/trawl surveys) - Commercial length-frequency data 	
Period of Assessment	Latest assessment: 2006	Next assessment: Unknown
Changes to Model Structure and Assumptions	-	
Major Sources of Uncertainty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Recruitment assumed to be deterministic - Results are strongly dependent on CPUE data and the extent to which these data index the entire stock is unknown. - Survey biomass indices are few and are restricted to the end of the timeline where there is less contrast in the biomass. - Neither model run provided an entirely satisfactory fit to all survey estimates. 	

Qualifying Comments (2010)

The catch limit for the Northwest Chatham Rise orange roughy was reduced to 750 t from 1 October 2006. The stock size is expected to increase at this catch level.

Fishery Interactions

Main bycatch species are smooth oreo, black oreo, rattails, deepwater dogfish and hoki, with lesser bycatches of Johnson’s cod and ribaldo. Bycatch species of concern include deepwater sharks, deepsea skates and corals. Overall, bycatch usually comprises less than 5% of the total catch.

East and South Chatham Rise


In 2008, the Plenary evaluated the status of the East and South Chatham Rise orange roughy stock using available information sources. Instead of using stock assessment models, the results from analyses of the main observational data were reviewed to draw conclusions on likely stock status. The data considered included (a) research trawl surveys, (b) acoustic surveys of the spawning plume and background areas, (c) catch, and (d) standardised CPUE. In 2009 and 2010, the only new analyses conducted were a thorough review and updating of the spawning plume acoustic estimates, and new estimates of acoustic target strength.

- **East and South Chatham Rise Orange Roughy**

Stock Status	
Year of Most Recent Assessment	2010
Assessment Runs Presented	No quantitative stock assessment model
Reference Points	B_{MSY} : 30% B_0 Management Target: Biomass 30% B_0 Progressive reduction of fishing pressure to 4.5% ($F = M$) of biomass by 1 October 2010 Soft Limit: 20% B_0 Hard Limit: 10% B_0
Status in relation to Target	B_{2009} was estimated to be 10-26% B_0 or 9-22% B_0 depending on assumptions; Very Unlikely (< 10%) to be above the Management Target
Status in relation to Limits	B_{2009} is Likely (> 60%) to be below the Soft Limit The status with respect to the Hard Limit is unknown

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

Historical Stock Status Trajectory and Current Status



Acoustic biomass estimates for the spawning aggregations in the Spawning Box during July 1996, 1998, 2000 and 2002-2009. Error bars are ± 2 standard errors.

Fishery and Stock Trends

Recent Trend in Biomass or Proxy	All available time series of data indicate that biomass has been decreasing more or less continuously throughout the history of the fishery.
Recent Trend in Fishing Mortality or Proxy	Fishing pressure is Likely (> 60%) to have continued to exceed the planned Management Target in recent years.
Other Abundance Indices	-
Trends in Other Relevant Indicators or Variables	-

Projections and Prognosis

Stock Projections or Prognosis	Biomass is expected to continue to decline at 2009 levels of catch.
Probability of Current Catch or TACC causing decline below Limits	Soft Limit: Very Likely (> 90%) Hard Limit: Likely (> 60%)

Assessment Methodology

Assessment Type	Level 2 – Partial quantitative stock assessment
Assessment Method	Non-model integration of separate analyses of research trawl surveys, wide area combined acoustic and trawl surveys, acoustic surveys on the spawning plume and hills, catch patterns and standardised CPUE.
Main data inputs	Research trawl surveys, wide area combined acoustic and trawl surveys, acoustic surveys on the spawning plume and hills, catch patterns and standardised CPUE. New information since the 2008 assessment included two additional spawning plume acoustic estimates, reanalysis and updating of the entire spawning plume acoustic time series, a new target strength estimate, and updated catch data.
Period of Assessment	Latest assessment: 2010 Next assessment: 2011
Changes to Model Structure and Assumptions	A thorough review of all spawning plume acoustic survey estimates was undertaken and new target strength estimates were derived.

Major Sources of Uncertainty	<p>The largest source of uncertainty is the proportion of the mature stock in the spawning plume each year.</p> <p>Other major sources of uncertainty include the inability to accurately or precisely age orange roughy near and beyond the age of maturity (about 30-40 years) and the resultant inability to estimate recruitment. This led to a decision in 2008 to temporarily abandon quantitative age-structured models (that essentially assumed constant recruitment over the course of the fishery and as a result were unable to fit the available time series of abundance indices).</p> <p>There are also uncertainties about stock structure and migration patterns, and the relationship between CPUE and abundance. Uncertainty about historical and recent recruitment makes it difficult to predict future stock status.</p>
------------------------------	--

Qualifying Comments

None

Fishery Interactions

<p>Main bycatch species are smooth oreo, black oreo, rattails, deepwater dogfish and hoki, with lesser bycatches of Johnson's cod and ribaldo. Bycatch species of concern include deepwater sharks, deepsea skates and corals. Overall, bycatch usually comprises less than 5% of the total catch.</p>
--

5.2 Southern ORH 3B fisheries

Puysegur

Comments on the status of this stock are unchanged from those presented in the 1998 Plenary Report (Annala *et al.* 1998).

The assessment for this stock was uncertain because the three time series of biomass indices on which it is based are all very short. Further, the degree of uncertainty is greater than is suggested by the range of biomass and yield estimates presented above. However, all three series (two of trawl surveys and one of CPUE) suggest that the biomass has been reduced substantially. The point estimate of biomass from this assessment is probably below B_{MSY} , but it was uncertain. Estimates of MCY and CAY were 420 t or less. The fishery has been voluntarily closed since 1997–98 and zero catch should allow the stock to move towards B_{MSY} .

Auckland Islands (Pukaki South)

The Deepwater Working Group examined the data on orange roughy catch and effort from the Auckland Islands area in 2006, and found that there had been relatively little fishing activity in this area in the previous few years. There were insufficient data to conduct a standardised CPUE analysis, and it was believed that unstandardised CPUE did not provide a suitable index of relative abundance. Therefore, a stock assessment could not be carried out.

Other fisheries

In 2006 the Deepwater Working Group examined the data on orange roughy catch and effort from other parts of ORH 3B – the Bounty Islands, Pukaki Rise, Snares Island and the Arrow Plateau – and agreed that there was insufficient data to carry out standardised CPUE analyses for any of these areas. The status of orange roughy in these areas is therefore unknown. It is also not known whether recent catch levels or the current catch limit are sustainable or whether they will allow the stock(s) to move towards B_{MSY} .

6. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

Anonymous 2001. Notes of a technical workshop of 22nd February 2001. WG-Deepwater-01/15. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)

ORANGE ROUGHY (ORH 3B)

- Annala JH., Sullivan KJ., O'Brien CJ., Smith NWMcL., Grayling SM. 2003. Report from the fishery assessment plenary, May 2003: stock assessments and yield estimates. 616 p. (Unpublished report held in NIWA library, Wellington.)
- Annala JH., Sullivan KJ., O'Brien CJ., Iball SD. (Comps) 1998. Report from the Fishery Assessment Plenary, May 1998: stock assessments and yield estimates. 409 p. (Unpublished report held in NIWA Greta Point library, Wellington.)
- Bull B., Francis RICC., Dunn A., McKenzie A., Gilbert DJ., Smith MH. 2003. CASAL (C++ algorithmic stock assessment laboratory): CASAL User Manual v2.01-2003/08/01. NIWA Technical Report 124. 223p.
- Bull R., Doonan IJ., Tracey DM., Coombs RF., 2000. An acoustic estimate of orange roughy abundance on the Northwest Hills, Chatham Rise, June-July 1999. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 2000/20. 36p.
- Clark MR., Anderson OF., Dunn M. 2003. Descriptive analysis of catch and effort data from New Zealand orange roughy fisheries in ORH 1, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, and 7B to the end of the 2001–02 fishing year. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2003/60. 51p.
- Clark MR., Anderson OA., Francis RICC., Tracey DM. 2000. The effects of commercial exploitation on orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*) from the continental slope of the Chatham Rise, New Zealand, from 1979 to 1997. Fisheries Research 45(3): 217–238.
- Coburn RP., Doonan IJ. 1994. Orange roughy fishing on the North East Chatham Rise: a description of the commercial fishery, 1979–1988. New Zealand Fisheries Technical Report 38.
- Coombs RF., Barr R. 2007. *In situ* measurements of orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*) target strength. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 64: 1220-1234
- Cordue P.L. 2006: Prior distributions for trawl and acoustic survey proportionality constants used in the 2006 orange roughy stock assessments. 29p. Draft New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report.
- Cordue, P.L. 2008: Review of estimates of Chatham Rise orange roughy biomass from plume surveys. Report prepared for the New Zealand Ministry of Fisheries. 39 p. Cordue P.L., Francis RICC. 1994. Accuracy and choice in risk estimation for fisheries assessment. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 51: 817–829.
- Doonan IJ. 1991. Orange roughy fishery assessment, CPUE analysis – linear regression, NE Chatham Rise 1991. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1991/9. 48p.
- Doonan IJ. 1994. Life history parameters of orange roughy; estimates for 1994. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1994/19. 13p.
- Doonan, I. 2009. Re-analysis of the spawning plume biomass, towbody acoustics surveys 1998 & 2000. Deepwater Working Group document 2009/16. Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington..
- Doonan I., Bull B. 2001. Absolute biomass for 1999, NE and East Chatham Rise. WG-Deepwater-01/27. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Doonan, I.; Bull, B.; Dunford, A.; Coombs, R.; Tracey, D.; Hart, A. 2001: Acoustic estimates of the biomass of aggregations of orange roughy in the Spawning Box and on the Northeastern and Eastern Hills, Chatham Rise, July 2000. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2001/70. 31 p.
- Doonan, I.J.; Dunn, M.; Dunford, A.; Hart, A.c.; Tracey, D. 2006. Acoustic estimates of orange roughy abundance on the Northeastern and Eastern Chatham Rise, July 2004: wide-area survey and hill survey. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2006/58. 45 p.
- Doonan, I.J.; Dunn, M.; Hart, A.C. 2009. Abundance estimates of orange roughy on the Northeastern and Eastern Chatham Rise, July 2007: wide-area trawl survey and hill acoustic survey (TAN0709). New Zealand fisheries assessment report ; 2009/20. 38 p.
- Doonan I., Hart AC. 2003. Notes on the abundance of mature orange roughy on the NW Chatham Rise and Graveyard Hills, ORH 3B, 20 June – 7 July 2002. WG–Deepwater– 03/01. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Dunn M. 2008. Stock structure of orange roughy on the Chatham Rise. DWWG-2008/30. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Dunn M., Dunn A. 2008. Published information on the non-spawning proportion of orange roughy. ORH 3B Plenary 2008/05. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Francis RICC., Hicks AC. 2004. Comparing NIWA and UW base cases for NW Chatham Rise ORH. WG-Deepwater-04/44. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Francis RICC. 2006 Responses to some problems with orange roughy observer length frequencies. ORH WS 2006/10. (Unpublished report held by the Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington).
- Francis RICC. 2001a. Orange roughy CPUE on the South and east Chatham Rise. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2001/26 30p.
- Francis RICC. 2001b. Stock Assessment of orange roughy on the South Chatham Rise. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2001/27 25p.
- Francis RICC. 2001c. Stock assessment for 2001 of orange roughy on the northeast Chatham Rise. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2001/41. 32p.
- Francis RICC. 1996. Orange roughy sex ratios and catch rate distributions in the Chatham Rise Spawning Box. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1996/13.27p.
- Francis RICC. 1995. The longevity of orange roughy: a reinterpretation of the radiometric data. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1995/2. 13p.
- Francis RICC. 1992. Recommendations concerning the calculation of maximum constant yield (MCY) and current annual yield (CAY). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1992/8. 26p.
- Francis RICC., Bull B. 2000. Assessment of the northwest Chatham Rise orange roughy stock (part of ORH 3B). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2000/21. 17p.
- Francis RICC., Horn PL. 1997. Transition zone in otoliths of orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*) and its relationship to the onset of maturity. Marine Biology 129: 681-687.
- Francis RICC., Hurst RJ., Renwick JA. 2001. An evaluation of catchability assumptions in New Zealand stock assessments. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2001/1. 37p.
- Francis RICC., Clark MR., Coburn RP., Field KD., Grimes PJ. 1995. Assessment of the ORH 3B orange roughy fishery for the 1994–95 fishing year. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1995/4. 43p.
- Francis RICC., Clark MR., Grimes PJ. 1997. Calculation of the recruited biomass of orange roughy on the northwest Chatham Rise using the 1996 Graveyard Hill egg survey (TAN9608). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1997/29. 18p.
- Hampton, I., Soule, M.A., Nelson, J.C. 2010. Standardised acoustic estimates of orange roughy biomass in the Spawning Plume in area ORH 3B from vessel-mounted and towed transducers, 1996-2009. (Unpublished report held by the Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington).
- Hampton, I., Soule M. and Nelson, J. 2008. Standardisation of acoustic estimates of orange roughy biomass in the North Chatham Rise Spawning Plume between 1996 and 2007, made with vessel-mounted transducers. 26 p. (Unpublished report held by the Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington).
- Hampton, I., Soule M. and Nelson, J. 2009. Corrections to time series of acoustic estimates of orange roughy spawning biomass in the Spawning Plume in area ORH3B from vessel-mounted transducers, 1996 to 2008. 29 p. WG-Deepwater-09/14. (Unpublished report held by the Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington).
- Hicks A.C. 2006. Changes in lengths from the commercial catch in the Spawning Box. WG-Deepwater-06/?? (Unpublished report held by the Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington).

- Hicks A.C. 2004a. A meta-analysis of CPUE in orange roughy fisheries: creating a prior. WG-Deepwater-04/20. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Hicks A.C. 2004b. Comparing the 2003 San Waitaki Graveyard Hill acoustic survey to the previous Northwest Chatham Rise and Graveyard Hills surveys conducted by NIWA. WG-Deepwater-04/19. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Hicks A.C. 2004c. Comparability between the three NWCR acoustic surveys and corrected, expanded 2003 acoustic estimates for the northwest Chatham Rise. Notes to the Deepwater Working Group, 5 March 2004. WG-Deepwater-04/33. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Hilborn R., Maunder M., Parma A., Ernst B., Payne J., Starr P. 2003. Coleraine: A generalized age-structured stock assessment model. (Unpublished report from the School of Aquatic and Fisheries Sciences, University of Washington, available at: <http://www.fish.washington.edu/research/coleraine/>).
- Hilborn R., Walters C.J. 1992. Quantitative fisheries stock assessment: choice, dynamics, and uncertainty. Chapman and Hall, New York, 570p.
- Horn P.L., Tracey D.M., Clark M.R. 1998. Between-area differences in age and length at first maturity of the orange roughy *Hoplostethus atlanticus*. Marine Biology 132 (2): 187–194.
- Kloser R.J., Horne J.K. 2003. Characterizing uncertainty in target-strength measurements of a deepwater fish: orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*). ICES Journal of Marine Science 60: 516–523.
- Langley A. 2001. Analysis of catch and effort data from the ORH 3B (Spawning Box) fishery, 1982/83 to 1999/00. WG-Deepwater-01/23. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Macaulay, G., Kloser R.J.; Ryan, T. 2009: Visually verified in situ target strength measurements of orange roughy from the Chatham Rise, July 2007. Final Research Report for Ministry of Fisheries Research, Project ORH2006-01A, Objective 2. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.) 45p.
- Mace P.M., Fenaughty J.M., Coburn R.P., Doonan I.J. 1990. Growth and productivity of orange roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*) on the north Chatham Rise. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 24: 105–119.
- McKenzie A. 2006. Standardised CPUE of the northwest Chatham Rise orange roughy stock (part of ORH 3B) up to the 2004–05 fishing year. In preparation.
- McKenzie A. 2005. Standardised CPUE analysis and stock assessment of the northwest Chatham Rise orange roughy stock (part of ORH 3B). Final Research Report. 42p.
- McKenzie A. 2003. Standardised CPUE analysis and stock assessment of the northwest Chatham Rise orange roughy stock (part of ORH 3B). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2003/61. 24p.
- Robertson D.A., Mace P.M. 1988. Assessment of the Chatham Rise orange roughy fishery for 1987/88. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1988/37. 5p.
- Smith M.H. 2006. Northwest Chatham rise mature biomass estimate for the stock assessment. WG-Deepwater-06/17. (Unpublished report held by Ministry of Fisheries, Wellington.)
- Smith P.J., Benson P.G. 1997. Genetic diversity in orange roughy from the east of New Zealand. Fisheries Research 31(3): 197–213.
- Soule M.A., Nelson J.C., Hampton I. 2007. Acoustic survey of orange roughy in the spawning plume on the North Chatham Rise, New Zealand. DWWG-2008/83.
- Sullivan K.J., Mace P.M., Smith N.W.McL., Griffiths M.H., Todd P.R., Livingston M.E., Harley S.J., Key J.M., Connell A.M. (Comps.) 2005: Report from the Fishery Assessment Plenary, May 2005: stock assessments and yield estimates. 792p. (Unpublished report held in NIWA library, Wellington.)
- Taylor P. 2001. Assessment of orange roughy fisheries in southern New Zealand for 2000. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2001/24. 30p.