


JACK MACKERELS (JMA)

(*Trachurus declivis*, *Trachurus novaezelandiae*, *Trachurus murphyi*)

Hauture



1. FISHERY SUMMARY

The jack mackerel fisheries catch three species; two New Zealand species, *Trachurus declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae*, and *T. murphyi* which appeared in New Zealand in the 1980s.

Jack mackerels have been included in the QMS since 1 October 1996, with four QMAs. Previously jack mackerels were considered part of the QMS, although ITQs were issued only in JMA 7. In JMA 1 and JMA 3, quota for the fishery was fully allocated as IQs by regulation with the exception of the 20% allocated to customary non-commercial. Before the 1995 jack mackerel regulations were issued, catch in JMA 1 taken in the Muriwhenua area north of 36°S to the limit of the Territorial Sea was not covered by the JMA 1 regulations. Allowances for customary non-commercial fishers, recreational fishers and an allowance for other sources of mortality have not yet been set.

1.1 Commercial fisheries

In JMA 1, the jack mackerel catch is largely (about 96% of annual landings) taken by the purse seine fishery operating in the Bay of Plenty and on the east Northland coast, which was, prior to 1992, dominated by *T. novaezelandiae*, but included a small component of *T. declivis*. Between 1991–92 and 1995–96 the proportion of *T. murphyi* in the catch increased considerably, and markets were developed for large jack mackerels, but, by 1996–97, their low value resulted in less targeting of large fish. In recent years the proportion of *T. novaezelandiae* has been variable with an initial return to more than 95% in 1999–2000 and 2000–01, a decline to 46% in 2003–04, and an increase to 81% in 2004–05. Some trawl bycatch of jack mackerel has been recorded in JMA 1.

Since 1991–92, jack mackerel targeted landings in JMA 1 have represented more than 80% of total catch. The highest rates of bycatch are from kahawai and blue mackerel targeted operations which each account for about 7% of the total jack mackerel catch. The majority of JMA 1 catch over these years has been taken from statistical areas 008 and 009 (Bay of Plenty) between June and November; considerably less has been taken in statistical areas 002 and 003, although high catches were recorded from these areas in 1993–94 and 1994–95.

JACK MACKERELS (JMA)

Jack mackerel catch in JMA 3 is almost exclusively *T. murphyi* and little targeting occurred before 1992–93. During the 1990s targeting increased and accounted for the majority of catch (about 50% between 1991–92 and 1996–97), but, after a peak of more than 80% in 1997–98 and 1998–99, has decreased again to about 50–60% in recent years. The balance of the catch in this area comes from trawl bycatch (squid 15–30%; barracouta 15–20%) on the Chatham Rise and in the Southland/Sub-Antarctic region. A purse seine fishery has operated between the Clarence River mouth and the Kaikoura Peninsula, which peaked at 4400 t in 1992–93 and averaged more than 3000 t between 1989–90 and 1993–94. Purse seine catches have shown a steady decline since, dropping from 1000 t in 1994–95, to 100 t in 2001–02 and 2002–03; no catch was recorded for 2003–04.

Increased availability of jack mackerels caused by the influx of *T. murphyi* resulted in increased quotas in JMA 1 and JMA 3, to 8000 t and 9000 t respectively for the 1993–94 fishing year, and a further increase to 10 000 t and 18 000 t respectively for the 1994–95 year. The latter increases were made under the proviso that they be accounted for by increased catches of *T. murphyi* only; combined landings of *T. declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae* in JMA 1 and JMA 3 must not exceed the original quotas of 5970 t and 2700 t respectively. Industry agreed to these limits and voluntarily introduced monitoring programmes to provide the information necessary for them to be met.

The three species occur in each of the Fishstocks, but have not been individually identified in catch records. Historical estimated and recent reported jack mackerel landings and TACCs are shown in Tables 1 and 2, while Figure 1 shows the historical landings and TACC values for the main JMA stocks. Total annual landings have ranged between 21 059 t and 47 855 t since 1986–87.

Table 1: Reported landings (t) for the main QMAs from 1931 to 1982

Year	JMA 1	JMA 3	JMA 7	Year	JMA 1	JMA 3	JMA 7
1931-32	0	0	0	1957	0	0	6
1932-33	0	0	0	1958	0	0	9
1933-34	0	0	0	1959	2	0	0
1934-35	0	0	0	1960	2	0	5
1935-36	0	0	0	1961	1	0	5
1936-37	0	0	0	1962	5	0	5
1937-38	0	0	0	1963	7	2	13
1938-39	0	0	0	1964	5	4	10
1939-40	1	0	0	1965	14	0	8
1940-41	1	1	2	1966	47	0	54
1941-42	0	0	2	1967	213	0	250
1942-43	3	0	2	1968	172	505	4558
1943-44	0	0	0	1969	128	388	7065
1944	9	0	0	1970	75	1029	7274
1945	7	0	0	1971	473	776	12684
1946	3	0	6	1972	350	5450	15581
1947	14	0	4	1973	395	1238	14648
1948	3	0	6	1974	1236	2016	16943
1949	5	0	22	1975	204	3615	10043
1950	7	6	3	1976	838	5690	14228
1951	4	4	1	1977	1317	5228	13729
1952	1	4	7	1978	1250	1547	4657
1953	0	3	9	1979	2158	516	4475
1954	3	0	1	1980	2504	104	3533
1955	3	0	12	1981	2815	110	8665
1956	1	0	2	1982	1607	119	8364

Notes:

1. The 1931–1943 years are April–March but from 1944 onwards are calendar years.
2. Data up to 1985 are from fishing returns; Data from 1986 to 1990 are from Quota Management Reports.
3. Data for the period 1931 to 1982 are based on reported landings by harbour and are likely to be underestimated as a result of under-reporting and discarding practices. Data includes both foreign and domestic landings.

Table 2: Reported landings (t) of jack mackerel by Fishstock from 1983–84 to 2012–13 and actual TACCs (t) for 1986–87 to 2012–13. QMS data from 1986–present. [Continued on next page]

	JMA 1		JMA 3		JMA 7		JMA 10		Total	
	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings§	TACC
1983–84*	3 682	-	715	-	12 464	-	0	-	16 861	-
1984–85*	1 857	-	1 223	-	16 013	-	0	-	19 093	-
1985–86*	1 173	-	2 228	-	10 002	-	0	-	13 403	-
1986–87	4 056	5 970	1 638	2 700	19 815	20 000	0	10	25 509	28 680
1987–88	3 108	5 970	1 883	2 700	17 879	22 697	0	10	22 870	31 377
1988–89	2 986	5 970	1 919	2 700	17 403	26 008	0	10	22 308	34 688
1989–90	4 226	5 970	4 013	2 700	21 776	32 027	0	10	30 015	40 707
1990–91	6 472	5 970	6 403	2 700	17 786	32 069	0	10	30 661	40 749
1991–92	7 017	5 970	5 779	2 700	25 880	32 069	0	10	38 676	40 749
1992–93	7 529	5 970	15 399	2 700	24 659	32 537	0	10	47 587	41 216
1993–94‡	14 256	8 000	9 115	9 000	22 377	32 537	0	10	45 748	49 546
1994–95‡	7 832	10 000	11 519	18 000	18 912	32 537	0	10	38 263	60 547
1995–96	6 874	10 000	19 803	18 000	12 270	32 537	0	10	38 947	60 547
1996–97	6 912	10 000	15 687	18 000	12 056	32 537	0	10	34 655	60 547
1997–98	7 695	10 000	15 452	18 000	14 293	32 537	0	10	37 440	60 547
1998–99	5 641	10 000	15 111	18 000	13 629	32 537	0	10	34 381	60 547
1999–00	2 864	10 000	10 306	18 000	7 889	32 537	0	10	21 059	60 547
2000–01	8 360	10 000	2 744	18 000	15 703	32 537	0	10	26 807	60 547
2001–02	5 247	10 000	5 000	18 000	22 338	32 537	0	10	32 585	60 547
2002–03	6 172	10 000	2 225	18 000	26 084	32 537	0	10	34 481	60 547
2003–04	7 396	10 000	705	18 000	28 888	32 537	0	10	36 989	60 547
2004–05	9 418	10 000	716	18 000	36 507	32 537	0	10	46 641	60 547
2005–06	9 924	10 000	5 000	18 000	27 782	32 537	0	10	42 706	60 547
2006–07	5 293	10 000	1 857	18 000	32 039	32 537	0	10	39 189	60 547
2007–08	11 167	10 000	2 629	18 000	34 059	32 537	0	10	47 855	60 547
2008–09	9 791	10 000	1 964	18 000	28 828	32 537	0	10	40 583	60 547
2009–10	9 086	10 000	2 706	18 000	31 152	32 537	0	10	42 944	60 547
2010–11	8 262	10 000	3 592	18 000	28 177	32 537	0	10	40 031	60 547
2011–12	8 911	10 000	3 085	18 000	28 266	32 537	0	10	40 261	60 547
2012–13	8 054	10 000	3 830	18 000	31 776	32 537	0	10	43 659	60 547
2013–14	10 520	10 000	4 693	18 000	35 175	32 537	0	10	50 388	60 547

* FSU data.

§ Includes landings from unknown areas before 1986–87.

‡ JMA 1 & 3 landings are totals from CLR and CELR data.tab

Landings in JMA 1 before 1989–90 were generally well below the quota of 5970 t (Table 2), with the maximum in 1986–87 only slightly above 4000 t. Landings increased to 7529 t in 1992–93, followed by a substantial increase to the highest recorded value of 14 256 t in 1993–94, which was more than twice the original quota and exceeded the quota of 8000 t set for that year. In 1994–95 reported landings (7832 t) were half those of 1993–94. Landings from 1994–95 to 1997–98 were around 7000 t. Since 1997–98 landings have fluctuated with no real pattern between a low of 2864 t in 1999–00 and a high of 11 167 t in 2007–08. JMA 1 landings in 2011–12 were 8054 t.

Total landings in JMA 3 over the period 1984–85 to 1988–89 were relatively constant, at a level below the quota of 2700 t. Landings increased over subsequent years to peak in 1992–93 at almost three times that of the preceding year and more than five times the quota. Under the first of two consecutive annual increases to the JMA 3 TACC in 1993–94, landings were slightly above the limit set, but dropped almost to the higher TACC level in 1994–95. The lower 1994–95 catch relative to that in 1992–93 has been attributed to the delayed implementation of the quota, less targeting of jack mackerel, and low bycatch in the squid trawl fishery. The reduced effort is thought to be a result of marketing difficulties for the relatively lower valued *T. murphyi*. Landings in JMA 3 increased markedly in 1995–96 (19 803 t) to a value exceeding the quota, with catches remaining stable around 15 500 t over three subsequent years. More recently, landings have decreased to levels well below the TACC, fluctuating between 700 t and 5000 t since 2000–01. Declines in landings are attributed to declining abundance of *T. murphyi*, which historically comprised the bulk of JMA 3 landings. JMA 3 landings in 2011–12 were 3085 t.

JACK MACKERELS (JMA)

Landings in JMA 7 represent the greatest proportion of total landings and are mainly taken by chartered trawlers. Landings fluctuated between 17 403 t and 25 880 t from the mid 1980s through the mid 1990s. The marked decrease to 12 270 t in 1995–96 is attributed to changes in fishing strategies (mid-water trawling between 2 a.m. and 4 a.m. is banned under a code of practice to eliminate dolphin bycatch in JMA 7 that has been operational since 1995–96), the withdrawal of a major company from the fishery for much of the season, and difficulty marketing the relatively low valued *T. murphyi*. From 1995–96 to 1998–99, landings were in the range 12 056–14 293 t. Subsequently, landings increased steadily from 15 703 t in 2000–01 to 28 888 t in 2003–04 and to 36 507 t in 2004–05. The 2004–05 landings were 3971 t in excess of the TACC. This increase in JMA 7 landings has been attributed to market demand and a lack of availability of preferred species quota as a result of cuts in quotas for other species and taking the lower-cost option of targeting jack mackerel instead of hoki. The 2007–08 landings were 34 059 t, about 1500 t larger than the TACC. In 2008–09 catches decreased below the TACC by nearly 4000 t but increased again in 2009–10 to 31 152 t, which is within 1500 t of the quota. JMA 7 landings in 2011–12 were 28 266 t.

A number of factors have been identified that can influence landing volumes in the jack mackerel fisheries. In the purse seine fishery, jack mackerel is often mixed with kahawai. Fishing companies will avoid these mixed schools to conserve kahawai quota, particularly at the beginning of the fishing year. When mixing of the two species is prevalent, low kahawai TACC can result in the targeting of jack mackerel being inhibited. Both skipjack tuna and blue mackerel have been fished in preference to jack mackerel in the purse seine fishery with the jack mackerel season being influenced by the availability of these species. However, global increases in the market price for jack mackerel have increased its importance in the purse seine fishery to a level similar to blue mackerel. This has provided fishers with a cost effective alternative to traditional purse seine targets, particularly skipjack tuna, which incurs higher costs related to on-board storage and handling.

A number of bycatch issues exist in the JMA 7 fishery. A large bycatch fishery for blue mackerel operates for many months of the year and other bycatch species taken in this fishery include barracouta, gurnard, John Dory, kingfish, and snapper. Although non-availability of ACE is unlikely to be constraining in the first three of these additional species, the same is not true of kingfish, blue mackerel, and snapper. Fishing company spokespersons have stated that known hotspots of snapper are avoided.




Figure 1: Reported commercial landings and TACC for the three main JMA stocks. From top left: JMA 1 (Auckland East, Central East). [Continued on next page].




Figure 1: [Continued] Reported commercial landings and TACC for the three main JMA stocks. From top: JMA 3 (South East coast, South East Chatham Rise, Sub-Antarctic, Southland), and JMA 7 (Challenger, Central Egmont, Auckland West).

1.2 Recreational fisheries

Jack mackerels do not rate highly as a recreational target species although they are popular as bait.

There is some uncertainty with all recreational harvest estimates for jack mackerels and there is some confusion between blue and jack mackerels in the recreational data. The harvest estimates from the diary surveys should be used only with the following qualifications: a) they may be very inaccurate; b) the 1996 and earlier surveys contain a methodological error; and c) the 2000 and 2001 estimates are implausibly high for many important fisheries.

Recreational catch in the northern region (JMA 1) was estimated at 333 000 fish (CV 0.13) by a diary survey in 1993–94 (Bradford 1996), 79 000 fish (CV 0.16) in a national recreational survey in 1996 (Bradford 1998), 349 000 fish (CV 39%) in the 2000 survey (Boyd & Reilly 2002) and 295 000 fish (CV 0.2%) in the 2001 survey (Boyd et al 2004). The surveys suggest a harvest of 80–110 t per year for JMA 1, insignificant in the context of the commercial catch. Estimates from other areas are very low (between 500 and 47 000 fish) and are likely to be insignificant in the context of the commercial catch.

1.3 Customary non-commercial fisheries

Quantitative information on the current level of Maori customary non-commercial catch is not available.

1.4 Illegal catch

There is no information on illegal activity or catch but it is considered to be insignificant.

1.5 Other sources of mortality

There is no information on other sources of mortality.

2. BIOLOGY

The three species of jack mackerel in New Zealand have different geographical distributions, but their ranges partially overlap. *T. novaezelandiae* predominates in waters shallower than 150 m and warmer than 13°C; it is uncommon south of latitude 42°S. *T. declivis* generally occurs in deeper (but less than 300 m) waters less than 16°C, north of latitude 45°S. *T. murphyi* occurs to depths of least 500 m and has a wide latitudinal range (0° S at the Galapagos Islands and coastal Ecuador, to south of 40° S off the Chilean coast).

T. murphyi was first described from New Zealand waters in 1987. Its presence was recorded off the south and east coasts of the South Island. It expanded onto the west coast of the South Island and the North and South Taranaki Bights by the late 1980s, reaching the Bay of Plenty in appreciable quantities by 1992 and becoming common on the east coast of Northland by June 1994. However, this extensive distribution has decreased in more recent years and, since the late 1990s, its presence north of Cook Strait has been sporadic with occasional landings in the JMA 1 purse seine fishery north of East Cape and from the JMA 1 inshore trawl fishery south of East Cape. The total range of *T. murphyi* extends along the west coast of South America, across the South Pacific, through to the New Zealand EEZ, and into waters off southeastern Australia.

All species can be caught by bottom trawl, mid-water trawl, or by purse seine targeting surface schools.

The vertical and horizontal movement patterns are poorly understood. Jack mackerels are presumed to be generally off the bottom at night, and surface schools can be quite common during the day.

Jack mackerels have a protracted spring-summer spawning season. *T. novaezelandiae* probably matures at about 26–30 cm fork length (FL) at an age of 3–4 years, and *T. declivis* matures when about 26–30 cm FL at an age of 2–4 years. Spawning occurs in the North and South Taranaki Bights, and probably in other areas as well.

The reproductive biology of *T. murphyi* in New Zealand waters is not well understood. Pre- and post-spawning fish have been recorded from the Chatham Rise, Stewart-Snares shelf, Northland east coast and off Kaikoura in summer, but it is unknown whether there has been any resulting recruitment in New Zealand waters. A recent study showed that older size/age groups become increasingly dominant in catches as one moves westward from the South American coast, suggesting that an eastward migration of oceanic spawned larvae and juveniles occurs in the South Pacific.

Initial ageing of *T. murphyi* taken in New Zealand waters has been completed, but the estimates are yet to be validated. Initial growth is rapid, slowing at 6–7 years, and *T. murphyi* is a moderately long-lived species with a maximum observed age of 32 years. *T. novaezelandiae* and *T. declivis* have moderate initial growth rates that slow after about 6 years. Both species reach a maximum age of 25+ years.

The best available estimate of M for *T. novaezelandiae* and *T. declivis* is 0.18 based on the age-frequency distributions of lightly exploited populations in the Bay of Plenty. Assuming $M = 0.18$, estimates of Z made in 1989 suggest that F is less than 0.05 for both endemic species off the central

west coast (the main jack mackerel fishing ground). Biological parameters relevant to the stock assessment are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Estimates of biological parameters.

Fishstock		Estimate	Source
<u>1. Natural mortality (<i>M</i>)</u>			
All		0.18	
	Considered best estimate for both endemic species from all areas.		Horn (1991a)
<u>2. Weight = a(length)^b (Weight in g, length in cm fork length)</u>			
		All	
	a	b	
<i>T. declivis</i>	0.023	2.84	Horn (1991a)
<i>T. novaezelandiae</i>	0.028	2.84	Horn (1991a)
<u>3. von Bertalanffy growth parameters</u>			
		All	
	<i>L</i> _∞	<i>k</i>	<i>t</i> ₀
<i>T. declivis</i>	46 cm	0.28	-0.40
<i>T. novaezelandiae</i>	36 cm	0.30	-0.65
<i>T. s. murphyi</i>	51.2 cm	0.155	-1.4
			Taylor et al (2002b)

3. STOCKS AND AREAS

There is no new information that would alter the stock boundaries given in previous assessment documents. For assessment purposes the three jack mackerel species are treated separately where possible.

There are two possible hypotheses on the stock structure of *T. murphyi* in New Zealand waters: it is either a separate stock established by fish migrating from South America, or part of a single, extensive trans-Pacific stock. While successful recruitment in New Zealand waters would indicate the establishment of a separate stock, current evidence favours the latter hypothesis with an extensive stock between latitudes 35–50° S, linking the coasts of Chile and New Zealand across what has been described as ‘the jack mackerel belt’. Few detailed data are available to document the process of range expansion by *T. murphyi* or indicate the relative abundance of the three species in particular areas. As a requirement of the increased TACCs introduced in 1994–95, improvements to jack mackerel catch monitoring were made to in order provide adequate data for quantifying species composition and the relative abundance in JMA 1 and JMA 3.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECOSYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

This section was updated for the 2013 Fishery Assessment Plenary based on reviews of similar chapters by the Aquatic Environment Working Group. This summary is for the jack mackerel fisheries, but a more detailed summary, issue-by-issue, is available in the 2012 Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Annual Review (www.mpi.govt.nz/Default.aspx?TabId=126&id=1644).

4.1 Role in the ecosystem

A study of fish assemblages using research trawls suggested that *Trachurus novaezelandiae* is part of an inshore assemblage that prefers shallow northern waters (centred on about 60 m depth and latitude about 38.7° S). All three species overlap spatially, but *T. declivis* is part of a deeper assemblage around central New Zealand (centred on about 130 m and about 40.1° S), and *T. murphyi* occurs deeper still and further south (centred on about 220 m and about 44.7° S) (Francis et al 2002). *T. novaezelandiae* and *T. declivis* range through the water column from surface to the sea floor. The behaviour of *T. murphyi* in New Zealand is less well known but studies off Chile suggest that this species tends to aggregate at night and that this could reflect nocturnal foraging (Bertrand et al. 2004, 2006). The effect on the ecosystem of extracting, for example, about 30 000 t per year (2001–02 to 2010–11) of jack mackerels from the west coast of New Zealand is unknown.

JACK MACKERELS (JMA)

4.1.1 Trophic interactions

Stevens et al (2011) reported the diet of *T. novaezelandiae* and *T. declivis* from the Bay of Plenty, Northland and the west coast South Island to be predominantly euphausiids with fewer amphipods and fish (see also Hurst 1980). Crustaceans (several groups) were the dominant prey of *T. novaezelandiae* in the Hauraki Gulf, with fewer fish and polychaetes (Godfriaux 1968 and 1970). The diet of *T. murphyi* from research trawls on shelf areas around New Zealand, mainly down to 500 m depth, included: crustaceans (55%, mainly euphausiids 38%, amphipods 12%, and *Munida* 6%); salps (36%); and teleosts (11% percentage frequency of occurrence in stomachs with food, Stevens et al 2011).

Predators of jack mackerels are likely to include many fishes, seabirds and marine mammals given the relative high abundance of jack mackerels. The diet of gemfish from research trawls in Southland included *Trachurus* spp. (6% of total, Stevens et al 2011). *T. declivis* and *T. murphyi* were identified from the stomachs of leafscale gulper shark and Plunket's shark and *T. declivis* from the stomachs of school shark (Dunn et al 2010). The diet of spiny dogfish included scavenged jack mackerel (Dunn et al 2013).

4.2 Incidental catch (fish and invertebrates)

Anderson (2007) used data from scientific observers and commercial catch-effort returns to estimate the rates and annual levels of fish bycatch and discards in the jack mackerel trawl fishery, from 2001–02 to 2004–05. Jack mackerel species accounted for 70% of the total estimated catch from trawls targeting jack mackerels between 1 October 2001 and 30 September 2005. The remaining 30% comprised mostly other commercial species, especially barracouta (Table 4). Although over 99% of the catch was of commercial species, altogether about 130 taxa were identified by observers. The main species discarded were spiny dogfish (only 8% of which was retained) and thresher shark (3% retained).

Table 4: Bycatch and discards from all observer records for the target trawl fishery for jack mackerel from 1 October 2001 to 30 September 2005 for species or species groups with a total catch of 100 t or more, ordered by decreasing percentage of catch.

Species code	Common name	Scientific name	Estimated catch (t)	% of catch	% retained
JMA	Jack mackerel	<i>Trachurus declivis</i> , <i>T.m.</i> , <i>T.nz.</i>	15 978	69.53	100.0
BAR	Barracouta	<i>Thyrstites atun</i>	3 593	15.64	100.0
EMA	Blue mackerel	<i>Scomber australasicus</i>	1 093	4.76	100.0
FRO	Frostfish	<i>Lepidopus caudatus</i>	712	3.10	100.0
RBT	Redbait	<i>Emmelichthys nitidus</i>	627	2.73	95.0
SQU	Arrow squid	<i>Nototodarus sloanii</i> & <i>N. gouldi</i>	184	0.80	100.0
HOK	Hoki	<i>Macruronus novaezelandiae</i>	138	0.60	100.0
WAR	Blue warehou	<i>Seriolella brama</i>	128	0.56	100.0
SPD	Spiny dogfish	<i>Squalus acanthias</i>	101	0.44	8.0
-	Others	-	419	1.84	-

Between 2009 and 2011, *T. novaezelandiae* dominated 97% of purse seine landings in JMA 1 (Walsh et al 2012). The estimated proportions by year were 1–17% for *T. declivis*, 0–3% for *T. murphyi*, and 81–99% for *T. novaezelandiae*. There was spatial and temporal heterogeneity in size and abundance; *T. novaezelandiae* dominated landings from the Bay of Plenty throughout the year and large *T. declivis* and *T. murphyi* were common in east Northland during winter.

4.3 Incidental Catch (seabirds, mammals, and protected fish)

For protected species, capture estimates presented here include all animals recovered to the deck (alive, injured or dead) of fishing vessels but do not include any cryptic mortality e.g., seabirds struck by a warp but not brought onboard the vessel (Middleton & Abraham, 2007).

4.3.1 Marine mammal interactions

Jack mackerel trawlers occasionally catch marine mammals, primarily common dolphin, long-finned pilot whale, and NZ fur seal (which were all classified as “Not Threatened” under the NZ Threat Classification System in 2010, Baker et al 2010).

Between 2002–03 and 2012–13, there were 148 observed captures of whales and dolphins in jack mackerel trawl fisheries. Observed captures were common dolphin (134), long-finned pilot whale (12), Risso’s dolphin (1), and dusky dolphin (1). In the 2012–13 fishing year there were 15 observed captures of common dolphins in jack mackerel trawl fisheries (Table 5). Estimated captures for 2002–03 to 2011–12 are shown in Table 5. Common dolphins were observed captured off the Taranaki coast or off the west coast of the North Island (Thompson et al 2013). The rate of capture of common dolphins varied in these years from 0.28 to 11.18 per 100 tows with a ten-year average of 1.30 and with lower rates of capture in recent years (Table 5).

Table 5: Number of tows by fishing year and observed and model-estimated total common dolphin captures in jack mackerel trawl fisheries, 2002–03 to 2012–13. No. obs, number of observed tows; % obs, percentage of tows observed; Rate, number of captures per 100 observed tows, % inc, percentage of total effort included in the statistical model. Estimates are based on methods described in Thompson et al (2013) and available via <http://www.fish.govt.nz/en-nz/Environmental/Seabirds/>. Data for 2002–03 to 2011–12 are based on data version 20130304 and provisional data for 2012–13 are based on data version 20140131.

	Tows	Observed				Estimated		
		No.obs	%obs	Captures	Rate	Captures	95%c.i.	%inc.
2002–03	3 067	346	11.3	21	6.07	142	58–270	74.9
2003–04	2 383	152	6.4	17	11.18	99	46–180	94.6
2004–05	2 509	558	22.2	21	3.76	78	42–126	93.7
2005–06	2 808	709	25.2	2	0.28	10	2–27	74.1
2006–07	2 711	800	29.5	11	1.38	50	21–92	79.5
2007–08	2 649	816	30.8	20	2.45	41	23–69	82.9
2008–09	2 170	813	37.5	11	1.35	25	12–46	82.9
2009–10	2 406	786	32.7	4	0.51	25	6–57	91.8
2010–11	1 880	593	31.5	7	1.18	60	25–110	83.4
2011–12	2 032	1 550	76.3	5	0.32	7	5–14	80.9
2012–13†	2 208	1 935	87.6	15	0.78	15	15–19	76.9

† Provisional data, no model estimates available.

In the 2012–13 fishing year there were 3 observed captures of NZ fur seals in jack mackerel trawl fisheries (Table 6). Estimated total captures of NZ fur seals are shown in Table 6. Only a small fraction of the total captures of NZ fur seal in trawl fisheries have been taken when targeting jack mackerel. Fur seal captures in the jack mackerel trawl fishery have been off the Taranaki coast, off the west coast of the North Island, or off the east coast of the South Island. The ten year average of the rate of capture for NZ fur seals is 0.46 captures per 100 tows (range 0.00 to 1.32), with lower capture rates since 2008–09.

Table 6: Number of tows by fishing year and observed and model-estimated total NZ fur seal captures in jack mackerel trawl fisheries, 2002–03 to 2011–12. No. obs, number of observed tows; % obs, percentage of tows observed; Rate, number of captures per 100 observed tows. Estimates are based on methods described in Thompson et al (2013) and available via <http://www.fish.govt.nz/en-nz/Environmental/Seabirds/>. Data for 2002–03 to 2011–12 are based on data version 20130304 and provisional data for 2012–13 are based on data version 20140131.

	Fishing effort			Observed captures		Estimated captures		
	Tows	No. obs	% obs	Captures	Rate	Mean	95% c.i.	% included
2002–03	3 067	346	11.3	1	0.29	16	4–40	100.0
2003–04	2 383	152	6.4	2	1.32	14	4–34	100.0
2004–05	2 510	558	22.2	5	0.90	25	9–56	100.0
2005–06	2 808	709	25.2	6	0.85	26	10–61	100.0
2006–07	2 711	800	29.5	2	0.25	13	3–38	100.0
2007–08	2 650	818	30.9	7	0.86	32	11–102	100.0
2008–09	2 169	813	37.5	8	0.98	16	9–32	100.0
2009–10	2 406	786	32.7	2	0.25	5	2–13	100.0
2010–11	1 881	593	31.5	0	0.00	3	0–11	100.0
2011–12	2 031	1 548	76.2	5	0.32	8	5–20	100.0
2012–13†	2 210	1 937	87.6	3	0.15	-	-	-

† Provisional data, no model estimates available.

4.3.2 Seabird interactions

Annual observed seabird capture rates ranged from 0 to 2.56 per 100 tows in jack mackerel fisheries between 1998–99 and 2007–08 (Baird 2001, 2004a,b,c, 2005, Abraham & Thompson 2009, Abraham et al 2009, Abraham & Thompson 2011). Capture rates have fluctuated without obvious trend at this low level (Table 7). In the 2012–13 fishing year there were 33 observed captures of birds in the jack mackerel trawl fishery at a rate of 1.70 birds per 100 observed tows (Abraham et al 2013). Total estimated seabird captures in the jack mackerel trawl fishery varied from 7 to 28 between 2002–03 and 2011–12 (MPI 2013, Table 7). The average capture rate in jack mackerel trawl fisheries over the last ten years is 0.72 birds per 100 tows, a low rate relative to trawl fisheries for squid (13.79 birds per 100 tows), scampi (5.57 birds per 100 tows) and hoki (2.16 birds per 100 tows) over the same time period.

Table 7: Number of tows by fishing year and observed seabird captures in jack mackerel trawl fisheries, 2002–03 to 2012–13. No. obs, number of observed tows; % obs, percentage of tows observed; Rate, number of captures per 100 observed tows. Estimates are based on methods described in Abraham et al (2013) and are available via <http://www.fish.govt.nz/en-nz/Environmental/Seabirds/>. Data for 2002–03 to 2011–12 are based on data version 20130304 and provisional data for 2012–13 are based on data version 20140131.

	Fishing effort			Observed captures		Estimated captures		
	Tows	No. Obs	% obs	Captures	Rate	Mean	95% c.i.	% included
2002–03	3 067	346	11.3	4	1.16	26	12–49	100.0
2003–04	2 383	152	6.4	0	0.00	6	1–14	100.0
2004–05	2 509	558	22.2	7	1.25	16	10–26	100.0
2005–06	2 808	709	25.2	0	0.00	29	10–65	100.0
2006–07	2 711	800	29.5	1	0.12	8	2–18	100.0
2007–08	2 649	816	30.8	1	0.12	6	2–14	100.0
2008–09	2 170	813	37.5	6	0.74	13	7–24	100.0
2009–10	2 406	786	32.7	3	0.38	9	4–19	100.0
2010–11	1 880	593	31.5	7	1.18	20	10–40	100.0
2011–12	2 032	1 550	76.3	5	0.32	10	5–21	100.0
2012–13†	2 208	1 935	87.6	34	1.76	34	33–36	100.0

† Provisional data, no model estimates available.

Table 8: Number of observed seabird captures in jack mackerel trawl fisheries, 2002–03 to 2012–13, by species and area. The risk ratio is an estimate of aggregate potential fatalities across trawl and longline fisheries relative to the Potential Biological Removals, PBR (from Richard & Abraham 2013 where full details of the risk assessment approach can be found). It is not an estimate of the risk posed by fishing for jack mackerel. Other data, version 20140131.

Species	Risk Ratio	Taranaki	West Coast North Island	Stewart Snares Shelf	East Coast South Island	West Coast South Island	Total
Southern Buller's albatross	Very high	0	0	0	2	0	2
NZ white capped albatross	Very high	2	0	4	3	0	9
Total albatrosses	N/A	2	0	4	5	0	11
Westland petrel	Medium	0	0	0	0	1	1
White chinned petrel	Medium	0	0	20	5	0	25
Cape petrel	High	1	0	0	0	1	2
Common diving petrel	–	0	0	2	0	1	3
Fairy prion	–	5	0	0	0	0	5
Fulmar prion	–	3	0	0	0	0	3
Sooty shearwater	–	1	0	12	2	0	15
NZ white-faced storm petrel	–	0	1	0	0	0	1
Unknown seabird	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	1
Total other birds	N/A	11	1	34	7	3	56

Observed seabird captures since 2002–03 have been mostly prions, shearwaters, and petrels (56 of the 67 observed seabird captures), with 11 observed albatross captures (Table 8). Seabird captures in the jack mackerel fishery have been observed mostly off Taranaki and on the Stewart-Snares shelf. These numbers should be regarded as only a general guide on the distribution of captures because the

numbers are small, and the observer coverage is not uniform across areas and may not be representative.

Mitigation methods such as streamer (tori) lines, Brady bird bafflers, warp deflectors, and offal management are used in the jack mackerel trawl fishery. Warp mitigation was voluntarily introduced from about 2004 and made mandatory in April 2006 (Department of Internal Affairs 2006). The 2006 Notice mandated that all trawlers over 28 m in length use a seabird scaring device while trawling (“paired streamer lines”, “bird baffler” or “warp deflector” as defined in the Notice).

4.4 Benthic interactions

Jack mackerel are taken using trawls that are sometimes fished on or near the seabed. Black et al (2013) estimated that between 2006–07 and 2010–11, 78% of jack mackerel catch was reported on TCEPR forms. Target jack mackerel tows accounted for about 3.5% of all tows reported on TCEPR forms to have been fished on or close to the bottom between 1989–90 and 2004–05 (Baird et al 2011). These tows were located in Benthic Optimised Marine Environment Classification (BOMEC, Leathwick et al 2009) classes C, E (shelf), H (upper slope), and J (mid-slope) (Baird & Wood 2012), and 91% were in water shallower than 200 m (Baird et al 2011).

Trawling for jack mackerel with some or all of the gear contacting the bottom, like trawling for other species, is likely to have effects on benthic community structure and function (e.g., Rice 2006) and there may be consequences for benthic productivity (e.g., Jennings 2001, Hermsen et al 2003, Hiddink et al 2006, Reiss et al 2009). These consequences are not considered in detail here but are discussed in the Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Annual Review (MPI 2013).

4.5 Other considerations

4.5.1 Spawning disruption

Fishing may disrupt spawning activity or success. Canadian research carried out on Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) concluded that “Cod exposed to a chronic stressor are able to spawn successfully, but there appears to be a negative impact of this stress on their reproductive output, particularly through the production of abnormal larvae”(Morgan et al 1999). Morgan et al (1997) also reported disruption of a spawning shoal of Atlantic cod: “Following passage of the trawl, a 300-m-wide “hole” in the aggregation spanned the trawl track. Disturbance was detected for 77 min after passage of the trawl.” There have been no specific studies for jack mackerel in New Zealand waters, but information on the timing and location of spawning and fishing exists. *T. declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae* are serial spawners with a protracted spring-summer spawning season (Hurst et al 2000). *T. murphyi* appears to spawn from late winter through to summer (Horn 1990, Hurst et al 2000). The JMA 7 trawl fishery has peaks of catch and effort in spring–summer (Oct-ober–March) and in winter (April–September), (McKenzie, 2008), the former overlapping with spawning. Most of the purse-seine catch taken from the Bay of Plenty is in September–October, but an increasing proportion has been caught in November–December since 2005–06 (Walsh et al 2012), also overlapping the spring–summer spawning.

4.5.2 Habitat of particular significance to fisheries management

Habitat of particular significance for fisheries management (HPSFM) does not have a policy definition (MPI, 2013) although work is underway to generate one. Studies of potential relevance have identified areas of importance for spawning and juveniles (Hurst et al 2000). *T. declivis* spawning was found to be common on the southwest and northwest outer shelf North Island, and moderate to high abundance of juveniles was recorded from northwest North Island, Hauraki Gulf, and Bay of Plenty outer shelf. *T. novaezelandiae* spawning was found to be common on the southwest and northwest inner and outer shelf North Island, and moderate to high abundance of juveniles was recorded from Hauraki Gulf and Bay of Plenty inner and outer shelf, East Cape inner shelf, and Tasman/Golden Bays. *T. murphyi* spawning was found to be common on the southwest outer shelf and only low abundance of juveniles was recorded from the outer Southland shelf and 300–600 m on the Chatham Rise.

4.5.3 Genetic effects

Fishing and environmental changes, including those caused by climate change or pollution, could alter the genetic composition or diversity of a species. There are no known studies of the genetic diversity of jack mackerels in New Zealand.

5. STOCK ASSESSMENT

Stock assessments for jack mackerel are complicated by the reporting and management of three species under a single code. Preliminary stock assessments for *T. declivis* and *T. novaezealandiae* in JMA 7 were undertaken in 2007 based on data from a new Bayesian analysis for splitting the recorded commercial catch into *T. declivis*, *T. novaezealandiae*, and *T. murphyi* components. This analysis was used to derive CPUE indices and a catch history for the *T. declivis* fishery in JMA 7, which were incorporated along with a proportions-at-age series into the assessments.

The assessment for *T. declivis* is described below, but the assessment for *T. novaezealandiae* is not included because of convergence problems with the assessment model which led to its rejection by the working group.

Otherwise, there are no new data that would alter the yield estimates given in the 1996 Plenary Report. Estimates of *MCY* for JMA 1 and JMA 3 have not changed since the 1993 Plenary Report. Other yield estimates have not changed since the 1991 Plenary Report. The yield estimates are based on biomass estimates from a stock reduction analysis and aerial sightings data.

5.1 *T. declivis* in Challenger, Central West and Auckland West (JMA 7)

Species Proportion Estimates

A Bayesian species proportions model was used to estimate the proportion of *T. declivis* in the reported (TCEPR) catch for the JMA 7 fishery from 1989–90 through to 2004–05. Six spatial-temporal strata were used in the model: three spatial strata in combination with two temporal strata. The three spatial strata consisted of three regions with differing patterns in the relative proportions of the three jack mackerel species. The two temporal strata are a summer fishery (October–March) and a winter fishery (April–September). In the model the species proportions are estimated for each year (1989–90 to 2004–05), and the six strata for that year.

CPUE

The Bayesian species proportions model was used to estimate the *T. declivis* catch for each TCEPR tow, and the derived catch-effort data used in a standardised CPUE analysis. Based on changes in jack mackerel fishery practice, and changes in vessel composition over time, the CPUE analysis was split into two time periods: an early period covering the years 1989–90 to 1995–96, and a late period covering 1996–97 to 2004–05 (Table 9).

Table 9: Standardised CPUE indices (relative year effects) with number of tows from 1989–90 to 2004–05.

	Year	CPUE index	CV	Number of tows
	1989–90	2.07	0.1	716
	1990–91	2.05	0.1	688
	1991–92	1.9	0.1	947
	1992–93	1.56	0.09	1 088
	1993–94	1.37	0.09	1 444
	1994–95	1.28	0.09	597
	1995–96	0.89	0.1	502
	1996–97	1.69	0.13	160
	1997–98	0.92	0.11	252
	1998–99	2.7	0.08	712
	1999–00	2.15	0.08	717
	2000–01	2.67	0.07	1 240

Table 9 [continued]:

	Year	CPUE index	CV	Number of tows	
	2001–02	2002	2.85	0.07	1 760
	2002–03	2003	2.38	0.06	2 272
	2003–04	2004	2.59	0.07	2 055
	2004–05	2005	3.23	0.07	2 002

Catch History

Catch records for jack mackerel extend back to 1946, though landings are small until the mid 1960s. The Bayesian model annual species proportions were used to estimate the *T. declivis* landings from 1991–92 to 2004–05, while previous species proportions were used to estimate landings for the earlier years (Table 10).

Recreational catch, illegal catch, and customary non-commercial catch are not well known, though are thought to be small relative to the commercial catch, so no components are included for these in the catch history.

Catch at Age

Catch-at-age data were used from the commercial fishery in the years 1989–90, 1990–91, 1995–96, and 2004–05.

Table 10: Catch history (t) for *T. declivis* in the JMA 7 fishery. The year denotes the calendar year at the end of the fishing year.

Year	Estimated catch	Year	Estimated catch	Year	Estimated catch
1946	3	1967	3 326	1988	10 340
1947	1	1968	3 326	1989	10 963
1948	2	1969	3 326	1990	6 315
1949	8	1970	2 787	1991	6 759
1950	0	1971	4 634	1992	12 422
1951	0	1972	6 405	1993	7 925
1952	3	1973	5 284	1994	10 741
1953	4	1974	6 423	1995	6 809
1954	0	1975	4 591	1996	5 276
1955	5	1976	5 518	1997	4 702
1956	1	1977	6 151	1998	5 002
1957	3	1978	2 197	1999	10 045
1958	4	1979	2 524	2000	4 339
1959	0	1980	1 522	2001	6 595
1960	2	1981	3 547	2002	13 403
1961	2	1982	3 372	2003	12 781
1962	2	1983	5 540	2004	16 752
1963	5	1984	6 980	2005	17 154
1964	4	1985	8 967	2006	–
1965	3	1986	6 801	2007	–
1966	23	1987	11 493	2008	–

Model Structure

In 2007, the observational data were incorporated into an age-based Bayesian stock assessment to estimate stock size. The stock was considered to reside in a single area, with no partition by sex or maturity. In the model age groups were 1–25 years, with a plus group of 25+. The model covered the period 1965–2005 (estimated catch was insignificant before 1965).

There was a single time step in the model, in which the order of processes is ageing, recruitment, and mortality (natural and fishing). Recruitment numbers followed a Beverton-Holt relationship with steepness of 0.924 derived from a mean value over a number of species similar to jack mackerel. Maturation was not explicitly modeled; instead a maturity-at-age logistic ogive was used with an a_{50} of 3 and an a_{1095} of 9 years. Growth was assumed to follow a von Bertalanffy curve.

The model was fitted to: (a) an early CPUE series covering the years 1990 to 1996, (b) a late CPUE series covering the years 1997 through to 2005, (c) and a commercial proportions-at-age series for 1990, 1991, 1996, and 2005. A research trawl proportions-at-age for 1981 was not entered into the

model, but the fit to it was evaluated outside the model assuming that the research trawl selectivity is the same as the commercial trawl selectivity. A double half normal curve was used to model the commercial trawl selectivity.

The relative influence of the different data series in the model was evaluated by dropping the early CPUE series, dropping the late CPUE series, and putting more weight on the proportions-at-age data by increasing their effective sample size.

Results

For the base model in this preliminary assessment it was estimated that current biomass is at 53% of virgin biomass (B_0). The biomass trajectory indicates a decline in biomass until the mid 1990s, followed by an increase in biomass until 2002, subsequently followed by a slight decline (Figure 2).

Dropping the early CPUE series put the estimate of current biomass at 76% B_0 , in contrast dropping the late CPUE series put the current biomass at only 30% B_0 . Doubling the effective sample sizes for all the proportions-at-age data put the estimate of current biomass at 66% B_0 .




Figure 2: Biomass trajectories for the base case. The left-hand graph shows the fit of the CPUE indices to the vulnerable biomass; the right-hand graph shows the mature biomass trajectory. The year denotes the calendar year at the end of the fishing year.

5.2 Estimates of fishery parameters and abundance

Estimates of fishery parameters are given in Table 11.

Table 11: Estimates of fishery parameters.

Parameter	Fishstock	Estimate	Species	Source
$F_{0.1}$	JMA 7	0.23	<i>T. declivis</i>	Horn (1991a)
		0.33	<i>T. novaezelandiae</i>	Horn (1991a)

5.3 Biomass estimates

Biomass estimates are discussed in the section on estimation of MCY . Estimates of current biomass are not available.

5.4 Yield estimates and projections

The 2007 assessment for *T. declivis* did not include yield estimates so there is no information to update the historical estimates described below.

- (i) Challenger, Central (West) and part of Auckland (West) (FMAs 7, 8, and part of 9)

MCY was estimated in the early 1990s for the two endemic jack mackerel species separately using the equation $MCY = 2/3 MSY$ (Method 3). The deterministic MSY values (8.8% and 14.7% of B_0 for *T. declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae* respectively) were calculated using a yield per recruit analysis and a Beverton and Holt stock-recruitment relationship with an assumed steepness of 0.95. B_0 was estimated using a backward projection of a stock reduction analysis that produced biomass trajectories over the period 1970–90.

For *Trachurus declivis*, $B_0 = 200\,000\text{ t}$,
 $MCY = 2/3 * (0.088 * 200\,000\text{ t}) = 11\,800\text{ t}$
 For *Trachurus novaezelandiae*, $B_0 = 100\,000\text{ t}$,
 $MCY = 2/3 * (0.147 * 100\,000\text{ t}) = 9800\text{ t}$

Because these yield estimates are based on an assumed stock-recruitment relationship, they are highly uncertain.

(ii) Northland, Bay of Plenty, east coast North Island (FMAs 1 and 2)

Annual landings before 1990–91 ranged from 1173 t to less than 5000 t. Landings subsequently increased markedly as a result of the increased availability of *T. murphyi* to a maximum in excess of 14 000 t in 1993–94. Concerns about the assumptions used to produce the original yield estimate and the production of time series abundance indices from aerial sightings data resulted in a revised yield estimate in the mid 1990s. The aerial sightings indices showed little change in jack mackerel abundance estimates in JMA 1 between 1976 and 1990.

MCY was estimated in 1993 using the equation $MCY = cY_{AV}$ (method 4) incorporating the mean of removals from 1983–84 to 1989–90, before the *T. murphyi* invasion influenced total catches. It is assumed that this represents a period when fishing effort was relatively stable, thus satisfying the criterion for the use of method 4. The calculated *MCY* applies only to *T. declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae*.

Using $M = 0.18$ and therefore $c = 0.8$, $MCY = 0.8 * 3013 = 2410\text{ t}$ (rounded to 2400 t).

(iii) Rest of the EEZ (QMAs 3–6)

Trawl surveys in QMAs 3–6 are not considered to be a suitable means to estimate biomass of jack mackerels, due primarily to the slow towing speed. Landings from JMA 3 have fluctuated widely since 1983–84, and were relatively high in the 1990s due probably to an increased abundance of *T. murphyi*.

For JMA 3 there are no available estimates of biomass and no series of catch data from a period of relatively constant fishing mortality. Therefore, it is not possible to estimate *MCY* for this Fishstock.

The level of risk to the stock by harvesting the population at the estimated *MCY* value cannot be determined.

Estimates of current biomass are not available for any jack mackerel stock, so *CAY* cannot be estimated.

Yield estimates for *T. declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae* are shown in Table 12.

Table 12: Yield estimates for *T. declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae* (t).

Parameter	Fishstock	Estimate
<i>MCY</i>	JMA 1	2 400
	JMA 3	Cannot be determined
	JMA 7	21 600
<i>CAY</i>	All	Cannot be determined

5.5 Other yield estimates and stock assessment results

For *T. declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae* catch-at-age proportions are available for the years 2006–07 through to 2008–09 in JMA 7. These were used to estimate instantaneous total mortality *Z* values by the Chapman-Robson maximum likelihood method (Chapman & Robson 1960). As a sensitivity analysis the assumed age of recruitment was varied between three and six years (Smith 2011).

JACK MACKERELS (JMA)

For *T. declivis* estimates of Z varied between 0.17 y^{-1} and 0.23 y^{-1} . For *T. novaezelandiae*, Z varied between 0.23 y^{-1} and 0.43 y^{-1} . Estimates were lowest in the 2008–09 year for both species. The accepted value of natural mortality for both species is 0.18 y^{-1} , indicating that estimates of average instantaneous fishing mortality (F) were well below M for *T. declivis* and about equal to M for *T. novaezelandiae*.




Figure 3: Estimates of instantaneous total mortality (Z) by year for *T. declivis* and *T. novaezelandiae* in JMA 7.

5.6 Other factors

The estimates of MCY given above are likely to be conservative as they do not take into account the presence of the third species, *T. murphyi*, which has been known at times to comprise a substantial proportion of the purse seine catches in the area between Cook Strait and Kaikoura, in the Bay of Plenty and on the east Northland coast, although the proportion of this component has declined considerably since the late 1990s. *T. murphyi* has also been an important component of the west coast North Island jack mackerel trawl fishery but has declined in recent years. Thus, there has been a contraction in the range of this species in New Zealand waters, although it is unknown yet whether this represents a decrease in its overall abundance here. The effect of *T. murphyi* on the range and abundance of the other two species is unknown.

Aerial sightings data were used to produce a time series of relative abundance indices for jack mackerel. The time series covered the period from the beginning of the purse seine fishery in 1976 to 1993. It indicated an increase in abundance in JMA 1 from the early 1990s, and, although the result is not as clear, a similar trend in JMA 3 and JMA 7. These increases were attributed to the invasion of *T. murphyi*.

The validity of this early aerial sightings abundance index is uncertain. Further analysis of these data have been the focus of considerable effort in recent years and the Northern Inshore Working Group had not yet accepted revised abundance indices due to data and model concerns.

The stipulation that catches in JMA 1 and JMA 3 above the original TACs (5970 t and 2700 t, respectively) be accounted for by increases in *T. murphyi* only, is a method of managing this species independently of the other two. This approach was introduced as a means of maintaining stocks of the endemic species while allowing exploitation of increased stocks of *T. murphyi* resulting from its invasion.

6. STATUS OF THE STOCKS

Assessment of the status of JMA is complicated by the reporting and management of three species under a single code. This is further complicated by the uncertain ‘status’ of *T. murphyi*. The effect of the *T. murphyi* invasion on stocks of the New Zealand jack mackerels is unknown.

Stock Structure Assumptions

The three species have different levels of mobility and different spatial distributions within New Zealand. *T. murphyi* has been extremely mobile, with a widespread distribution throughout New Zealand during the 1990s, but is now rarely seen in areas where once it was common. The degree to which its biomass has actually declined is difficult to determine and there are no recent reliable estimates of its current spatial distribution. There are reports from hoki surveys in Cook Strait of aggregations of *T. murphyi* lying in deeper water.

T. declivis is also believed to be highly mobile within New Zealand. Because of this, a single biological stock is assumed, but this has not yet been reliably determined. The mobility of *T. novaezelandiae* is assumed to be lower, given that it is a smaller animal with a more northerly and inshore distribution than *T. declivis*. Consequently, there is a higher probability of multiple independent breeding populations for *T. novaezelandiae*.

JMA 1

Stock Status	
Year of Most Recent Assessment	1993: $MCY = cY_{AV}$
Reference Points	Target(s): Not established but B_{MSY} assumed Soft Limit: 20% B_0 Hard Limit: 10% B_0
Status in relation to Target	Unknown
Status in relation to Limits	Unknown
Historical Stock Status Trajectory and Current Status	
-	
Fishery and Stock Trends	
Recent Trend in Biomass or Proxy	An index for JMA 1 is not available at this time. Recent work and discussions concerning the use of aerial sightings data for annual relative abundance indices concluded that the inter-annual variation was too great for these data to provide a reliable index.
Recent Trend in Fishing Mortality or Proxy	-
Trends in other Relevant Indicators or Variables	-

Projections and Prognosis	
Stock Projections or Prognosis	It is not known whether catches at the level of the current TACCs or recent catch levels are sustainable in the long-term.
Probability of Current Catch or TACC causing decline below Limits	Soft Limit: Unknown Hard Limit: Unknown
Assessment Methodology	
Assessment Type	Level 3 — Qualitative Evaluation: Fishery characterisation with evaluation of fishery trends (e.g., catch, effort and nominal CPUE, length-frequency information) - there is no agreed index of abundance

JACK MACKERELS (JMA)

Assessment Method	-	
Main data inputs	Species proportions estimates	
Period of Assessment	Latest assessment: 1993	Next assessment: Unknown
Changes to Model Structure and Assumptions	-	
Major Sources of Uncertainty	-	

Qualifying Comments
-
Fishery Interactions
JMA 1 catches are primarily taken by targeted purse seine. Because jack mackerel often occur in mixed schools with kahawai, particularly towards the end of the fishing year, this can inhibit jack mackerel targeting in this fishery at this time.

JMA 3

Stock Status	
Year of Most Recent Assessment	-
Reference Points	Management Target: 40% B_0 Soft Limit: 20% B_0 Hard Limit: 10% B_0
Status in relation to Target	Unknown
Status in relation to Limits	Unknown
Historical Stock Status Trajectory and Current Status	
-	

Fishery and Stock Trends	
Recent Trend in Biomass or Proxy	-
Recent Trend in Fishing Mortality or Proxy	-

Projections and Prognosis	
Stock Projections or Prognosis	It is not known whether catches at the level of the current TACCs or recent catch levels are sustainable in the long-term.
Probability of Current Catch or TACC causing decline below Limits	Soft Limit: Unknown Hard Limit: Unknown
Assessment Methodology	
Assessment Type	Level 4: Low information evaluation — there are only data on catch and TACC, with no other fishery indicators. Catch is qualified with species proportions estimates from MFish observer data. Some length-frequency information is available.
Assessment Method	-
Main data inputs	Species proportions estimates
Period of Assessment	Latest assessment: - Next assessment: -
Changes to Model Structure and Assumptions	-
Major Sources of Uncertainty	-
Qualifying Comments	
-	

Fishery Interactions	
JMA 3 catches are primarily taken by midwater trawl and have comprised a high percentage of <i>T. murphyi</i> in some years.	

JMA 7

Stock Status	
Year of Most Recent Assessment	2011
Reference Points	Management Target: 40% B_0 Soft Limit: 20% B_0 Hard Limit: 10% B_0
Status in relation to Target	Unknown
Status in relation to Limits	Unknown
Historical Stock Status Trajectory and Current Status	
-	

Fishery and Stock Trends	
Recent Trend in Biomass or Proxy	-
Recent Trend in Fishing Mortality or Proxy	Estimates of total mortality for <i>T. declivis</i> (JMD) and <i>T. novaezelandiae</i> (JMN) from catch curve analyses in 2011 suggest that fishing mortality was well below M for JMD and about equal to M for JMN; i.e. it is Unlikely (< 40%) that overfishing is occurring.
Other Abundance Indices	-
Trends in Other Relevant Indicators or Variables	-

Projections and Prognosis	
Stock Projections or Prognosis	Unknown
Probability of Current Catch or TACC causing decline below Limits	Soft Limit: Unknown Hard Limit: Unknown
Assessment Methodology	
Assessment Type	Level 2 - Partial quantitative stock assessment
Assessment Method	Catch curve analysis
Main data inputs	-
Period of Assessment	Latest assessment: 2011 Next assessment: 2014
Changes to Model Structure and Assumptions	-
Major Sources of Uncertainty	No abundance indices are available. The analyses (catch curves) may not provide accurate values of average fishing mortality.

Qualifying Comments	
-	

Fishery Interactions	
JMA 7 catches are primarily taken by targeted midwater trawl. A number of bycatch issues exist with blue mackerel, an important component of this fishery, and non-availability of ACE for kingfish, blue mackerel, and snapper potentially influences targeting in some sub-areas. Incidental interactions and associated mortality of common dolphins occurs in this fishery.	

Yield estimates, TACCs and reported landings for the 2013–14 fishing year are summarised in Table 13.

JACK MACKERELS (JMA)

Table 13: Summary of TACCs (t) and reported landings (t) for all three species in the most recent fishing year.

Fishstock		FMA	2013–14 Actual TAC	2013–14 Reported landings
JMA 1	Auckland (East)/ Central (East)	1, 2	10 000	10 520
JMA 3	South-East/Southland/Sub-Antarctic	3, 4, 5, 6	18 000	4 693
JMA 7	Challenger/Central (West)/Auckland (West)	7, 8, 9	32 537	35 175
JMA 10	Kermadec	10	10	0
Total			60 547	50 388

7. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

- Abraham, E.R.; Thompson, F.N. (2009). Capture of protected species in New Zealand trawl and longline fisheries, 1998–99 to 2006–07 New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 32. 197 p.
- Abraham, E R; Thompson, F N (2011) Summary of the capture of seabirds, marine mammals, and turtles in New Zealand commercial fisheries, 1998–99 to 2008–09. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 80*.
- Abraham, E R; Thompson, F N; Berkenbusch, K (2013) Estimated capture of seabirds in New Zealand trawl and longline fisheries, 2002–03 to 2010–11. Final Research Report for Ministry for Primary Industries project PRO2010-01 (Unpublished report held by Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington).
- Abraham, E R; Thompson, F N; Oliver, M D (2010) Summary of the capture of seabirds, mammals, and turtles in New Zealand commercial fisheries, 1998–99 to 2007–08. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No.45*. 149 p.
- Anderson, O F (2004) Fish discards and non-target fish catch in the trawl fisheries for arrow squid, jack mackerel, and scampi in New Zealand waters. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2004/10*. 61 p.
- Anderson, O F (2007) Fish discards and non-target fish catch in the New Zealand jack mackerel trawl fishery: 2001–02 to 2004–05. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report 8*. 36 p.
- Baird, S.J. (2001). Estimation of the incidental capture of seabird and marine mammal species in commercial fisheries in New Zealand waters, 1998-99. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2001/14*.43 p.
- Baird, S J (2004a) Estimation of the incidental capture of seabird and marine mammal species in commercial fisheries in New Zealand waters, 1999–2000. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2004/41*.56 p.
- Baird, S J (2004b) Incidental capture of seabird species in commercial fisheries in New Zealand waters, 2000–01. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2004/58*. 63 p.
- Baird, S J (2004c) Incidental capture of seabird species in commercial fisheries in New Zealand waters, 2001–02. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2004/60*. 51 p.
- Baird, S J (2005) Incidental capture of seabird species in commercial fisheries in New Zealand waters, 2002–03. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2005/2*. 50 p.
- Baird, S J; Smith, M H (2007) Incidental capture of New Zealand fur seals (*Arctocephalus forsteri*) in commercial fisheries in New Zealand waters, 2003–04 to 2004–05. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 14*. 98 p.
- Baird, S J; Wood, B A (2012) Extent of coverage of 15 environmental classes within the New Zealand EEZ by commercial trawling with seafloor contact. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report 89*. 43 p.
- Baird, S J; Wood, B A; Bagley, N W (2011) Nature and extent of commercial fishing effort on or near the seafloor within the New Zealand 200 n. mile Exclusive Economic Zone, 1989–90 to 2004–05. *New Zealand Aquatic Environmental and Biodiversity Report No. 73*. 143 p.
- Baker, C S; Chilvers, B L; Constantine, R; DuFresne, S; Mattlin, R H; van Helden, A; Hitchmough, R (2010) Conservation status of New Zealand marine mammals (suborders Cetacea and Pinnipedia), 2009. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 44*: 101–115.
- Ballara, S L; Anderson, O F (2009) Fish discards and non-target fish catch in the trawl fisheries for arrow squid and scampi in New Zealand waters. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 38*. 102 p.
- Bertrand, A; Barbieri, M A; Córdova, J; Hernández, C; Gómez, F; Leiva, F (2004) Diel vertical behaviour, predator-prey relationships, and occupation of space by jack mackerel (*Trachurus murphyi*) off Chile. *ICES Journal of Marine Science 61*: 1105–1112.
- Bertrand, A; Barbieri, M A; Gerlotto, F; Leiva, F; Córdova, J (2006) Determinism and plasticity of fish schooling behaviour as exemplified by the South Pacific jack mackerel *Trachurus murphyi*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series 311*: 145–156.
- Black, J; Wood, R; Berthelsen, T; Tilney, R (2013) Monitoring New Zealand's trawl footprint for deepwater fisheries: 1989–1990 to 2009–2010. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 110*. 57 p.
- Boyd, R O; Gowing, L; Reilly, J L (2004) 2000–2001 National marine recreational fishing survey: diary results and harvest estimates. Draft New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report (Unpublished report held by Ministry for Primary Industries.)
- Boyd, R O; Reilly, J L (2002) 1999/2000 National marine recreational fishing survey: harvest estimates. Draft New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. (Unpublished report held by Ministry for Primary Industries.)
- Bradford, E (1996) A comparison of the 1993-94 diary and boat ramp surveys of recreational fishing in the Ministry of Fisheries North region New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 96/5. 21 p.
- Bradford, E (1997) Estimated recreational catches from Ministry of Fisheries North region marine recreational fishing surveys, 1993–94. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1997/7. 16 p. (Unpublished document held by NIWA library, Wellington.)
- Bradford, E (1998) Harvest estimates from the 1996 national recreational fishing surveys. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document. 1998/16. 27 p.
- Bradford, E; Taylor P R (1995) Trends in pelagic fish abundance from aerial sightings data. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1995/8. 60 p. (Unpublished document held by NIWA library, Wellington.)
- Brothers, N; Duckworth, A R; Safina, C; Gilman, E L (2010) Seabird bycatch in pelagic longline fisheries is grossly underestimated when using only haul data. *PLoS One 5*: e12491. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012491.
- Chapman, D G; Robson, D S (1960) The analysis of a catch curve. *Biometrics 16*: 354–368.
- Department of Internal Affairs. (2006). Seabird Scaring Devices – Circular Issued Under Authority of the Fisheries (Commercial Fishing) Amendment Regulations 2006 (No. F361). New Zealand Gazette 6 April 2006 : 842-846.
- Dunn, M R; Stevens, D W; Forman, J S; Connell, A (2013) Trophic Interactions and Distribution of Some Squaliforme Sharks, Including New Diet Descriptions for *Deania calcea* and *Squalus acanthias*. *PLoS ONE 8*(3): e59938. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059938.
- Dunn, M R; Szabo, A; McVeagh, M S; Smith, P J (2010) The diet of deepwater sharks and the benefits of using DNA identification of prey. *Deep-Sea Research I 57*: 923–930.

- Elizarov, A A; Grechina, A S; Botenev, B N; Kuzetsov, A N (1993) Peruvian jack mackerel, *Trachurus symmetricus*, in the open waters of the South Pacific. *Journal of Ichthyology* 33(3): 86–104.
- Francis, M P; Hurst, R J; McArdle, B; Bagley, N W; Anderson, O F (2002) New Zealand demersal fish assemblages. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 65: 215–234.
- Godfriaux, B L (1968) The food and feeding relationships of snapper (*Chrysophrys auratus*) and some other fish-species trawled in Hauraki Gulf. Unpublished MSc (Hons) thesis, Victoria University of Wellington.
- Godfriaux, B L (1970) Food of predatory demersal fish in Hauraki Gulf. II. Five fish species associated with snapper. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 4: 248–266.
- Hermesen, J M; Collie, J S; Valentine, P C (2003) Mobile fishing gear reduces benthic megafaunal production on Georges Bank. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 260: 97–108.
- Hiddink, J G; Jennings, S; Kaiser, M J; Queiros, A M; Duplisea, D E; Piet, G J (2006) Cumulative impacts of seabed trawl disturbance on benthic biomass, production, and species richness in different habitats. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 63: 721–36.
- Horn, P L (1990) Trawl survey of jack mackerels (*Trachurus* spp.) off the central west coast, New Zealand, February-March 1990. *New Zealand Fisheries Technical Report* 28. 39 p.
- Horn, P L (1991a) Assessment of jack mackerel stocks off the central west coast, New Zealand, for the 1990–91 fishing year. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1991/6. 14 p. (Unpublished report held in NIWA library, Wellington.)
- Horn, P L (1991b) Trawl survey of jack mackerels (*Trachurus* spp.) off the central west coast, New Zealand, February-March 1990. *New Zealand Fisheries Technical Report No. 28*: 39 p.
- Horn, P L (1993) Growth, age structure, and productivity of jack mackerels (*Trachurus* spp.) in New Zealand waters. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 27: 145–155.
- Hurst, R J (1980) Studies on the life cycle of some New Zealand Anisakidae (Nematoda). Unpublished PhD thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand. 212 p.
- Hurst, R J; Stevenson, M L; Bagley, N W; Griggs, L H; Morrison, M A; Francis, M P (2000) Areas of importance for spawning, pupping or egg-laying, and juveniles of New Zealand coastal fish. Final Research Report for Ministry of Fisheries Research Project ENV1999/03. (Unpublished report held by Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington.)
- Jennings, S., Dinmore, T. A., Duplisea, D. E., Warr, K. J. and Lancaster, J. E. (2001), Trawling disturbance can modify benthic production processes. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 70: 459–475.
- Jones, J B (1988) Jack mackerels. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1988/19. 19 p. (Unpublished report held in NIWA library, Wellington.)
- Jones, J B (1990) Jack mackerels (*Trachurus* spp.) in New Zealand waters. *New Zealand Fisheries Technical Report No. 23*: 28 p.
- Kawahara, S; Uozumi, Y; Yamada, H (1988) First record of a carangid fish, *Trachurus murphyi* from New Zealand. *Japanese Journal of Ichthyology* 35(2): 212–214.
- Leathwick, J R; Rowden, A; Nodder, S; Gorman, R; Bardsley, S; Pinkerton, M; Baird, S J; Hadfield, M; Currie, K; Goh, A (2009) Benthic-optimised marine environment classification for New Zealand waters. Final Research Report project BEN2006/01. 52 p. (Unpublished report held by Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington.)
- McKenzie, A (2008) Standardised CPUE analyses for *Trachurus declivis* and *Trachurus novaezealandiae* in the JMA 7 jack mackerel fishery to 2004–05. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2008/46*.
- MacKenzie, D; Fletcher, D (2006) Characterisation of seabird captures in commercial trawl and longline fisheries in New Zealand 1997/98 to 2003/04. Final Research Report for ENV2004/04, held by Ministry of Fisheries, New Zealand. 102 p. (Unpublished report held by Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington.)
- Middleton, D A J; Abraham, E R (2007) The efficacy of warp strike mitigation devices: Trials in the 2006 squid fishery. Final Research Report for research project IPA2006/02. (Unpublished report held by Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington.)
- MPI (2013). Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Annual Review 2013. Compiled by the Fisheries Management Science. Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington, New Zealand. 538pp.
- Morgan, M J; DeBlois, E M; Rose, G A. (1997) An observation on the reaction of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in a spawning shoal to bottom trawling. Conference - Symposium on the Biology and Ecology of Northwest Atlantic Cod, St. John's, NF (Canada), 24–28 Oct 1994.
- Morgan, M J; Wilson, C E; Crim, L W (1999) The effect of stress on reproduction in Atlantic cod. *Journal of Fish Biology* 54(3): 477–488.
- Reiss, H; Greenstreet, SPR; Seibe, K; Ehrich S; Piet GJ; Quirijns F; Robinson L; Wolff WK; Kronke I (2009) Effects of fishing disturbance on benthic communities and secondary production within an intensively fished area. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 394:201–213.
- Rice, J (2006) Impacts of Mobile Bottom Gears on Seafloor Habitats, Species, and Communities: A Review and Synthesis of Selected International Reviews. Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Research Document 2006/057. 35 p. (available from http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/CSAS/Csas/DocREC/2006/RES2006_057_e.pdf).
- Richard, Y; Abraham, E R (2013) Risk of commercial fisheries to New Zealand seabird populations. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 109*. 58 p.
- Robertson, D A (1978) The New Zealand jack mackerel fishery. In Habib, G. and Roberts, P.E. (Eds.). Proceedings of the pelagic fisheries conference, July 1977. *FRD Occasional Publication No. 15*. pp. 43–47.
- Smith, M H (2011) Catch curves for JMA species in JMA 7. DWWG-2011/27. 6 p. (Unpublished report held by Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington.)
- Stevens, D W; Hurst, R J; Bagley, N W (2011) Feeding habits of New Zealand fishes: a literature review and summary of research trawl database records 1960 to 2000. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 85*. 218 p.
- Taylor, P R (2000) Species composition and seasonal variability in commercial catches and aerial sightings of jack mackerel, *Trachurus declivis*, *T. symmetricus murphyi*, and *T. novaezealandiae*, in JMA 1, JMA 3, and JMA 7. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2000/45*. 25 p.
- Taylor, P R (2002a) Stock structure and population biology of the Peruvian jack mackerel, *Trachurus symmetricus murphyi*. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2002/21*. 78 p.
- Taylor, P R (2002b) Species composition and seasonality of jack mackerel (*Trachurus declivis*, *T. symmetricus murphyi*, and *T. novaezealandiae*) in commercial catches from JMA 1, 3, and 7 during 1998–99 and 1999–2000, with a summary of biological information from 1990–91 to 1999–2000. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2002/51*. 72 p.
- Taylor, P R (2004) Species composition and seasonal variability in commercial catches of jack mackerel (*Trachurus declivis*, *T. symmetricus murphyi*, and *T. novaezealandiae*) in JMA 1, JMA 3, and JMA 7 during 2000–01. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2004/28*. 22 p.
- Taylor, P R (2008) Factors affecting fish size and landed volumes in the purse-seine and TCEPR charter-boat fisheries in 2004–05 and 2005–06. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2008/32*. 17 p.
- Taylor, P R; Horn, P L; O'Maolagáin, C (2012) Commercial catch sampling for species proportion, sex, length, and age of jack mackerels in JMA 1 in the 2006–07, 2007–08 and 2008–09 fishing years. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2012/48*. 36 p.
- Taylor, P R; Julian, K A (2008) Species composition and seasonal variability in commercial catches of jack mackerel (*Trachurus declivis*, *T. murphyi* and *T. novaezealandiae*) in JMA 1, 3, and 7 during 2004–05. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2008/25*. 24 p.

JACK MACKERELS (JMA)

- Teirney, L D; Kilner, A R; Millar, R E; Bradford, E; Bell, J D (1997) Estimation of recreational catch from 1991/92 to 1993/94. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1997/15. 43 p. (Unpublished document held by NIWA library, Wellington.)
- Thompson, F N; Abraham, E R (2009) Dolphin bycatch in New Zealand trawl fisheries, 1995–96 to 2006–07. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No.36*. 24 p.
- Thompson, F N; Abraham, E R; Oliver, M D (2010) Estimation of fur seal bycatch in New Zealand trawl fisheries, 2002–03 to 2007–08. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 56*. 39 p.
- Thompson, F N; Berkenbusch, K; Abraham, E R (2013) Marine mammal bycatch in New Zealand trawl fisheries, 1995–96 to 2010–11. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 105*. 73 p.
- Tuck, I; Cole, R; Devine, J (2009) Ecosystem indicators for New Zealand fisheries. *New Zealand Aquatic Environment and Biodiversity Report No. 42*. 188 p.
- Walsh, C; Bian, R; McKenzie, J M; Miller, A; Spong, K; Armiger, H (2012) Species composition and seasonal variability in commercial purse-seine catches of jack mackerel (*Trachurus declivis*, *T. murphyi*, and *T. novaezelandiae*) in JMA 1 between January 2009 and September 2011. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2012/46*. 41 p.