


GARFISH (GAR)

(*Hyporhamphus ihi*)
Takeke



1. FISHERY SUMMARY

Garfish was introduced into the QMS from 1 October 2002 with allowances, TACCs and TACs (Table 1). These have not changed.

Table 1: Recreational and Customary non-commercial allowances, TACCs and TACs (t) of garfish by Fishstock.

Fishstock	Recreational Allowance	Customary Non-Commercial Allowance	TACC	TAC
GAR 1	20	10	25	55
GAR 2	8	4	5	17
GAR 3	2	1	5	8
GAR 4	1	1	2	4
GAR 7	10	5	8	23
GAR 8	8	4	5	17
GAR 10	0	0	0	0

1.1 Commercial fisheries

Garfish landings were first recorded in 1933, and a minor fishery must have existed before this. Moderate quantities of garfish can be readily caught by experienced fishers, it is a desirable food fish, and informal sales at beaches or from wharves are likely to have been made from the late 1800s onwards. Reported landings to 1990 almost certainly understate the actual “commercial” catch.

Table 2: Reported total New Zealand landings (t) of garfish from 1931 to 1990.

Year	Landings	Year	Landings	Year	Landings	Year	Landings	Year	Landings	Year	Landings
1931	–	1941	1	1951	4	1961	3	1971	11	1981	7
1932	–	1942	1	1952	7	1962	4	1972	4	1982	11
1933	1	1943	1	1953	6	1963	4	1973	10	1983	12
1934	–	1944	2	1954	8	1964	2	1974	6	1984	13
1935	–	1945	9	1955	9	1965	2	1975	2	1975	8
1936	–	1946	3	1956	7	1966	3	1976	5	1986	14
1937	–	1947	2	1957	2	1967	4	1977	5	1987	36
1938	–	1948	1	1958	2	1968	3	1978	15	1988	20
1939	4	1949	6	1959	4	1969	5	1979	12	1989	15
1940	6	1950	2	1960	6	1970	13	1980	12	1990	24

Source: Annual Reports on Fisheries (Marine Department/Ministry of Agriculture & Fisheries) to 1974, and subsequent MAF data.

GARFISH (GAR)

By 1990 reported landings were in the range 20–40 t, and the total catches may have reached 50 t. Reported catches and landings through the 1990s have been of a similar order of magnitude although catches have declined since the 2000/01 fishing season.

Largest catches and landings (8–31 t) were made in FMA 1, mostly in statistical area 003 (southern east Northland) and 009 (central Bay of Plenty). Small (2–6 t) quantities were taken in FMA 7, almost entirely in area 017 (Marlborough Sounds). Only minor and intermittent catches and landings were made elsewhere. The most consistent catches were taken by beach seine, with some catches by lampara net. Most of the catch is reported as targeted.

In the early 1990s about 50 vessels reported a catch or landing in a year; by the late 1990s this had declined to 20–30. Most vessels reported garfish in only a few years. Total reported catches have been below 15 t for the last five years.

Table 3: Reported catches or landings (t) of garfish by Fishstock from 1990–91 to 2006–07*. Prior to 2001-02 the catches or landings (t) of garfish were reported by FMA. (–Data not available)

Fishstock FMA (s)	GAR 1		GAR 2		GAR 3		GAR 4	
	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC
1990-91†	31	-	<1	-	2	-	-	-
1991-92†	22	-	<1	-	1	-	-	-
1992-93†	14	-	<1	-	1	-	-	-
1993-94†	23	-	0	-	2	-	-	-
1994-95†	17	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	-
1995-96†	15	-	<1	-	1	-	-	-
1996-97†	15	-	<1	-	1	-	-	-
1997-98†	21	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	-
1998-99†	19	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	-
1999-00†	17	-	<1	-	<1	-	-	-
2000-01†	11	-	0	-	<1	-	-	-
2001-02†	8	25	0	5	<1	5	0	2
2002-03†	6	25	0	5	<1	5	0	2
2003-04†	11	25	0	5	0	5	0	2
2004-05†	13	25	<1	5	0	5	0	2
2005-06†	7	25	<1	5	1	5	0	2
2006-07†	10	25	0	5	0	5	0	2

Fishstock FMA (s)	GAR 7		GAR 8		GAR 10		Total	
	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings [#]	TACC
1990-91†	4	-	1	-	0	-	38	-
1991-92†	6	-	0	-	0	-	29	-
1992-93†	2	-	2	-	0	-	18	-
1993-94†	2	-	0	-	0	-	26	-
1994-95†	2	-	0	-	0	-	19	-
1995-96†	3	-	<1	-	0	-	19	-
1996-97†	5	-	<1	-	0	-	20	-
1997-98†	4	-	1	-	0	-	27	-
1998-99†	6	-	1	-	0	-	26	-
1999-00†	4	-	<1	-	0	-	21	-
2000-01†	2	-	0	-	0	-	13	-
2001-02†	3	8	0	5	0	0	11	50
2002-03†	<1	8	0	5	0	0	6	50
2003-04†	1	8	<1	5	0	0	12	50
2004-05†	0	8	<1	5	0	0	13	50
2005-06†	0	8	0	5	0	0	9	50
2006-07†	<1	8	<1	5	0	0	10	50

* Listed as landings, but are the higher of catch or landing values. There were relatively small differences between the two series.

† CELR data.

Note totals may not match figures in the tables due to rounding of figures.

1.2 Recreational fisheries

There is a small and specific recreational fishery using beach seines, but no information on the size of catch.

1.3 Customary non-commercial fisheries

Quantitative information on the current level of customary non-commercial catch is not available.

1.4 Illegal catch

Estimates of illegal catch are not available, but this is probably insignificant or nil.

1.5 Other sources of mortality

There may be some accidental catches of garfish in small-mesh nets (purse seines, lampara nets, and beach seines) used in the fisheries for pilchard and yellow-eye mullet.

2. BIOLOGY

Only one species of garfish or piper is common in New Zealand waters, *Hyporhamphus ihi*. It is endemic, but very similar species occur in Australia. A larger garfish, *Euleptorhamphus viridis*, is occasionally recorded in northern New Zealand. The common garfish is not closely related to the ocean piper or saury, *Scomberexox saurus*. Garfish occur around most of New Zealand, and are present at the Chatham Islands. They are most abundant in sheltered gulfs, bays, and large estuaries, particularly near seagrass beds in shallow water, and over shallow reefs. The pale green, almost transparent colouring, and localised schooling behaviour of garfish makes them difficult to see and their abundance difficult to estimate.

Spawning occurs during spring and summer probably in suitable shallow bays; the eggs sink to the seafloor and adhere to vegetation. Larvae are seldom taken in coastal plankton surveys.

Patterns of age and growth are not known in New Zealand, but likely to be similar to Australia, where the larger of two closely related species (southern garfish, *H. melanochir*) matures at 25 cm (2–3 years) and reaches 52 cm (10 years). The New Zealand garfish matures at 22 cm, and with a maximum size of 40 cm may have a lower maximum age. Average size is 20–30 cm.

Garfish feed on zooplankton. They form single-species schools, but occur in close proximity with other small pelagic fishes in shallow coastal waters, particularly yelloweye mullet.

There have been no biological studies that are directly relevant to the recognition of separate stocks, or to yield estimates. Consequently no estimates of biological parameters are available.

3. STOCKS AND AREAS

There is no information on whether separate biological stocks occur in New Zealand. Given their preferred habitat of shallow sheltered waters, and the mode of reproduction where the eggs are attached to the seafloor rather than free-floating, it is probable that localised populations occur, and possible that these may differ in some biological parameters (e.g., growth and recruitment). Consequently these populations may be susceptible to local depletion.

Garfish are sometimes taken as a non-target catch in the pilchard fishery, but this catch is likely to be very small. Although the target fisheries for these two species are quite separate, it is convenient for their Fishstocks to have the same boundaries.

4. STOCK ASSESSMENT

There have been no previous stock assessments of garfish.

4.1 Estimates of fishery parameters and abundance

No fishery parameters are available.

4.2 Biomass estimates

No estimates of biomass (B_0 , B_{msy} , or $B_{current}$) are available.

4.3 Estimation of Maximum Constant Yield (MCY)

Cannot be determined.

4.4 Estimation of Current Annual Yield (CAY)

Current biomass cannot be estimated, so CAY cannot be determined.

4.5 Other yield estimates and stock assessment results

No information is available.

4.6 Other factors

The extent of natural variability in the size of garfish populations is not known, but from their very shallow inshore distribution, and demersal rather than pelagic eggs, it is suspected that they are less variable than other small pelagic species. However, these features also suggest localised populations, susceptible to local depletion.

There is anecdotal information that garfish are very abundant in some localities. It is not known whether this represents similar abundance over a larger region, or a tendency for a few schools to become concentrated in these localities. Apparent abundance, and initial catches, may be misleading in terms of sustainable yields.

The maximum age of 10 years proposed for a similar Australian garfish implies that productivity might not be as high as would be expected from a small pelagic species.

There is no reliable information on catches from the recreational fishery for garfish, or even their size relative to that of the commercial fishery.

5. STATUS OF THE STOCKS

No estimates of current biomass are available. A fishery has existed for several decades, but it is not known how heavily this has exploited the stock. It is not possible to determine if recent catch levels will allow the stock(s) to move towards a size that would support the MSY.

TACCs and reported landings by Fishstock are summarised in Table 4.

Table 4: Summary of yield estimates (t), TACCs (t), and reported landings (t) for garfish for the most recent fishing year.

Fishstock	FMA		MCY estimates	2006–07 Actual TACC	2006–07 Reported landings
GAR 1	Auckland (East)	1	–	25	10
GAR 2	Central (East)	2	–	5	0
GAR 3	South East (Coast), Southland, Sub-antarctic	3, 5, 6	–	5	0
GAR 4	South East (Chatham)	4	–	2	0
GAR 7	Challenger	7	–	8	<1
GAR 8	Auckland (West), Central (West)	8, 9	–	5	<1
GAR 10	Kermadec	10	–	0	0
Total			–	50	10

6. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

- Abel K., Kailola P. 1993. Garfish. In Kailola, P.J. et al. (comps) (1993). Australian fisheries resources. pp. 225–227. Bureau of Resource Sciences and the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Canberra. 422 p.
- Collette BB. 1974. The garfishes (Hemirhamphidae) of Australia and New Zealand. Records of the Australian Museum 29(2): 11–105.
- Paul L. 2000. New Zealand fishes. Identification, natural history & fisheries. Reed Books, Auckland. 253 p.