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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Stevenson, M.L.; Hanchet, S.M. (2010). Inshore trawl survey of the west coast of the South Island and Tasman and Golden Bays, March-April 2009 (KAH0904). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2010/11.

This report gives the results of the ninth in a time series of inshore trawl surveys along the west coast of the South Island from Farewell Spit to the Haast River mouth and within Tasman and Golden Bays at depths from 20 to 400 m using RV Kaharoa.

The survey took place in March-April 2009 and used a two-phase design optimised for giant stargazer, red cod, red gurnard, spiny dogfish, and tarakihi. Seventy-two stations ( 67 phase 1 and 5 phase 2) were successfully completed. Trends in biomass estimates, catch distribution for the target species, and population length frequencies for the major species are described.

The biomass estimates for the target species were giant stargazer, 1952 t ; red gurnard, 651 t ; red cod, 2782 t ; spiny dogfish, 10270 t ; and tarakihi, 1088 t . Target c.v.s were met for red cod ( $25 \%$ ), giant stargazer (19\%), red gurnard (18\%), and spiny dogfish (19\%). The c.v for tarakihi ( $22 \%$ ) was slightly higher than the target.

The estimates of total biomass for giant stargazer, red gurnard, and spiny dogfish were the highest for any survey in the series. The estimate for red cod was higher than for 2007 and similar to the first four surveys. The estimate for tarakihi was slightly lower than in 2007 but still in the range of most previous surveys.

Other commercial species with c.v.s less than $20 \%$ were barracouta, dark ghost shark, lemon sole, school shark, and arrow squid. For hoki, the number of $0+$ fish is the second highest in the series, exceeded only by the 1995 survey (the strong 1994 year class). For John dory, a strong pulse of $1+$ fish, similar to one found during the 2000 survey, is seen in the length frequency distribution. In addition, more snapper were caught on this survey than on any previous survey including over 150 juveniles in the $14-19 \mathrm{~cm}$ size range (2+). The biomass estimate for five non-target species was the highest recorded from the series.

At the end of the survey a total of 614 juvenile tarakihi were tagged in Tasman Bay to clarify stock affiliations. During the survey, 151 school shark, 29 rig, 40 rough skate, and 3 smooth skate were tagged and released.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents results from the ninth in a time series of stratified random trawl surveys with RV Kaharoa in waters between 20 and 400 m deep off the west coast of the South Island, and within Tasman and Golden Bays. The survey was optimised for giant stargazer (Kathetostoma spp.), red cod (Pseudophycis bachus), red gurnard (Chelidonichthys kumu), spiny dogfish (Squalus acanthias), and tarakihi (Nemadactylus macropterus). The results of earlier surveys in this series were reported by Drummond \& Stevenson (1995a, 1995b, 1996) and Stevenson (1998, 2002, 2004, 2006, 2007a). The first four surveys in the series were reviewed by Stevenson \& Hanchet (2000).

The principal objective of the surveys is to develop a time series of relative abundance indices for giant stargazer, red cod, red gurnard, spiny dogfish, and tarakihi for the inshore waters of the west coast of the South Island and within Tasman and Golden Bays. Changes in the relative abundance and length frequency distributions over time should reflect changes in the abundance and size distributions of the underlying fish populations. A standardised index of relative abundance estimates for key inshore species will therefore provide the basis for stock assessment and management strategies.

This report details the survey design and methods, and provides relevant stock assessment data for commercially important Individual Transferable Quota (ITQ) and non-ITQ species.

This report fulfils in part the requirements of Ministry of Fisheries contract INT200801.

### 1.1 Programme objective

To determine the relative abundance and distribution of inshore finfish species off the west coast of the South Island, and Tasman Bay and Golden Bay; focusing on red cod (Pseudophycis bachus), red gurnard (Chelidonichthys kumu), stargazer (Kathetostoma giganteum), tarakihi (Nemadactylus macropterus) and spiny dogfish (Squalus acanthias).

## Specific objectives (2009)

1. To determine the relative abundance and distribution of red cod, red gurnard, stargazer, and tarakihi off the west coast of the South Island from Farewell Spit to the Haast River mouth, and within Tasman Bay and Golden Bay by carrying out a trawl survey. The target coefficients of variation (c.v.s) of the biomass estimates for these species are as follows: red cod (20-25\%), red gurnard ( $20 \%$ ), giant stargazer ( $20 \%$ ), spiny dogfish ( $20 \%$ ), and tarakihi ( $20 \%$ ). Recruited and spawning biomass will be reported separately.
2. To collect the data and determine the length frequency, length-weight relationship, and reproductive condition of red cod, red gurnard, giant stargazer, and tarakihi.
3. To collect otoliths from red cod, red gurnard, giant stargazer, and tarakihi and spines from spiny dogfish.
4. To collect the data to determine the length frequencies of all other Quota Management System (QMS) species.
5. To tag live skate, school shark, and rig
6. To determine stock affiliation of pre-recruit tarakihi in Tasman/Golden Bays nursery area using mark recapture.
7. To identify benthic macro-invertebrates collected during the trawl survey.
8. To collect biological data from carpet shark, two saddle rattail, eagle ray, electric ray, and silver dory.
9. To collect hull based acoustic survey data for future analysis.

## 2. METHODS

### 2.1 Survey area and design

The survey used a two-phase stratified random design (Francis 1984). The survey area covered depths of 20-200 m off the west coast of the South Island from Cape Farewell to Karamea; 25-400 m from Karamea to Cape Foulwind; 20-400 m from Cape Foulwind to the Haast River mouth; and within Tasman and Golden Bays inside a line drawn between Farewell Spit and Stephens Island (Figure 1). The maximum depth on the west coast north of Karamea was limited to 200 m because of historically low catch rates in the $200-400 \mathrm{~m}$ range.

The survey area of $25594 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, including untrawlable ground, was divided into 16 strata by area and depth (Table 1, Figure 1). Strata were identical to those used in previous surveys. The trawlable ground within the survey area represented $84 \%$ of the total survey area.

Phase 1 station allocation was optimised using the R function allocate to achieve the target c.v.s. Stratum area and catch rate data from previous Kaharoa trawl surveys were used to simulate optimal allocation and simulations were run for each target species separately. Results showed that gurnard and red cod required the most effort to achieve the target predicted c.v.s, with 74 stations required, respectively. The proposed phase 1 survey design of 74 stations was based on the maximum number of stations required for each species in each stratum.

Before the survey began, sufficient trawl stations to cover both first and second phase stations were randomly generated for each stratum by the computer programme 'Rand_stn v2.1' (Vignaux 1994). The stations were required to be a minimum of 5.6 km ( 3 n . miles) apart. Non-trawlable ground was identified before the voyage from data collected during previous trawl surveys in the area and excluded from the station allocation program. The distribution of non-trawlable ground is given in Table 1 and shown in Figures 1a and 1b.

### 2.2 Vessel, gear, and trawling procedure

RV Kaharoa is a 28 m stern trawler with a beam of 8.2 m , displacement of 302 t , engine power of 522 kW , capable of trawling to depths of 500 m . The two-panel trawl net used during the survey was designed and constructed in 1991 specifically for South Island inshore trawl surveys and is based on an 'Alfredo' design. The net was fitted with a 60 mm (inside measurement) knotless codend. Details of the net design were given by Beentjes \& Stevenson (2008).

Gear specifications were the same as for previous surveys (Drummond \& Stevenson 1996). Doorspread and headline height measurements were recorded from Scanmar monitoring equipment and an average taken of five readings at $10-15 \mathrm{~min}$ intervals during each tow. When no direct readout was possible, doorspread value was calculated as being equal to the mean of the doorspread from stations within the same stratum depth range for which direct readings were available.

A Seabird CTD was used to record sea temperatures, conductivity, and water pressure. A Mac Marine Bottom Contact Sensor (BCS) was mounted near the centre of the groundrope and used to determine if the net was in adequate contact with the sea floor. If the graphic output showed the net had not travelled smoothly, it was reviewed by the voyage leader who made a determination on the suitability of the tow. Acoustic data were collected using a hull-mounted Simrad EK60 38 kHz echosounder and a splitbeam transducer. Recordings were made during trawls and when steaming between stations (day and night).

Procedures followed those recommended by Stevenson \& Hanchet (1999). All tows were undertaken in daylight, and four to six tows a day were planned. For each tow the vessel steamed to the station position and, if necessary, the bottom was checked with the depth sounder. Once the station was considered trawlable, the gear was set away so that the midpoint of the tow would coincide as nearly as possible with the station position. The direction of the tow was influenced by a combination of factors including weather conditions, tides, bottom contours, and the location of the next tow, but was usually in the direction of the next tow.

If the station was found to be in an area of foul or the depth was out of the stratum range, an area within 5 km of the station was searched for a replacement. If the search was unsuccessful, the station was abandoned and the next alternative station from the random station list was chosen. Standard tows were of 1 h duration at a speed over the ground of 3 kn and the distance covered was measured by GPS. The tow was deemed to have started when the net monitor indicated the net was on the bottom, and was completed when hauling began.

A warp length of 200 m was used for all tows at less than 70 m depth. At greater depths, the warp to depth ratio decreased linearly to about 2.4:1 at 400 m .

### 2.3 Water temperatures

The surface and bottom temperatures at each station were recorded by the CTD unit. Surface temperatures were taken at a depth of 5 m and bottom temperatures when the net settled on the bottom. Bottom temperatures were taken at about 5 m above the sea floor because the CTD rests on the net just behind the headline.

### 2.4 Catch and biological sampling

The catch from each tow was sorted into species on deck and weighed on 100 kg electronic motioncompensating Seaway scales to the nearest 0.1 kg . Finfish, squid, and crustaceans (scampi) were classified to species level: crabs, shellfish, and other invertebrate species not readily identified were frozen for later identification because of difficulty in identifying individual species and the limited sorting time available between tows. Unidentified specimens were placed in sealed plastic bags with a label noting the trip code and station number.

Length, to the nearest whole centimetre below the actual length, and sex (where possible) were recorded for all ITQ species, either for the whole catch or a randomly selected subsample of up to 200 fish per tow.

Individual fish weights and/or reproductive state were collected for the target species, eagle ray, electric ray, silver dory, two-saddle rattail, rig, rough skate, smooth skate, and school shark. Individual fish weights were taken to enable length-weight relationships to be determined for scaling length frequency data and calculation of biomass for length intervals. Samples were selected nonrandomly from the random length frequency sample to ensure a wide range was obtained for each
species. Before the survey discussions were held with the Ministry of Fisheries about concerns that the standard protocol for collecting otoliths and spines might not sample southern west coast strata adequately because stations were sampled generally in a north to south direction. Therefore, to ensure an even representation of otoliths throughout the area up to 10 otoliths or spines were collected from each station for red gurnard, giant stargazer, spiny dogfish, and tarakihi. Previous ageing work on red cod showed that there was no difference in growth rates between fish from the northern and southern west coast (Beentjes 2000).

### 2.5 Data analysis

Relative biomass estimates and scaled length-frequency distributions and their associated c.v.s were estimated by the area-swept method (Francis 1981, 1989) using the SurvCalc Program (Francis \& Fu unpublished report). SurvCalc is a C++ based program which replaced the TrawlSurvey Analysis program (Vigneaux 1994) used in previous years (Stevenson 2007b, Stevenson \& Hanchet 1999). All data were entered into the Ministry of Fisheries trawl database.

The following assumptions were made for extracting biomass estimates with the SurvCalc Program.

1. The area swept during each tow equalled the distance between the doors multiplied by the distance towed.
2. Vulnerability was 1.0 . This assumes that all fish in the area swept were caught and there was no escapement.
3. Vertical availability was 1.0 . This assumes that all fish in the water column were below the headline height and available to the net.
4. Areal availability was 1.0 . This assumes that the fishstock being sampled was entirely within the survey area at the time of the survey.
5. Within the survey area, fish were evenly distributed over both trawlable and non-trawlable ground.

Although these assumptions are unlikely to be correct, their adoption provides the basis for a time series of relative biomass estimates (Stevenson \& Hanchet 1999). All assumptions listed are consistent with those used for previous surveys in the series.

All stations where the gear performance code was 1 or 2 ( 72 stations) were used for biomass estimation.

Length frequencies were scaled by the percentage of catch sampled, area swept, and stratum area. The geometric mean functional relationship was used to calculate the length-weight coefficients for species where sufficient length-weight data were collected on this survey. For other species, coefficients were chosen from the trawl database and a selection made on the basis of whether coefficients were available from previous surveys in the series or on the best match between the size range of the fish used to calculate the coefficients and the sample size range from this survey (Appendix 1).

Sex ratios were calculated using scaled population numbers and are expressed as the ratio of males to females.

### 2.6 Elasmobranch tagging

As soon as the net was brought on board, lively rig (Mustelus lenticulatus), school shark (Galeorhinus galeus), and rough skate (Raja nasuta) and smooth skate ( $R$. innominata) were separated from the catch and tagged with Hallprint dart tags whenever possible. Length, weight, and sex were recorded for each tagged fish.

### 2.7 Tarakihi tagging

At the end of the survey, the vessel returned to a location in Tasman Bay where small tarakihi had been caught during the regular survey. Short tows were made to catch juvenile ( $15-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) tarakihi for a tagging experiment. The CTD and BCS were not deployed for this portion of the project. Tow duration was 10 minutes and at the end of the tow the codend was quickly lowered into an aerated tank to minimise the time fish spent out of the water. Tagging began immediately or after tarakihi had been sorted from the rest of the catch, and tagged fish placed in a second aerated tank. Tagged fish were then released before travelling to the next station. If more than 10 fish were tagged, the next station was a minimum of 1 n . mile away.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Biomass estimates and c.v.s by stratum and catch rates by stratum are given for the 20 most abundant commercially important species. Trends in biomass and comparative length frequency distributions are presented for the target species and for those species it is thought the surveys could be monitoring adults and/or pre-recruit abundance (Stevenson 2007b). Length frequency distributions for other species are given for this survey only if the species is one of the 20 most abundant commercially important species. In addition, snapper (Pagrus auratus) are included for this survey because of the numbers of $14-19 \mathrm{~cm}$ fish caught. Catch rate figures are given for only the target species.

### 3.1 Survey area, design, and gear performance

Trawling began in Tasman and Golden Bays and after 4 days continued on the west coast in a generally north to south direction. Parts of two days were lost to bad weather and one day was used unloading fish.

Seventy-two stations was successfully completed, 67 in phase 1 and 5 in phase 2 . Station density ranged from one station per $102 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in stratum 17 to one station per $860 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in stratum 2, with an average density of one station per $355 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (Table 1). At least three stations were completed in all 16 strata and all project and survey objectives were achieved. The survey area, with stratum boundaries and station positions, is shown in Figures 1a and 1 b and individual station data are given in Appendix 2.

The phase 2 stations were primarily used to reduce the c.v.s for giant stargazer and spiny dogfish but also helped reduce the c.v. for tarakihi. Catch rates of the remaining target species were not used for allocation of phase 2 stations because the c.v.s for these species were within target levels.

Tow and gear parameters by depth are shown in Table 2. Doorspread varied from 67.8 to 93.8 m and headline height varied between 4.4 and 5.3 m (Table 2, Appendix 2). Measurements of headline height and doorspread, together with BCS output and observations that the doors and trawl gear were polishing
well, indicated that the gear was, in general, operating correctly. Gear parameters were similar to those of previous surveys, indicating consistency between surveys (Stevenson \& Hanchet 2000).

### 3.2 Catch composition

About 47.5 t of fish were caught from the 72 tows of the main survey at an average of 641.9 kg per tow (range $94.1-3558.2 \mathrm{~kg}$ ). Amongst the fish catch, 12 elasmobranchs and 56 teleosts were recorded. Species codes, common names, scientific names, and catch weights of all species identified during the survey are given in Appendix 3. Invertebrate species identified from the catch are given in Appendix 4.

The most abundant species by weight was spiny dogfish with 11.8 t caught ( $24.9 \%$ of the total catch). The top four species, spiny dogfish, red cod, barracouta (Thyrsites atun), and silver dory (Cyttus novaezealandiae) made up over $51 \%$ of the total. Giant stargazer, red cod, red gurnard, and tarakihi made up $5.6,10.7,2.3$, and $3.5 \%$ of the catch, respectively. Arrow squid (Nototodarus sloanii), barracouta, and spiny dogfish occurred in over $90 \%$ of the tows.

Thirty-eight species of invertebrates were identified during the survey or from retained specimens (Appendix 4). This is fewer than in 2007 primarily because of a lack of bryozoans. However, the lower numbers of invertebrate species does not necessarily indicate reduced biodiversity in the survey area because the gear is not designed to collect benthic macroinvertebrates. In addition, station location strongly influences the incidence of some groups (e.g., bryozoans).

### 3.3 Catch rates and species distribution

Distribution by stratum and catch rates for the target species are shown in Figures 2a-2e (biomass tows only). Catch rates are given in kilograms per square kilometre. On average a standard tow covers $0.44 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, therefore a catch rate of $100 \mathrm{~kg} \cdot \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$ equates to a catch of 44 kg .

Mean catch rates by stratum for the 20 most abundant commercially important species are given in Table 3.

### 3.4 Biomass estimation

Relative biomass estimates for ITQ species caught in 2009 are given in Table 4. Spiny dogfish had the largest estimated biomass followed by barracouta and red cod. Estimated biomass and coefficients of variation for the target species were: giant stargazer, $1952 \mathrm{t}(19 \%)$; red gurnard, $651 \mathrm{t}(18 \%)$; red cod, 2782 t ( $25 \%$ ); spiny dogfish, 10270 t (19\%); and tarakihi, 1088 t ( $22 \%$ ) (Table 4).

Biomass estimates of recruited fish for barracouta, blue warehou (Seriolella brama), giant stargazer, hoki, John dory (Zeus faber), red cod, red gurnard, rig (Mustelus lenticulatus), sand flounder (Rhombosolea plebeia), school shark (Galeorhinus galeus), silver warehou (Seriolella punctata), and tarakihi are given in Table 5. For giant stargazer, red cod, red gurnard, and tarakihi, the percentage of total biomass comprising recruited fish were $98 \%, 47 \%, 78 \%$, and over $99 \%$ respectively.

Biomass estimates by year class (where discernible from the length frequency distributions) for barracouta, blue warehou, hake (Merluccius australis), hoki (Macruronus novaezelandiae), jack mackerel (Trachurus novaezelandiae), red cod, red gurnard, school shark, silver warehou, and tarakihi are given in Table 6. For red cod, the $1+$ cohort made up about $43 \%$ of the total biomass. For
red gurnard, the $2+$ cohort made up $23 \%$ of the total biomass and for tarakihi the $1+$ and $2+$ cohorts made up $7 \%$ and $5 \%$ of the total respectively (Table 6).

The relative biomass estimates and c.v.s for the 20 most abundant commercially important species are given by stratum in Table 7.

Trends in biomass for selected species are shown in Figure 3 and discussed in Section 3.7.

### 3.5 Water temperatures

Isotherms estimated from CTD surface temperature recordings are shown in Figure 4. Isotherms estimated from CTD bottom temperature recordings are shown in Figure 5. Temperatures can not be directly compared to surveys Before 2005 because earlier data were not taken from calibrated recordings. Both surface and bottom temperatures were generally lower than in 2007.

### 3.6 Length frequency and biological data

The numbers of length frequency and biological samples taken during the survey are given in Table 8. Comparative scaled length frequency distributions for the target species and for the eight other species the surveys may be monitoring are shown in Figures $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$ in alphabetical order by common name. Scaled length frequency distributions from this survey for other commercial species where more than 100 fish were measured are shown in Figure 7 in alphabetical order by common name.

Length-weight coefficients were determined for giant stargazer, red cod, red gurnard, spiny dogfish, tarakihi, rig, rough skate, school shark, carpet shark (Cephaloscyllium isabellum), silver dory (Cyttus novaezealandiae), and two saddle rattail (Caelorinchus biclinozonalis) from data collected on this survey (Appendix 1). Length-weight data were also collected for electric ray (Torpedo fairchildi ) and eagle ray (Myliobatis tenuicaudatus) but too few were caught to calculate a reliable lengthweight regression.

Details of gonad stages for giant stargazer, red cod, red gurnard, and tarakihi are given in Table 9a whilst maturity stage details for spiny dogfish are given in Table 9b.

### 3.7 Trends in target species

### 3.7.1 Giant stargazer

Giant stargazer were caught at $81 \%$ of all stations with the highest catch rates south of Cape Foulwind in depths of $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$ (strata $6,8,12$, and 15) (see Figure 2a, Table 3). Total biomass was fairly constant for the first four surveys but declined in 2000 and again in 2003 to a low of 834 t . The biomass has steadily increased since then with the highest estimate in the series (1952 t) from 2009. (see Table 4, Figure 3). The proportion of juveniles has increased from less than $6 \%$ in the first two surveys to $14 \%$ and $13 \%$ in 2007 and 2009 respectively (Figure 5) Seventy-three percent of the biomass was south of Cape Foulwind, and $77 \%$ was within the $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$ depth range (Table 7). Biomass of adult fish (over 45 cm ) was 1661 t (see Table 5). There were more fish less than 45 cm caught on this survey than in previous years (see Figure 6d) but no clear year class modes were apparent in the length frequency distribution. The sex ratio (male:female) was 1.45:1 overall (Figure $6 \mathrm{~d})$. Virtually all females under 50 cm total length were immature or had resting gonads, but above this size, most had maturing gonads. Most males under 40 cm were immature or resting, and most
males over 40 cm were maturing (Table 9). This is consistent with the winter spawning period of giant stargazer.

### 3.7.2 Red cod

Red cod were caught at over $78 \%$ of all stations, with the highest catch rates in strata $5,7,11,14$, and 19 (see Figure 2b, Table 3). Total biomass estimates were fairly stable for the first four surveys varying from 2546 t to 3168 t . There was a sharp decline in 2000 to 414 t but the biomass gradually recovered to 2782 t in 2009 (see Table 4, Figure 3). Juvenile biomass has always exceeded adult biomass and in 2009 made up over $90 \%$ of the total (Figure 8). Only $42 \%$ of the total biomass was south of Cape Foulwind and $95 \%$ was from depths less than 200 m (see Table 7). Adult biomass (over 51 cm ) was 259 t (Table 5). The length frequency data show the $1+$ cohort ( $24-38 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) was not as dominant in 2009 as it has been in previous surveys. Very few fish in the $10-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ range ( $0+$ fish) were caught, which is consistent with previous surveys except 1995 and 1997 (see Figure 6h). The sex ratio of 1.4:1 was similar to surveys before 2007. Most red cod examined had immature or resting gonads but some fish were at later stages of reproductive development (Table 9). Since red cod spawn from late winter to spring (Ministry of Fisheries 2009), it would be expected to not find a significant proportion of maturing or ripe gonads.

### 3.7.3 Red gurnard

Red gurnard were caught at all but three stations in Tasman and Golden Bay and at all but five stations in depths less than 100 m along the west coast (see Figure 2c). The highest catch rates were in strata 2, 6, and 19 (see Table 3). The biomass estimates were consistent from 1992 to 2000 but showed a sharp decline in 2003. There has been a steady recovery over the last three surveys and the estimate for 2009 ( 651 t ) was higher than any previous survey (see Table 4, Figure 3). The proportion of juveniles has varied from a low of less than $4 \%$ in 2003 to a high of over $30 \%$ in 2009 (Figure 4). The length frequency distribution was similar to that of 1997 and 2000 with high numbers of prerecruit fish (see Figure 6i). The recruited and adult biomass estimates ( 30 cm or over) were 407 t ( $63 \%$ of the total) with 223 t occurring on the west coast (see Table 5). Almost $99 \%$ of red gurnard biomass was at depths less than 100 m and no gurnard were caught deeper than 200 m (see Table 7). The overall sex ratio was 0.9:1 (see Figure 6i). Most red gurnard longer than 30 cm and a few smaller fish had developing or mature gonads (Table 9). Red gurnard have a long spawning period and ripe individuals can be found in the Hauraki Gulf throughout the year (Ministry of Fisheries 2009).

### 3.7.4 Spiny dogfish

Spiny dogfish were caught at over $93 \%$ of all biomass stations with the highest catch rates in strata 7 and 8 (see Table 3, Figure 2d). The biomass estimates have been relatively stable from 1992 to 2007 but there was a sharp increase in 2009 to 10270 t which was the highest of any survey in the series (see Table 4, Figure 3). ). Adults abundance has always been greater than that of juveniles and in 2009 represented more than $80 \%$ of the total (see Figure 8). There were considerably more fish under 50 cm caught on this survey than in 2007 (see Figure 61). Almost $99 \%$ of the estimated biomass was at depths less than 200 m (see Table 7). The sex ratio of $0.89: 1$ was similar to the previous three surveys but lower than in 1997 and 2000 (see Figure 61).

### 3.7.5 Tarakihi

Tarakihi were caught at $88 \%$ of all biomass stations with the highest catch rates in strata 5,12 , and 16 (see Table 3, Figure 2e). The biomass estimates show a declining trend to 2003 with a sharp increase in 2005 and a subsequent drop in 2007 and 2009 to 1997 levels (see Table 4 Figure 3). Adult biomass has always been greater than juvenile biomass and in 2009 made up $80 \%$ of the total (see Figure 8). Over $88 \%$ of the biomass estimate was recruited fish ( 25 cm or over) (see Tables 4 and 5) whilst the adult biomass (over 31 cm ) was 848 t (see Table 5). The length frequency data exhibits a strong mode at $10-14 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( $0+$ fish) similar to 1997 and a second strong mode at $17-24 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( $1+$ fish) and a few fish at $25-28 \mathrm{~cm}$, probably $2+$ fish (see Figure 6 m ). Of the total tarakihi biomass ( 1189 t ), over $89 \%$ was on the west coast, and over $62 \%$ ( 744 t ) of this was at depths between 100 and 200 m (see Table 7). The sex ratio for the estimated population was $0.87: 1$ (Figure 6 m ). There was little reproductive development in tarakihi under 30 cm FL, but for bigger fish the full range of gonad stages was recorded (Table 9) which is consistent with tarakihi spawning in summer and autumn.

### 3.7.6 Trends in other species

## Barracouta

Barracouta were caught at over $94 \%$ of all biomass stations and represented $10.3 \%$ of the total catch (Appendix 3). The highest catch rates were in strata 5 and 15 (see Table 3). The biomass has varied almost 3 -fold during the series but does not show a consistent trend (see Table 4). The 2009 estimate of 3512 t is in the mid-range for the series. The length frequency distribution usually has a very strong mode of $0+$ fish with the 2009 mode one of the strongest in the series (see Figure 6a).

## Blue warehou

Blue warehou were caught at $60 \%$ of all biomass stations with the highest catch rates in strata 11 and 15 (Table 3). The biomass estimate for 2009 is in the mid-range of the series estimates (see Table 4, Figure 3). However, the mode in the length frequency distribution at $10-23 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( $0+$ fish) is the strongest in any survey in the series (Figure 6b). Stevenson \& Hanchet (2000) noted that because of the poor precision in the biomass estimates the surveys are probably not suitable for monitoring adult or pre-recruit blue warehou. Although, Stevenson (2007b) suggested that the survey may be able to provide information on year class strengths, ageing of the commercial catch would be required to show this.

## Gemfish

Gemfish were caught in low numbers at only 10 stations (Appendix 3, Table 8). The biomass estimates from the series do not show a definite trend (see Table 4, Figure 3) but the length frequency distributions occasionally show apparently strong year classes (see Figure 6b). There are no strong year classes visible in 2009.

## Hake

There were few juveniles compared to 2007 (see Figure 7) but numbers were similar to most surveys in the series (Stevenson 2007b).

## Hoki

The length frequency distribution for hoki shows a strong mode at $17-26 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( $0+$ fish) (see Figure 7). This is the second highest number of 0+ fish in the series, exceeded only by the 1995 survey (the strong 1994 year class) (Stevenson 2007b).

## Jack mackerel (Trachurus declivis)

Trachurus declivis was present in the catch from 27 stations but only in low numbers (Appendix 3, Table 8). The biomass estimate of 79 t was slightly higher than in 2007 , which was the lowest of any survey in the series (see Table 4, Figure 3). The length frequency distribution is similar to those from the previous three surveys with few small fish and a weak adult mode (see Figure 6e).

## John dory

John dory were caught at 32 biomass stations with the highest catch rates in strata 1 and 19 (see Table 3, Appendix 3). The biomass estimate of 269 t is the second highest in the series (see Table 4, Figure 3). The length frequency distribution shows a strong mode at $25-35 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( $1+$ fish) and good numbers of adults of both sexes. This is similar to the 2000 survey and the strong year class seen then continued to be present in the adult mode in the length frequency distributions from the next 2 surveys (see Figure 6f). This could mean John dory will continue to maintain the higher biomass seen in the last five surveys.

## Ling

Ling were caught at $54 \%$ of all biomass stations with the highest catch rates in stratum 16 (Appendix 3, Table 3). The biomass estimate of 291 t is one of the highest in the series and similar to 1992 , 1994, and 2005 but there doesn't appear to be a trend over the series (see Table 4, Figure 3). The scaled length frequency distribution for 2009 does not show evidence of any strong year class (see Figure 6 g ).

## Rig

Rig were also caught at $54 \%$ of the biomass stations, with the highest catch rates in strata 18 and 14 (Appendix 3, Table 3). The estimated biomass of 274 t is lower than for 2007 but within the midrange of the series (see Table 4, Figure 3). The length frequency distribution shows two strong modes at $34-44 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $48-60 \mathrm{~cm}$. There were few fish over 100 cm , which is typical of the series (see Figure 6 j ).

## School shark

School shark were caught at $89 \%$ of all biomass stations with the highest catch rates in strata 17 and 5 (Appendix 3, Table 3). The estimated biomass of 1085 t was the highest since 1997 and the fourth highest in the series and continues a gradual increase since the low of 2003 (see Table 4, Figure 3). The length frequency distributions for 2009 show a very strong mode at $33-43 \mathrm{~cm}$ for both sexes compared to previous surveys (see Figure 6k).

### 3.8 Tagging

A total of 147 school shark were tagged ( 65 females and 82 males) ranging in length from 40 to 144 cm . In addition, 29 rig ( 9 females, 20 males), 41 rough skate ( 21 females, 20 males), and 4 smooth skate ( 1 female, 3 males) were tagged.

A total of 641 juvenile tarakihi was tagged and released in Tasman bay.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The 2009 survey successfully extended the March-April Kaharoa time series for the west coast of the South Island and Tasman and Golden Bays. The results show the series continues to monitor the target species and adults and/or pre-recruits and juveniles of several other species. Biomass estimates of giant stargazer, red gurnard, and spiny dogfish are the highest in the series, whilst those for red cod and tarakihi are within the range of previous surveys. The catch of snapper is of special interest because juveniles had never been caught on any previous survey. Since most snapper tend to leave the Tasman and Golden Bays by the time of the survey, this result could indicate the presence of a strong year class.

## The SurvCalc program

Biomass, catchrate by stratum, and length frequency data were analysed using a new program, SurvCalc, which replaces the TrawlSurvey Analysis program used for previous trawl survey data analysis. SurvCalc is a C++ based program and uses some of the code from TrawlSurvey Analysis. Analysis of data for the target species from a previous survey was run to compare the results to ensure comparative results. The resulting outputs were identical for biomass and scaled length frequency numbers (Table 10).

A major advantage using SurvCalc is the ability to calculate biomass estimates and scaled length frequencies for several species at once. In addition, only minor changes to the input files will be required for analysis of any other survey. A sample input file is given in Appendix 5.
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Table 1: Stratum depth ranges, survey area, non-trawlable area, number of successful Phase 1 and Phase 2 biomass stations and station density.

| Stratum | Depth (m) | Area $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Non-trawlable <br> area $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ | Number of stations <br> Phase 1 | Station density |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ per station)

Table 2: Gear parameters for bimass stations by depth range (n, number of stations; s.d., standard deviation). Data for gear trials shown separately.

|  | $n$ | Mean | s.d. | Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All stations | 72 |  |  |  |
| Headline height (m) |  | 4.9 | 0.20 | 4.4-5.3 |
| Doorspread (m) |  | 79.7 | 7.38 | 67.8-93.8 |
| Distance ( n . miles) |  | 3.0 | 0.19 | 1.96-3.33 |
| Warp:depth ratio |  | 3.9 | 1.67 | 2.42-9.30 |
| Tasman/Golden Bays |  |  |  |  |
| 20-70 m | 15 |  |  |  |
| Headline height (m) |  | 4.9 | 0.16 | 4.8-5.3 |
| Doorspread (m) |  | 73.0 | 2.09 | 67.8-75.6 |
| Distance ( n . miles) |  | 3.0 | 0.13 | 2.82-3.38 |
| Warp:depth ratio |  | 5.7 | 1.85 | 3.41-8.89 |
| West coast |  |  |  |  |
| 20-400 m | 57 |  |  |  |
| Headline height (m) |  | 4.9 | 0.21 | 4.4-5.3 |
| Doorspread (m) |  | 81.5 | 7.25 | 69.2-93.8 |
| Distance (n. miles) |  | 3.0 | 0.19 | 1.96-3.28 |
| Warp:depth ratio |  | 3.5 | 1.42 | 2.42-7.55 |
| 20-100 m | 25 |  |  |  |
| Headline height (m) |  | 5.0 | 0.23 | 4.5-5.3 |
| Doorspread (m) |  | 74.4 | 3.57 | 69.2-81.8 |
| Distance (n. miles) |  | 3.0 | 0.06 | 2.93-3.20 |
| Warp:depth ratio |  | 4.5 | 1.66 | 2.75-7.55 |
| 100-200 m | 23 |  |  |  |
| Headline height (m) |  | 4.9 | 0.18 | 4.7-5.3 |
| Doorspread (m) |  | 85.6 | 3.12 | 78.9-92.2 |
| Distance (n. miles) |  | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1.96-3.28 |
| Warp:depth ratio |  | 2.8 | 0.09 | 2.47-2.89 |
| 200-400 m | 9 |  |  |  |
| Headline height (m) |  | 4.8 | 0.22 | 4.4-5.1 |
| Doorspread (m) |  | 90.6 | 2.47 | 86.6-93.8 |
| Distance (n. miles) |  | 2.9 | 0.29 | 2.14-3.07 |
| Warp:depth ratio |  | 2.6 | 0.07 | 2.42-2.64 |

Table 3: Mean catch rates $\left(\mathrm{kg}_{\mathrm{km}}{ }^{-2}\right)$ by stratum for the 20 most abundant commercially important species in order of catch abundance. Species codes are given in Appendix 3.

| Stratum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Species code |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SPD | RCO | BAR | STA | HOK | TAR | SCH | FRO | GSH | GUR |
| 1 | 322 | * | 87 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 19 | 11 | 51 | 14 |
| 2 | 329 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 44 | 3 | 68 | 1 |
| 5 | 430 | 295 | 522 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 113 | 9 | 48 | 92 |
| 6 | 299 | 5 | 136 | 157 | 14 | 28 | 54 | 16 | 64 | 1 |
| 7 | 627 | 502 | 250 | 41 | 54 | 12 | 41 | 6 | 31 | 70 |
| 8 | 1692 | 54 | 117 | 239 | 323 | 31 | 59 | 42 | 36 | 1 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 474 | 430 | 225 | 69 | 107 | 47 | 14 | 2 | 26 | 43 |
| 12 | 293 | 39 | 209 | 165 | 39 | 203 | 20 | 247 | 8 | 0 |
| 13 | 96 | 94 | 40 | 85 | 34 | 35 | 19 | 56 | 31 | 0 |
| 14 | 556 | 354 | 38 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 15 | 236 | 48 | 314 | 99 | 46 | 77 | 53 | 37 | 18 | 0 |
| 16 | 78 | 100 | 37 | 407 | 388 | 123 | 8 | 106 | 201 | 0 |
| 17 | 118 | 17 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 84 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 18 | 197 | 58 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 19 | 33 | 246 | 205 | 19 | 0 | 28 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 114 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Species code |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | LIN | JMN | LEA | SPE | SPO | HAK | ELE | NOS | WAR | JDO |
| 1 | 0 | $*$ | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 35 |
| 2 | $*$ | 0 | $*$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 17 |
| 5 | 1 | 32 | $*$ | $*$ | 31 | 107 | $*$ | 1 | 6 | 8 |
| 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 6 |
| 7 | 3 | $*$ | $*$ | 0 | 14 | 40 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 5 |
| 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 8 | $*$ | 0 | 0 | 8 | 22 | 110 | 14 | 48 | 0 |
| 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 1 | $*$ | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 16 | $*$ | 0 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| 15 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 47 | 0 |
| 16 | 421 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 10 | 103 | 32 | 45 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 21 |
| 18 | 0 | 165 | 129 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 17 |
| 19 | 1 | 72 | 73 | 5 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 33 |

[^0]| KAH0904 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Biomass | $\mathrm{cv} \%$ |  |
| 402 | 16 |  |
| 3512 | 17 |  |
| 175 | 27 |  |
| 900 | 17 |  |
| 185 | 83 |  |
| 835 | 35 |  |
| 143 | 29 |  |
| 1952 | 19 |  |
| 212 | 56 |  |
| 1302 | 46 |  |























Table 5: Recruited biomass estimates (t) and target species adult biomass estimates


| Barracouta | 50 | 270 | 56 | 2682 | 18 | 2952 | 17 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue warehou | 45 | 21 | 100 | 88 | 47 | 108 | 42 |  |  |  |
| Giant stargazer | 30 | 44 | 21 | 1655 | 20 | 1912 | 19 | 45 | 1661 | 20 |
| Hoki | 65 | 0 |  | 32 | 40 | 32 | 40 |  |  |  |
| John dory | 25 | 103 | 28 | 165 | 33 | 268 | 23 |  |  |  |
| Ling | 65 | 0 |  | 229 | 45 | 229 | 45 |  |  |  |
| Red cod | 40 | 267 | 79 | 1346 | 28 | 1614 | 27 | 51 | 259 | 25 |
| Red gurnard | 30 | 185 | 26 | 223 | 27 | 407 | 19 | 30 | 407 | 19 |
| Rig | 90 | 16 | 62 | 69 | 25 | 84 | 23 |  |  |  |
| Sand flounder | 25 | 102 | 44 | 7 | 60 | 109 | 41 |  |  |  |
| Spiny dogfish |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3318 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4528 | 38 |
| School shark | 90 | 14 | 25 | 505 | 22 | 519 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Silver warehou | 25 | 0 |  | 60 | 31 | 60 | 31 |  |  |  |
| Tarakihi | 25 | 8 | 48 | 950 | 24 | 958 | 24 | 31 | 848 | 26.6 |

Table 6: Biomass estimates ( $\mathbf{t}$ ) by year class estimated from length frequency distributions.

|  | Year <br> class | Length <br> range (cm) | Biomass | c.v. \% |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Species |  |  |  |  |
| Barracouta | $0+$ | $<15$ | $<0.1$ | 99 |
|  | $1+$ | $15-29$ | 180 | 39 |
|  | $2+$ | $29-39$ | 20 | 67 |
| Blue warehou | $3+$ | $39-53$ | 402 | 44 |
|  | $0+$ | $<23$ | 44 | 30 |
|  | $1+$ | $23-29$ | 5 | 35 |
| Hake | $2+$ | $30-39$ | 12 | 54 |
|  | $0+$ | $<19$ | 1 | 56 |
| Hoki | $1+$ | $19-28$ | 2 | 45 |
|  | $2+$ | $29-45$ | 196 | 59 |
| Jack mackerel | $0+$ | $17-33$ | 1128 | 53 |
| (T. novaezelan | $1+$ | $34-49$ | 79 | 35 |
| Red cod | $0+$ | $13-24$ | 238 | 38 |
|  | $1+$ | $<23$ | 5 | 27 |
| Red gurnard | $0+$ | $23-38$ | 708 | 25 |
|  | $10-16$ | 0.3 | 68 |  |
| School shark | $2+$ | $17-26$ | 127 | 21 |
| Silver warehou | $0+$ | $<44$ | 59 | 32 |
| Tarakihi | $1+$ | $44-53$ | 47 | 28 |
|  | $1+$ | $13-21$ | 17 | 22 |
|  | $0+$ | $10-15$ | 19 | 26 |
|  | $1+$ | $16-23$ | 91 | 34 |
|  | $2+$ | $24-28$ | 58.5 | 25.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7: Estimated biomass (t) (and c.v. \%) by stratum for the 20 most abundant commercially important species in order of catch abundance. Species codes are given in Appendix 3.


## Table 7-continued.

| Stratum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Species code |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LIN | JMN | LEA | SPE | SPO | HAK | ELE | NOS | WAR | JDO |
| 1 | 0 | $\underset{(100)}{+}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (36) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ (58) \end{array}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (45) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 133 \\ (41) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ (60) \end{array}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (94) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36 \\ (88) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ (39) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 121 \\ & (93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (35) \end{array}$ | 6 $(38)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (29) \end{array}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (90) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ (0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ (0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \\ (58) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (65) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ (25) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ (52) \end{array}$ |
| 7 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (89) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ (63) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ (0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (62) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ (78) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ (62) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (45) \end{array}$ | 2 $(52)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (63) \end{array}$ |
| 8 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (53) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ (0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ (55) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ (43) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \\ \text { (33) } \end{array}$ | 3 $(65)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (93) \end{array}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ (68) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ (39) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (39) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ (56) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ (42) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ (60) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 158 \\ (97) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (36) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ (58) \end{array}$ | 0 |
| 12 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (92) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ (17) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ (39) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ (50) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ (53) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ (24) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ (54) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} + \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ (26) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (34) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (63) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (59) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (70) \end{array}$ | 0 |
| 15 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (33) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ (68) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ (100) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ (58) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ (32) \end{array}$ | 0 |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & (74) \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ (90) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (62) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (41) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (15) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32 \\ (38) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ (49) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ (94) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (30) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | 5 $(40)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ (20) \end{array}$ |
| 18 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ (0) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 156 \\ (51) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 122 \\ (64) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ (85) \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (42) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ (68) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ (34) \end{array}$ |
| 19 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (40) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 174 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 179 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ (36) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ (31) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ (76) \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ (18) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ (69) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ (35) \end{array}$ |

Table 8: Number of biological and length frequency records.

| Species code | Measurement method | Length frequency data |  | No. of samples | Biological data+ |  | No. of tagged fish |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of samples | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. of } \\ \text { fish } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No. of } \\ \text { fish } \end{array}$ | No. of otoliths or spines |  |
| BAR | 1 | 76 | 3258 |  |  |  |  |
| BCO | 2 | 12 | 506 |  |  |  |  |
| BRI | 2 | 8 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| CAR | 2 | 37 | 655 | 37 | 655 |  |  |
| CBI | 2 | 30 | 1932 | 26 | 1213 |  |  |
| EGR | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| ELE | 1 | 11 | 130 |  |  |  |  |
| ERA | 5 | 12 | 20 | 13 | 21 |  |  |
| ESO | 2 | 17 | 467 |  |  |  |  |
| FRO | 1 | 24 | 810 |  |  |  |  |
| GSH | G | 31 | 939 |  |  |  |  |
| GUR | 1 | 45 | 3088 | 42 | 592 | 338 |  |
| HAK | 2 | 20 | 613 |  |  |  |  |
| HAP | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| HOK | 2 | 27 | 2236 |  |  |  |  |
| JDO | 2 | 31 | 317 |  |  |  |  |
| JMD | 1 | 26 | 117 |  |  |  |  |
| JMM | 1 | 5 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| JMN | 1 | 31 | 1990 |  |  |  |  |
| KAH | 1 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| KIN | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| LEA | 2 | 16 | 1578 |  |  |  |  |
| LIN | 2 | 38 | 328 | 17 | 137 | 38 |  |
| LSO | 2 | 28 | 575 |  |  |  |  |
| MDO | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| MOK | 1 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| NSD | 4 | 15 | 59 |  |  |  |  |
| OPE | 2 | 3 | 58 |  |  |  |  |
| RBM | 1 | 4 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| RCO | 2 | 56 | 3911 | 56 | 1118 | 330 |  |
| RSK | 5 | 29 | 93 | 29 | 93 |  | 40 |
| SCH | 2 | 64 | 1086 | 63 | 902 |  | 151 |
| SDO | 2 | 19 | 1574 | 19 | 721 |  |  |
| SFL | 2 | 19 | 860 |  |  |  |  |
| SKI | 1 | 10 | 47 |  |  |  |  |
| SNA | 1 | 13 | 238 |  |  |  |  |
| SPD | 2 | 66 | 4193 | 65 | 2842 | 501 |  |
| SPE | 2 | 40 | 1896 |  |  |  |  |
| SPO | 2 | 39 | 349 | 39 | 349 |  | 29 |
| SSH | 2 | 2 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| SSK | 5 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 14 |  | 3 |
| STA | 2 | 58 | 1045 | 58 | 694 | 418 |  |
| SWA | 1 | 36 | 417 |  |  |  |  |
| TAR | 1 | 63 | 3108 | 60 | 1568 | 388 | 641 |
| THR | 2 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| TRE | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| TUR | 2 | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| WAR | 1 | 42 | 823 | 1 | 3 |  |  |
| YBF | 2 | 1 | 74 |  |  |  |  |

Measurement methods: 1 , fork length; 2 , total length; 4 , mantle length; 5 , pelvic length;
G, total length excluding tail filament

+ Data include one or more of the following: fish length, fish weight, gonad stage, otoliths, spines

Table 9: Numbers of the four target species sampled at each reproductive stage (small fish of undetermined sex are not included).
a: Teleosts

| MalesGonad stage |  |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Gonad stage |  |  |  |  |
| Length (cm) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Giant stargazer

| $11-20$ | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $21-30$ | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $31-40$ | 58 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $41-50$ | 15 | 53 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $51-60$ | 4 | 42 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 39 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  |
| $61-70$ | 0 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 76 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $>70$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 145 | 110 | 72 | 7 | 2 | 161 | 130 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 634 |

## Red cod

| $11-20$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $21-30$ | 194 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $31-40$ | 112 | 47 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 138 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $41-50$ | 31 | 63 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 70 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $51-60$ | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 13 | 8 | 2 | 0 |  |
| $>60$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total | 342 | 140 | 45 | 7 | 3 | 347 | 58 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 956 |

## Red gurnard

| $<21$ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $21-30$ | 86 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 2 |  |
| $31-40$ | 31 | 59 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 49 | 57 | 35 | 6 | 2 |  |
| $>40$ | 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 28 | 20 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Total | 127 | 95 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 160 | 100 | 59 | 9 | 7 | 579 |

Tarakihi

| $11-20$ | 69 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $21-30$ | 119 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 137 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $31-40$ | 11 | 12 | 23 | 29 | 30 | 47 | 164 | 15 | 4 | 3 |  |
| $>40$ | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 71 | 9 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Total | 199 | 29 | 28 | 35 | 34 | 242 | 238 | 24 | 5 | 4 | 838 |

Gonad stages used were: 1 , immature or resting; 2 , maturing (oocytes visible in females, thickening gonad but no milt expressible in males); 3, mature (hyaline oocytes in females, milt expressible in males); 4, running ripe (eggs and milt free flowing); 5 , spent (gonads flacid and bloodshot)

## Table 9b: Spiny dogfish



Table 10: Comparrison of outputs from the TrawlSurvey Analysis aand SurvCalc programs.

|  | Species | Year | Biomass | c.v.\% | Estimated <br> population |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trawl Survey Analysis | GUR | 2007 | 553 | 17.4 | 1783061 |
| SurvCalc | GUR | 2007 | 553 | 17.4 | 1783061 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trawl Survey Analysis | RCO | 2007 | 1637.57 | 19.34 | 4076193 |
| SurvCalc | RCO | 2007 | 1637.57 | 19.34 | 4076194 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trawl Survey Analysis | SPD | 2007 | 6291.03 | 14.05 | 5027383 |
| SurvCalc | SPD | 2007 | 6291.03 | 14.05 | 5027383 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trawl Survey Analysis | STA | 2007 | 1629.59 | 12.49 | 792944 |
| SurvCalc | STA | 2007 | 1629.59 | 12.49 | 792945 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trawl Survey Analysis | TAR | 2007 | 1188.96 | 20.85 | 2240354 |
| SurvCalc | TAR | 2007 | 1188.96 | 20.85 | 2240354 |



Figure 1a: Survey area showing strata boundaries and numbers (bold type) for Tasman and Golden Bays (top) and the west coast north of Cape Foulwind (bottom) with station positions and numbers.


Figure 1b: Strata boundaries and numbers (bold type) south of Cape Foulwind with station positions and numbers.


Figure 2: Catch rates ( $\mathbf{k g . k m}^{-2}$ ) and distribution for the target species in alphabetical order by common name (numbers in parentheses are the number of stations within the given range).
a: Giant stargazer (maximum catch rate $=1040 \mathrm{~kg} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$ )


Figure 2b: Red cod (maximum catch rate $=2460 \mathbf{k g} \cdot \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$.


Figure 2c: Red gurnard (maximum catch rate $=380 \mathbf{k g . k m}^{-2}$ ).


Figure 2d: Spiny dogfish (maximum catch rate $=3700 \mathbf{k g} \cdot \mathrm{~km}^{-2}$ ).


Figure 2e: Tarakihi (maximum catch rate $=720$ kg.km ${ }^{-2}$ ).


Figure 3: Trends in total biomass for the target species and other species for which the survey time series is likely to be monitoring adult or pre-recruit abundance.


Figure 3-continued


Figure 3-continued


Figure 4: Positions of CTD sea surface temperature recordings and isotherms estimated from the data.


Figure 5: Positions of CTD bottom temperature recordings and isotherms estimated from the data.


Figure 6: Comparative scaled length frequencies for the target species and those species where the surveys are monitoring adult or pre-recruit abundance. Estimated population in thousands and c.v.\%. (M, males; $F$, females; $\mathbf{U}$, unsexed) a: Barracouta


Figure 6a-continued


Figure 6b: Blue warehou


Figure 6b-continued


Figure 6c: Gemfish ( $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ of fish from the west coast).


Figure 6c-continued

Males \& unsexed


Figure 6d: Giant stargazer.

Males \& unsexed


Figure 6d—continued.


Figure 6e: Jack mackerel (Trachurus declivis). Fish were not sexed for some years so all years are plotted as unsexed for better comparison.


Figure 6f: John dory.


Figure 6f-continued.


Figure 6g: Ling.


Figure 6g-continued.


Figure 6h: Red cod.


Figure 6h—continued.


Figure 6i: Red gurnard.


Figure 6i-continued.
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Figure 6j: Rig.
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Figure $\mathbf{6 j}$-continued.


Figure 6k: School shark
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Figure 6k-continued.
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Figure 61: Spiny dogfish.


Figure 6m: Tarakihi.


Figure 6m-continued.


Figure 7: Scaled length frequency distributions for the non-monitored commercial species where more than 100 fish were measured. Estimated population in thousands and c.v.\%. M, male; F, female; U, unsexed (shaded).


Figure 7-continued.



## Ling




## New Zealand sole



Rough skate



Figure 7-continued.
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Figure 7-continued.






Figure 8: Biomass trends with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence intervals for juveniles (circles) and adults (triangles) for the target species (all sexes combined) from all surveys in the series. For $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ maturity lengths, see Table 5.

Appendix 1: Length-weight relationship parameters used to scale length frequencies and calculate length class biomass estimates. (DB, Ministry of Fisheries trawl database; -, no data; n, sample size.)

Group A: W $=a \mathrm{~L}^{b}$ where W is weight $(\mathrm{g})$ and L is length $(\mathrm{cm})$;

| Species | $a$ | $b$ | Length range (cm) |  |  | Data source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | n | Min. | Max. |  |
| Barracouta | 0.0055 | 2.9812 | 429 | 23.8 | 87.2 | DB, KAH9701 |
| Blue cod | 0.0122 | 3.0746 | 2137 | 12 | 47 | DB, LHR9501 |
| Blue warehou | 0.0144 | 3.1050 | 338 | 27.4 | 69.6 | DB, TAN9604 |
| Carpet shark | 0.0069 | 3.0068 | 532 | 24.5 | 99.4 | This survey |
| Dark ghost shark | 0.0015 | 3.3611 | 332 | 21.2 | 67.9 | DB, KAH9704 |
| Frostfish | 0.0004 | 3.1629 | 450 | 10.4 | 153 | DB, KAH0004 |
| Gemfish | 0.0017 | 3.3419 | 391 | 32 | 107 | DB, KAH9304, KAH9602 |
| Giant stargazer | 0.0120 | 3.1004 | 650 | 14.3 | 74.5 | This survey |
| Hake | 0.0014 | 3.3770 | 333 | 33 | 123 | DB, TAN9601 |
| Hapuku | 0.0078 | 3.1400 | 307 | 49 | 108 | DB, TAN9301 |
| Hoki | 0.0046 | 2.8840 | 525 | 22 | 110 | DB, SHI8301 |
| Jack mackerel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Trachurus declivis) | 0.0165 | 2.9300 | 200 | 15 | 53 | DB, COR9001 |
| (T. novaezelandiae) | 0.0163 | 2.9230 | 200 | 15 | 40 | DB, COR9001 |
| John dory | 0.0065 | 3.2499 | 352 | 18.4 | 54.3 | DB, KAH9902 |
| Leatherjacket | 0.0088 | 3.2110 |  |  |  | DB, IKA8003 |
| Lemon sole | 0.0080 | 3.1278 | 524 | 14.6 | 41.2 | DB, KAH9809 |
| Ling | 0.0014 | 3.2883 | 137 | 35.8 | 112.3 | This survey |
| New Zealand sole | 0.0049 | 3.2151 | 114 | 20 | 48 | DB, KAH0304 |
| Northern spiny dogfish | 0.0034 | 3.0781 | 207 | 43 | 90.3 | DB, combined surveys |
| Red cod | 0.0124 | 2.9084 | 1085 | 13.4 | 67.4 | This survey |
| Red gurnard | 0.0068 | 3.1147 | 589 | 16.2 | 50.9 | This survey |
| Rig | 0.0107 | 2.7859 | 270 | 23 | 142 | This survey |
| Rough skate | 0.0413 | 2.8198 | 93 | 21.9 | 66 | This survey |
| Sand flounder | 0.0207 | 2.8768 | 282 | 13.5 | 44.5 | DB, KAH9809 |
| School shark | 0.0037 | 3.0552 | 729 | 29.7 | 144 | This survey |
| Sea perch | 0.0262 | 2.9210 | 210 | 7 | 42 | DB, KAH9618 |
| Silver dory | 0.0191 | 2.9650 | 506 | 13.2 | 27.5 | This survey |
| Silver warehou | 0.0048 | 3.3800 | 262 | 16.6 | 57.8 | DB, TAN502 |
| Smooth skate | 0.0292 | 2.8978 | 70 | 23 | 134 | DB, KAH9701 |
| Snapper | 0.0447 | 2.7930 | 780 | 8 | 71 | DB, Paul, FRD Bull. 13 |
| Spiny dogfish | 0.0014 | 3.2487 | 1157 | 28.7 | 98.9 | This survey |
| Tarakihi | 0.0148 | 3.0552 | 863 | 10.7 | 51.5 | This survey |
| Two-saddle rattail | 0.0015 | 3.31 | 605 | 18 | 55.8 | This survey |

Group B: W $=a \mathrm{~L}^{b} \mathrm{~L}^{c(\mathrm{nLL})}$

|  | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $n$ | Range <br> $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Data source |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Arrow squid |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.2777 | 1.4130 | 0.2605 | 2792 | $3-45$ | DB, James Cook, east coast |
| South Island, 1982-83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |











|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

 Station








Appendix 2-continued


Station
\# Not used for biomass estimates

Appendix 3: Catch summary in alphabetical order by species code (Occ. = occurrence).

| Species |  |  | Catch | \% of total |  | Dept | h (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| code | Common name | Scientific name | (kg) | catch | Occ. | Min. | Max. |
| ALL | Deepwater sea snail | Alcithoe larochei | 1.2 | * | 3 | 113 | 156 |
| ANC | Anchovy | Engraulis australis | 0.9 | * | 6 | 34 | 68 |
| ANT | Anemones | Anthozoa | 0.3 | * | 2 | 23 | 49 |
| ASC | Sea squirt | Ascidiacea | 12.8 | * | 5 | 21 | 49 |
| ASR | Starfish |  | 1.2 | * | 2 | 23 | 40 |
| B AR | Barracouta | Thyrsites atun | 4878.3 | 10 | 68 | 21 | 373 |
| BCO | Blue cod | Parapercis colias | 166.1 | * | 12 | 23 | 62 |
| BPD | Lamp shells | Brachiopoda | 0.7 | * | 2 | 21 | 49 |
| BRI | Brill | Colistium guntheri | 10.5 | * | 9 | 26 | 50 |
| BRN | Barnacle | Cirripdeia (Class) | 0.2 | * | 1 | 27 | 28 |
| BRZ | Brown stargazer | Xenocephalus armatus | 0.1 | * | 1 | 151 | 156 |
| BSQ | Broad squid | Sepioteuthis australis | 5.0 | * | 5 | 33 | 47 |
| CAR | Carpet shark | Cephaloscyllium isabellum | 1176.6 | 2 | 59 | 21 | 373 |
| CBI | Two saddle rattail | Caelorinchus biclinozonalis | 1842.7 | 4 | 41 | 29 | 373 |
| CBO | Bollons's rattail | Caelorinchus bollonsi | 9.3 | * | 2 | 247 | 373 |
| CCX | Small banded rattail | Caelorinchus parvifasciatus | 13.0 | * | 6 | 170 | 312 |
| CDO | Capro dory | Capromimus abbreviatus | 26.8 | * | 19 | 78 | 373 |
| CON | Conger eel | Conger spp. | 101.0 | * | 16 | 23 | 76 |
| COU | Coral (unspecified) | Anthozoa (Class) | 4.1 | * | 1 | 221 | 244 |
| COZ | Bryozoan | Bryozoa (Phylum) | 0.1 | * | 1 | 44 | 49 |
| CRM | Airy finger sponge | Callyspongia cf ramosa | 1.8 | * | 2 | 23 | 49 |
| CUC | Cucumberfish | Chlorophthalmus nigripinnis | 142.1 | * | 24 | 48 | 373 |
| DIR | Pagurid | Diacanthurus rubricatus | 0.2 | * | 2 | 221 | 312 |
| EGR | Eagle ray | Myliobatis tenuicaudatus | 14.9 | * | 4 | 23 | 49 |
| ELE | Elephantfish | Callorhinchus milii | 389.3 | 1 | 12 | 26 | 96 |
| ERA | Electric ray | Torpedo fairchildi | 235.0 | * | 14 | 21 | 277 |
| ESO | N.Z. sole | Peltorhamphus novaezeelandiae | 155.8 | * | 17 | 23 | 111 |
| ETL | Lucifer dogfish | Etmopterus lucifer | 0.5 | * | 1 | 259 | 271 |
| FHD | Deepsea flathead | Hoplichthys haswelli | 0.3 | * | 1 | 307 | 312 |
| FLL | Shell fragments |  | 20.3 | * | 5 | 23 | 49 |
| FMA | Triton | Fusitriton magellanicus | 0.1 | * | 1 | 158 | 160 |
| FRO | Frostfish | Lepidopus caudatus | 1268.0 | 3 | 32 | 47 | 312 |
| GAS | Gastropods | Gastropoda | 0.4 | * | 3 | 29 | 312 |
| GLB | Globefish | Contusus richei | 166.5 | * | 5 | 26 | 39 |
| GLM | Green-lipped mussel | Perna canaliculus | 1.6 | * | 1 | 29 | 31 |
| GSH | Dark ghost shark | Hydrolagus novaezealandiae | 1117.0 | 2 | 33 | 62 | 312 |
| GUR | Red gurnard | Chelidonichthys kumu | 1083.2 | 2 | 44 | 21 | 132 |
| GVE | Convoluted ostrich egg sponge | Geodinella vestigifera | 2.2 | * | 1 | 58 | 62 |
| HAK | Hake | Merluccius australis | 421.1 | 1 | 24 | 29 | 285 |
| HAP | Hapuku | Polyprion oxygeneios | 60.1 | * | 4 | 174 | 323 |
| HDR | Hydroid | Hydrozoa (Class) | 0.3 | * | 3 | 21 | 49 |
| HOK | Hoki | Macruronus novaezelandiae | 1960.4 | 4 | 30 | 40 | 373 |
| HTH | Sea cucumber | Holothurian unidentified | 0.3 | * | 2 | 21 | 28 |
| JAV | Javelinfish | Lepidorhynchus denticulatus | 4.9 | * | 4 | 221 | 373 |
| JDO | John dory | Zeus faber | 312.3 | 1 | 32 | 21 | 193 |
| JFI | Jellyfish |  | 116.8 | * | 18 | 21 | 132 |
| JMD | N.Z. jack mackerel | Trachurus declivis | 95.7 | * | 27 | 30 | 254 |
| JMM | Chilean jack mackerel | Trachurus murphyi | 13.2 | * | 5 | 30 | 244 |
| JMN | N.Z. jack mackerel | Trachurus novaezelandiae | 640.3 | 1 | 24 | 21 | 90 |
| KAH | Kahawai | Arripis trutta | 11.6 | * | 3 | 29 | 48 |

## Appendix 3-continued.

| Species |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| code | Common name | Scientific name |
| KIN | Kingfish | Seriola lalandi |
| LEA | Leatherjacket | Parika scaber |
| LEH | Leech (generic) | Hirudinea |
| LIN | Ling | Genypterus blacodes |
| LSK | Softnose skate (Longtail skate) | Arhynchobatis asperrimus |
| LSO | Lemon sole | Pelotretis flavilatus |
| MDO | Mirror dory | Zenopsis nebulosus |
| MOK | Moki | Latridopsis ciliaris |
| NCA | Smooth red swimming crab | Nectocarcinus antarcticus |
| NOS | NZ southern arrow squid | Nototodarus sloanii |
| NSD | Northern spiny dogfish | Squalus griffini |
| OCT | Octopus | Pinnoctopus cordiformis |
| ONG | Sponges | Porifera (Phylum) |
| OPE | Orange perch | Lepidoperca aurantia |
| OYS | Dredge oyster | Ostrea chilensis |
| PAD | Paddle crab | Ovalipes catharus |
| PAG | Hermit crab | Paguroidea |
| PCO | Ahuru | Auchenoceros punctatus |
| PIG | Pigfish | Congiopodus leucopaecilus |
| PIL | Pilchard | Sardinops neopilchardus |
| PMO | Sea cucumber | Pseudostichopus mollis |
| PNN | Purple sea pen | Pennatula spp. |
| POP | Porcupine fish | Allomycterus jaculiferus |
| PRK | Prawn killer | Ibacus alticrenatus |
| PSI | Geomwetric star | Psilaster acuminatus |
| PTU | Sea pens | Pennatulacea (Order) |
| PYR | Colonial thaliacean | Pyrosoma atlanticum |
| RBM | Ray's bream | Brama brama |
| RBT | Redbait |  |


| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Catch } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{array}$ | $\%$ of total catch | Occ. | Depth (m) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Min. | Max. |
| 5.6 | * | 1 | 58 | 62 |
| 485.3 | 1 | 19 | 21 | 143 |
| 0.1 | * | 1 | 307 | 312 |
| 859.4 | 2 | 39 | 21 | 373 |
| 2.5 | * | 1 | 356 | 360 |
| 112.4 | * | 33 | 21 | 277 |
| 12.9 | * | 3 | 221 | 373 |
| 20.2 | * | 1 | 34 | 46 |
| 0.1 | * | 1 | 138 | 146 |
| 388.9 | 1 | 70 | 21 | 373 |
| 177.2 | * | 16 | 34 | 373 |
| 24.4 | * | 12 | 23 | 180 |
| 43.4 | * | 9 | 21 | 62 |
| 59.9 | * | 3 | 221 | 373 |
| 0.1 | * |  | 21 | 22 |
| 13.0 | * | 2 | 26 | 50 |
| 0.3 | * | 2 | 66 | 122 |
| 5.1 | * | 10 | 29 | 88 |
| 3.9 | * | 7 | 40 | 244 |
| 0.1 | * | 1 | 53 | 55 |
| 0.2 | * | 1 | 39 | 41 |
| 0.2 | * | 1 | 308 | 323 |
| 102.2 | * | 9 | 29 | 168 |
| 8.1 | * | 15 | 130 | 373 |
| 0.2 | * | 2 | 124 | 136 |
| 3.4 | * | 4 | 151 | 244 |
| 1.7 | * | 5 | 31 | 237 |
| 16.8 | * | 6 | 36 | 184 |
| 1.9 | * | 10 | 94 | 193 |
| 5095.9 | 11 | 56 | 21 | 312 |
| 205.9 | * | 4 | 221 | 373 |
| 3.0 | * | 4 | 23 | 49 |
| 159.2 | * | 29 | 23 | 285 |
| 8.7 | * | 4 | 83 | 360 |
| 0.1 | * | 1 | 44 | 47 |
| 0.1 | * | 1 | 26 | 27 |
| 0.4 | * | 1 | 53 | 55 |
| 3.4 | * | 3 | 23 | 49 |
| 448.8 | 1 | 54 | 23 | 323 |
| 1323.8 | 3 | 64 | 21 | 360 |
| 0.2 | * | 2 | 259 | 312 |
| 2674.0 | 6 | 41 | 62 | 373 |
| 0.5 | * | 4 | 158 | 254 |
| 0.9 | * | 2 | 21 | 37 |
| 272.0 | 1 | 20 | 21 | 62 |
| 143.0 | * | 10 | 108 | 373 |
| 248.9 | 1 | 13 | 21 | 62 |
| 0.2 | * | 2 | 29 | 33 |
| 11827.8 | 25 | 67 | 21 | 312 |
| 432.4 | 1 | 45 | 29 | 373 |

## Appendix 3-continued.

| Species code | Common name | Scientific name | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Catch } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{array}$ | \% of total catch | Occ. | Depth (m) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Max. |
| SPH | Tusk shells | Scaphopoda | 0.1 | * | 1 | 29 | 31 |
| SPM | Stout sprat | Sprattus muelleri | 4.9 | * | 14 | 29 | 95 |
| SPO | Rig | Mustelus lenticulatus | 429.8 | 1 | 39 | 21 | 312 |
| SPR | Sprats | Sprattus antipodum, S. muelleri | 3.4 | * | 6 | 30 | 71 |
| SPS | Speckled sole | Peltorhamphus latus | 0.3 | * | 3 | 29 | 41 |
| SPT | Heart urchin | Spatangus multispinus | 1.8 | * | 6 | 117 | 271 |
| SPZ | Spotted stargazer | Genyagnus monopterygius | 4.4 | * | 2 | 21 | 28 |
| SSH | Slender smoothhound | Gollum attenuatus | 37.7 | * | 2 | 356 | 373 |
| SSI | Silverside | Argentina elongata | 7.2 | * | 28 | 65 | 312 |
| SSK | Smooth skate | Dipturus innominatus | 110.5 | * | 10 | 36 | 312 |
| STA | Giant stargazer | Kathetostoma giganteum | 2653.4 | 6 | 58 | 21 | 373 |
| STY | Spotty | Notolabrus celidotus | 58.9 | * | 8 | 21 | 44 |
| SWA | Silver warehou | Seriolella punctata | 98.9 | * | 40 | 29 | 373 |
| TAR | Tarakihi | Nemadactylus macropterus | 1683.7 | 4 | 63 | 23 | 373 |
| THR | Thresher shark | Alopias vulpinus | 98.7 | * | 2 | 40 | 47 |
| TOD | Dark toadfish | Neophrynichthys latus | 0.8 | * | 5 | 108 | 285 |
| TRE | Trevally | Pseudocaranx dentex | 3.5 | * | 1 | 34 | 46 |
| TUR | Turbot | Colistium nudipinnis | 13.8 | * | 4 | 27 | 43 |
| WAR | Blue warehou | Seriolella brama | 331.3 | 1 | 43 | 21 | 156 |
| WIT | Witch | Arnoglossus scapha | 189.2 | * | 64 | 21 | 373 |
| WOD | Wood | Wood | 49.2 | * | 9 | 29 | 136 |
| YBF | Yellow-belly flounder | Rhombosolea leporina | 32.4 | * | 1 | 23 | 28 |
| YBO | Yellow boarfish | Pentaceros decacanthus | 0.1 | * | 1 | 221 | 244 |
| YEM | Yellow-eyed mullet | Aldrichetta forsteri | 2.3 | * | 5 | 29 | 49 |

Total 47502.1

* less than $0.5 \%$

Appendix 4. Benthic macro-invertebrates taken as by catch during the survey.
Taxon No. of stations
Porifera (Demospongiae)
Amorphinopsis n. sp. 1 ..... 1
Dactylia n. sp. 1 ..... 1
Suberites affinis Brondsted, 1923 ..... 5
Callyspongia sp. ..... 3
Annelida:Hirudinea
Hirudinea ..... 1
Echiura
Echiuroida ..... 1
Bryozoa
Cellaria immersa ..... 1
Cnidaria: Hydrozoa
Leptothecata ..... 2
Cnidaria: Anthozoa
Anthoptilum sp. ..... 5
Desmophyllum dianthus ..... 1
Pennatula ..... 1
Phlyctenactis tuberculosa ..... 1
Actiniaria ..... 2
Crustacea: Palinura
Ibaccus alticrenatus ..... 15
Crustaces: Decapoda
Diacanthurus rubricatus ..... 3
Crustacea: Paguridae
Diacanthurus rubricatus (Henderson, 1888) ..... 2
Crustacea: Stomatopoda
Pterygosquilla schizodontia (Richardson, 1953) ..... 1
Crustacea: Anomura
Ovalipes catharus ..... 2
Nectocarcinus antarcticus ..... 1
Crustacea: Maxillopoda
Notomegabalanus decorus ..... 1
Arthropoda: Cirripedia
Calantica studeri ..... 1
BrachiopodaBrachiopoda2
Appendix 4-continued
Taxon No. of stations
Mollusca: Bivalvia
Ostrea chilensis ..... 1
Mollusca: Gastropoda
Alcithoe arabica (Gmelin, 1791) ..... 3
Maoricolpus roseus ..... 1
Fusitriton magellanicus ..... 1
Mollusca: Scaphopoda
Scaphopoda ..... 1
Mollusca: Cephalopoda
Pinnoctopus cordiformis ..... 12
Urochordata: Ascidiacea
Cnemidocarpa nisiotis ..... 1
Ascidiacea [Urochordata or Tunicates] ..... 1
Cnemidocarpa nisiotis ..... 1
Thaliacea [Salps] ..... 1
Echinodermata :Astreoidea
Coscinasterias muricata ..... 1
Patiriella regularis ..... 1
Psilaster acuminatus ..... 2
Echinodermata :Ophiuroidea
Ophiuroidea ..... 2
Echinodermata:Echinoidea
Spatangus multispinus ..... 6
Echinodermata: Holothuroidea
Australostichopus mollis ..... 3

## Appendix 5: Input file for biomass analysis of several species using SurvCalc.

@ trips kah0904
@ species kah0904
codes NOS BAR BCO WAR GSH ELE FRO SKI STA HAK HOK LIN
@input_from_database
database Empress
@ where
t_station gear_perf < 3 and station_no $<76$
@ preferences
distance_towed recorded_distance recorded_speed*time from_lat_long
width_swept recorded_doorspread
catch_weight recorded calculated
@sub_populations BAR
sexes all all all
Lmin 0050
Lmax 12049120
labels all to50 50+
@1w_coeff kah0904_BAR
a 0.00552
b 2.9812
@sub_populations WAR
sexes all all all
Lmin 0045
Lmax 754475
labels all to45 45+
@lw_coeff kah0904_WAR
a 0.014359
b 3.104987
@sub_populations GSH
sexes male male female female
Lmin 052062
Lmax 51806180
labels m_to52 m_52+ f_to62 f_62+
@lw_coeff kah0904_GSH
a 0.0015
b 3.3611
@sub_populations STA
sexes all all all all
Lmin 030045
Lmax 29864486
labels to30 30+ to45 45+
@lw_coeff kah0904_STA
a 0.012032
b 3.100435
@sub_populations HOK
sexes all all
Lmin 065
Lmax 64200
labels to65 65+
@lw_coeff kah0904_HOK
a 0.0078
b 3.14
@sub_populations LIN
sexes all all all
Lmin 0065
Lmax 20064200
labels all to65 65+
@lw_coeff kah0904_LIN
a 0.001395
b 3.288348
@output_tables
sub_biomass_by_stratum T
biomass_by_species T
biomass_by_species_stratum T
@output_precision
quantity density biomass LF_number cv gain
type dec_place dec_place sig_fig dec_place dec_place $\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { precision } & 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 1\end{array}$


[^0]:    $+<0.5 \mathrm{~kg} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}$

