


## BUTTERFISH (BUT)

### BUTTERFISH (BUT)

(*Odax pullus*)  
Marari



## 1. FISHERY SUMMARY

Butterfish was introduced into the QMS in 1 October 2002 with allowances, TACCs and TACs as follows (Table 1).

**Table 1: Summary of recreational and customary non-commercial allowances, TACs, and TACCs.**

| Fishstock | Recreational Allowance | Customary non-commercial Allowance | TACC | Other Mortality | TAC |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----|
| BUT 1     | 10                     | 10                                 | 3    | 1               | 24  |
| BUT 2     | 80                     | 80                                 | 63   | 2               | 225 |
| BUT 3     | 65                     | 65                                 | 3    | 1               | 134 |
| BUT 4     | 4                      | 4                                  | 10   | 0               | 18  |
| BUT 5     | 10                     | 10                                 | 45   | 1               | 66  |
| BUT 6     | 0                      | 0                                  | 0    | 0               | 0   |
| BUT 7     | 15                     | 15                                 | 38   | 1               | 69  |
| BUT 10    | 0                      | 0                                  | 0    | 0               | 0   |
| TOTAL     | 184                    | 184                                | 162  | 6               | 537 |

### 1.1 Commercial fisheries

Butterfish is targeted by setnets in shallow coastal waters, principally around kelp-beds. The main fishery is centred on Cook Strait, between Tasman Bay, Castlepoint, and Kaikoura. There is also a smaller fishery around Stewart Island. A minimum setnet mesh size of 108 mm and a minimum fish size of 35 cm apply to commercial and recreational fishers; additional regional netting restrictions may also apply.

Hector's dolphin setnet closure areas were introduced on 1 October 2008 as part of the implementation of a Hector's and Maui dolphin Threat Management Plan. This effectively closed the butterfish fishery in FMA 5 and 7 but interim relief for butterfish fishers was granted in FMA 7 by the High Court in a review of the Ministers decision on 23 February 2010.


As a result of a judicial review, the High Court referred the decision not to exempt targeted butterfish commercial fishing from the closure of part of the east coast South Island to set net fishing, back to the Minister for Primary Industries for reconsideration.

**Table 2: Reported domestic landings (t) and TACCs of butterfish by Fishstock from 2001–02 to 2014–15.**

| Fishstock<br>FMA | BUT 1<br>1,8&9 |      | BUT 2<br>2 |      | BUT 3<br>3 |      | BUT 4<br>4 |      | BUT 5<br>5 |      |
|------------------|----------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|
|                  | Landings       | TACC | Landings   | TACC | Landings   | TACC | Landings   | TACC | Landings   | TACC |
| 2001–02          | 0.7            | 3    | 64         | 63   | 0.4        | 3    | 13         | 10   | 19         | 45   |
| 2002–03          | 2.0            | 3    | 58.2       | 63   | 2.8        | 3    | 4.0        | 10   | 34.6       | 45   |
| 2003–04          | 1.4            | 3    | 52.6       | 63   | 2.1        | 3    | 2.6        | 10   | 42.6       | 45   |
| 2004–05          | 1.5            | 3    | 62.9       | 63   | 2.4        | 3    | 5.3        | 10   | 35.4       | 45   |
| 2005–06          | 2.9            | 3    | 44.5       | 63   | 1.8        | 3    | 0.1        | 10   | 21.8       | 45   |
| 2006–07          | 2.4            | 3    | 55.5       | 63   | 1.8        | 3    | 0.1        | 10   | 30.1       | 45   |
| 2007–08          | 1.0            | 3    | 46.3       | 63   | 2.0        | 3    | 0          | 10   | 35.9       | 45   |
| 2008–09          | 2.1            | 3    | 55.5       | 63   | 0.6        | 3    | 0.6        | 10   | 36.9       | 45   |
| 2009–10          | 2.5            | 3    | 45.3       | 63   | < 0.1      | 3    | 0.2        | 10   | 33.3       | 45   |
| 2010–11          | 3.1            | 3    | 42.4       | 63   | 0.1        | 3    | 0.2        | 10   | 47.0       | 45   |
| 2011–12          | 2.7            | 3    | 48.3       | 63   | < 0.1      | 3    | 0.8        | 10   | 46.3       | 45   |
| 2012–13          | 2.1            | 3    | 53.8       | 63   | 0          | 3    | 0.1        | 10   | 34.5       | 45   |
| 2013–14          | 3.0            | 3    | 42.0       | 63   | <1         | 3    | <1         | 10   | 33.3       | 45   |
| 2014–15          | 2              | 3    | 36.3       | 63   | <1         | 3    | 0          | 10   | 37.1       | 45   |

| Fishstock<br>FMA (s) | BUT 6<br>6 |      | BUT 7<br>7 |      | BUT 10<br>10 |      | Total    |       |
|----------------------|------------|------|------------|------|--------------|------|----------|-------|
|                      | Landings   | TACC | Landings   | TACC | Landings     | TACC | Landings | TACCs |
| 2001–02              | 0          | 0    | 25         | 38   | 0            | 0    | 121      | 162   |
| 2002–03              | 0          | 0    | 28.5       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 130.1    | 162   |
| 2003–04              | 0          | 0    | 24.8       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 126.1    | 162   |
| 2004–05              | 0          | 0    | 24.5       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 132.0    | 162   |
| 2005–06              | 0          | 0    | 23.7       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 94.8     | 162   |
| 2006–07              | 0          | 0    | 26.9       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 116.8    | 162   |
| 2007–08              | 0          | 0    | 29.4       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 114.6    | 162   |
| 2008–09              | 0          | 0    | 26.3       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 122.0    | 162   |
| 2009–10              | 0          | 0    | 16.5       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 97.9     | 162   |
| 2010–11              | 0          | 0    | 23.3       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 116.2    | 162   |
| 2011–12              | 0          | 0    | 21.4       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 119.5    | 162   |
| 2012–13              | 0          | 0    | 19.9       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 110.4    | 162   |
| 2013–14              | 0          | 0    | 16.7       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 95.1     | 162   |
| 2014–15              | 0          | 0    | 21.8       | 38   | 0            | 0    | 97.1     | 162   |



**Figure 1: Map showing the setnet closures and areas that are under reconsideration.**

## BUTTERFISH (BUT)

On 18 March 2011 the Minister decided to provide an exemption to the setnet prohibition on the East Coast South Island to allow commercial fishers targeting butterfish to use setnets in a defined area at the top of the East Coast South Island (see Figure 1).

The Minister considers that there is an acceptable level of risk in terms of mortality from butterfish fishing by commercial fishers on the East Coast South Island given the type of fishing gear they use, the size of the area and the numbers of Hector's dolphins. The Minister also directed the Ministry to advise him whether an exemption may be warranted for recreational set net fishers targeting butterfish in the same defined area of the East Coast South Island where he granted the commercial exemption.

Total reported landings from 1982–83 to 2000–01 ranged between 105 and 193 t. Butterfish was introduced into the QMS in 2002. Reported landings and TACCs are given in Table 2, while Figure 2 shows the historical landings and TACC values for the main BUT stocks.

### 1.2 Recreational fisheries

Butterfish is a popular recreational catch, and is taken mainly by setnet and spear. Recreational daily bag limits were set at 30 fish in 1986, but subsequently reduced to 20 for Northern and Central and Challenger (1995), and 15 for South (1993). Survey estimates indicate that the recreational catches appear to be of similar magnitude to those of the commercial fisheries in QMAs 1, 2, 5 & 7, and substantially higher in QMA 3 (Tables 3 and 4).




Figure 2: Reported commercial landings and TACC for the three main BUT stocks. BUT 2 (Central East), BUT 3 (South east coast), BUT 5 (Southland) and BUT 7 (Challenger).

**Table 3: Estimated recreational harvest of butterfish by QMA and survey.**

| QMA       | Survey  | Number caught | Survey harvest (t) | Fishstock harvest (t)<br>1991–92 |
|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| QMA 7     | South   | 6 000         | 10                 |                                  |
| QMA 7     | South   | 4 000         | 5                  | 15                               |
| QMA 3     | South   | 36 000        | 65                 | 65                               |
| QMA 5     | South   | 8 000         | 10                 | 10                               |
|           |         |               |                    | 1993–93                          |
| QMA 2     | Central | 61 000        | 80                 | 80                               |
|           |         |               |                    | 1993–94                          |
| QMA 1 + 9 | North   | 9 000         | 10                 | 10                               |
| TOTAL     |         | 124 000       |                    | 180                              |

\*Surveys were in different years: South 1991–92; Central 1992–93; and North 1993–94 (Teirney et al 1997). Many of these estimates have high CVs, and the estimate of total harvest is a guide only because of the different survey years. Line-caught ‘butterfish’ in QMA 3 and QMA 5 are excluded because of apparent species misidentification; these survey totals should be slightly higher.

**Table 4: Estimated number and weight of butterfish harvested by recreational fishers by Fishstock and survey. Surveys were carried out nationally in 1999–2000 (Boyd & Reilly 2005).**

| Fishstock | Survey   | Number | CV% | Survey harvest (t) |
|-----------|----------|--------|-----|--------------------|
| BUT 1     | National | 1 000  | 71  | < 1–3              |
| BUT 2     | National | 23 000 | 39  | 16–36              |
| BUT 3     | National | 45 000 | 47  | 27–76              |
| BUT 5     | National | 17 000 | 42  | 11–27              |
| BUT 7     | National | 18 000 | 41  | 12–29              |
| BUT 8     | National | 1 000  | 100 | 0–2                |

A key component of estimating recreational harvest from diary surveys is determining the proportion of the population that fish. The Recreational Working Group has concluded that the methodological framework used for telephone interviews produced biased results for the 1996 and previous surveys. Consequently the harvest estimates derived from these surveys are considered to be considerably underestimated. However, relative comparisons can be made between stocks within these surveys. The Recreational Working Group considered that the 2000 survey using face-to-face interviews better estimated eligibility and that the derived recreational harvest estimates are believed to be more accurate. FMA 2 catches were nevertheless considered to be an over-estimate, probably because of an unrepresentative diarist sample.

### 1.3 Customary non-commercial fisheries

There is no quantitative information on the current level of customary non-commercial catch.

### 1.4 Illegal catch

Because this is a localised small-scale fishery, some sales from fishers directly to retailers may have gone unreported, but no quantitative estimate of this can be made.

### 1.5 Other sources of mortality

There is no quantitative information on other sources of mortality. In the past butterfish has been used as rock lobster bait and not reported.

## 2. BIOLOGY

Butterfish are endemic to New Zealand, and occur from North Cape to the Snares Islands. The species is also reported from the Chatham, Bounty and Antipodes Islands. Butterfish are more common from Cook Strait southwards. They inhabit rocky coastlines, and are commonly found among seaweed beds in moderately turbulent water. Their main depth range is 0–20 m. They occur shallower (to 10 m) in the north than in Cook Strait (to 20 m) and in southern waters they can be found as deep as 40 m.

Adult butterfish average 45–55 cm (FL) in length. Their maximum size is approximately 70 cm. Length/weight data are not available for whole fish, but as an interim measure a length/gutted weight relationship is given in Table 5.

Butterfish are almost exclusively herbivorous, feeding on several of the larger seaweeds. The diet of butterfish varies regionally and is largely determined by the species composition of the local seaweed

## BUTTERFISH (BUT)

beds. Feeding activity is greatest early in the day, and the tidal state controls the accessibility of intertidal seaweeds; fish were found to feed more actively in summer than winter (Trip 2009).

Fish were aged using sectioned sagittal otoliths, validated using daily growth (Trip 2009). Growth varies with latitude due to temperature difference, and local ecological factors such as diet and fish density.

Trip (2009) found that size and age differ significantly with latitude. Environmental temperature is the primary driver underlying the difference in life histories across latitudes, and affects growth rate, size-at-age and longevity. Butterfish living in colder temperatures (higher latitudes) grow slower, live longer, attain a greater average size and delay the onset of maturity (Trip 2009). Butterfish in Hauraki Gulf (BUT 1) reach 70% of their mean asymptotic size by the age of two, and have reached 90% of their maximum size by age 4. In the southern areas butterfish grow slower and reach a maximum size at about 75 % of their life span. The maximum age ranged from 11 years in the north (Hauraki Gulf) to 19 years in the south (Stewart Island) (Trip 2009). There are no significant differences in growth rates or mean adult body size between sexes, yet with the exception of the Hauraki Gulf, the oldest and largest fish (FL) sampled in all areas were females (Trip 2009).

Butterfish start life as female, some, but not all, undergo sex change where an estimated 50% of mature females develop into males. The size at sex change ranges between 37–45 cm FL. The length at which sex change occurs does not seem to differ between geographical areas, but age-at-sex change varies geographically. The mean age-at-sex change was found to be significantly lower in warmer latitudes, 2.5 yrs at the Hauraki Gulf, in comparison to 7 years old at Stewart Island. At D'Urville Island, in-between the two, fish changed sex at 5 years old (Trip 2009).

In the warm waters of the north females mature early and of the samples collected in the Hauraki Gulf 95% of females are sexually mature by two years old (29.7 cm FL). Females sampled at Stewart Island show delayed maturity with only 50% mature at an average age of four (25.2 cm FL) (Trip 2009).

The depth distribution of butterfish differs by size and sex. Juveniles (less than 30 cm) occur in the shallow weed beds (less than 15 m) and (outside the breeding season) males occur in deeper waters than females. Consequently, sex ratios vary with locality, but females often outnumber males.

**Table 5: Estimates of biological parameters for butterfish.**

| Fishstock   |            | Estimate              |                       | Source            |          |        |
|---|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|--------|
| <b>1. Natural mortality (<i>M</i>)</b>  |            |                       |                       |                   |          |        |
| Cook Strait   |            | 0.30–0.45             |                       | Paul et al (2000) |          |        |
| <b>2. Weight = a(length)<sup>b</sup> (Weight in g, length in cm fork length).</b> |            |                       |                       |                   |          |        |
|   | Females    |                       | Males                 |                   | Juvenile |        |
|   | a          | b                     | a                     | b                 | a        | b      |
| Cook Strait   | 67.699     | 1 947.8               | 67.034                | 1 885.9           | 21.205   | 362.28 |
| Hauraki Gulf  |            |                       |                       |                   |          |        |
| Stewart Is.   |            |                       |                       |                   |          |        |
| Linear regression, b = constant. Weight is gutted weight.                         |            |                       |                       |                   |          |        |
| <b>3. von Bertalanffy growth parameters</b>                                       |            |                       |                       |                   |          |        |
|   | Both sexes |                       |                       |                   |          |        |
|   | <i>K</i>   | <i>t</i> <sub>0</sub> | <i>L</i> <sub>∞</sub> |                   |          |        |
| Cook Strait   | 0.23       | -1.7                  | 51.8                  | Paul et al (2000) |          |        |
| Hauraki Gulf  | 0.517      | -0.23                 | 457.36                | Trip (2009)       |          |        |

In the North the spawning season occurs between July and November, with a peak in August. The spawning season extends from July to March in Cook Strait, peaking in September and October. In southern New Zealand the spawning season appears to be shorter (August to January, peaking in October–January).

### 3. STOCKS AND AREAS

There is no clear information on whether biologically distinct stocks occur, although there is some evidence of regional variation in meristic characters which suggests some separation of populations. The time larval butterflyfish spend in the plankton before settling out into the adult habitats as postlarvae is relatively short, a factor that may cause a high level of stock separation around coastal New Zealand. The only information on movement relates to feeding behaviour involving small-scale movements within seaweed beds. There is no information on movement along the coastline within a weed-bed habitat, or potentially longer migration between such habitats separated by open coast. However, the latter seems unlikely on any substantial scale, and as a result butterflyfish populations are probably quite localised. Butterflyfish populations at offshore islands (Chatham, Antipodes, Bounties, and Snares), have not been studied but may be distinct from the mainland population(s) simply because of their isolation.

### 4. STOCK ASSESSMENT

A yield per recruit analysis was undertaken in 1997 (Paul et al 2000). This report derived new estimates of growth and natural mortality from the Cook Strait which were incorporated into this analysis. Stock status was not determined by this analysis.

#### 4.1 Estimates of fishery parameters and abundance

No information is available.

#### 4.2 Biomass estimates

No information is available.

#### 4.3 Yield estimates and projections

The method  $MCY = cY_{av}$  (Method 4) was evaluated. However, this method was rejected due to a lack of reliable information on changes in fishing effort and/or mortality over the history of the fishery.  $MCY$  for butterflyfish cannot be determined.

$CAY$  cannot be determined.

#### 4.4 Other yield estimates and stock assessment results

A study of setnet mesh selectivity in relation to the current legal minimum fish size showed that 108 mm mesh retained few undersized fish (immature). This provides a level of protection to butterflyfish stocks and their recruitment. A yield per recruit analysis showed that a modest yield increase could be obtained by using a smaller mesh and taking younger (2–3 year old) fish. However, this theoretical gain would be counter-balanced by the capture of relatively more juveniles and young females, and almost certainly a higher bycatch of other reef fishes. Butterflyfish populations are susceptible to localised depletion.

### 5. STATUS OF THE STOCKS

No estimates of current and reference biomass are available. It is not known whether recent catch levels will allow the stock to move towards  $B_{MSY}$ .

Reported landings and TACCs are summarised in Table 6.

## BUTTERFISH (BUT)

**Table 6: Summary of reported landings (t) and TACCs by QMA for the most recent fishing year.**

| Fishstock |                                       | FMA   | 2014–15<br>Actual TACC | 2014–15<br>Reported landings |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| BUT 1     | Auckland (East)(West), Central (West) | 1,8&9 | 3                      | 2.0                          |
| BUT 2     | Central (East)                        | 2     | 63                     | 36.3                         |
| BUT 3     | South-east coast                      | 3     | 3                      | <1                           |
| BUT 4     | Chatham                               | 4     | 10                     | 0                            |
| BUT 5     | Southland                             | 5     | 45                     | 37.1                         |
| BUT 6     | Sub-Antarctic                         | 6     | 0                      | 0                            |
| BUT 7     | Challenger                            | 7     | 38                     | 21.8                         |
| BUT 10    | Kermadec                              | 10    | 0                      | 0                            |
| TOTAL     |                                       |       | 162                    | 97.2                         |

## 6. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

- Boyd, R O; Reilly, J L (2002) 1999/2000 national marine recreational fishing survey: harvest estimates. Draft New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. (Unpublished report held by the Ministry for Primary Industries, Wellington.)
- Choat, J H; Clements, K D (1993) Daily feeding rates in herbivorous labroid fishes. *Marine Biology* 117(2): 205–211.
- Clements, K D; Choat, J H (1993) Influence of season, ontogeny and tide on the diet of the temperate marine herbivorous fish *Odax pullus* (Odacidae). *Marine Biology* 117(2): 213–220.
- Dunn, A; Paul, L J (2000) Estimates of butterfish (*Odax pullus*) setnet selectivity. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2000/6*. 22 p.
- Graham, D H (1953) *A Treasury of New Zealand Fishes*. AH. & AW. Reed, Wellington. 424 p. (Revised 1956, reprinted 1974.)
- Hickford, M J H; Schiel, D R (1995) Catch vs. count: Effects of gill-netting on reef fish populations in southern New Zealand. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 188(2): 215–232.
- Paul, L J (1997) A summary of biology and commercial landings, and a stock assessment of butterfish, *Odax pullus* (Forster in Bloch and Schneider 1801) (Labroidae: Odacidae). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1997/23. 25 p. (Unpublished document held by NIWA library, Wellington.)
- Paul, L J; Ó Maolagáin, C; Francis, M P; Dunn, A; Francis, R I C C (2000) Age, growth, mortality, and yield per recruit for butterfish (*Odax pullus*) in Cook Strait, New Zealand. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2000/6*. 30 p.
- Ritchie, L D (1969) Aspects of the Biology of the Butterfish *Coriododax pullus* (Forster). Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Victoria University of Wellington. 145 p.
- Teirney, L D; Kilner, A R; Millar, R E; Bradford, E; Bell, J D (1997) Estimation of recreational catch from 1991/92 to 1993/94 New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1997/15. 43 p. (Unpublished document held by NIWA library, Wellington.)
- Trip, E D L (2009) Latitudinal variation in the demography and life history of a temperate marine herbivorous fish *Odax pullus* (labridae). (Unpublished Ph.D. thesis lodged in the School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand)