


## RED GURNARD (GUR)

*(Chelidonichthys kumu)*



### 1. FISHERY SUMMARY

#### (a) Commercial fisheries

Red gurnard are a major bycatch of inshore trawl fisheries in most areas of New Zealand, including fisheries for red cod in the southern regions, and flatfish on the west coast of the South Island and in Tasman Bay. They are also directly targeted in some areas. Some minor target fisheries for red gurnard are known in Pegasus Bay, off Mahia and off the west coast South Island. Red gurnard is also a minor bycatch in the jack mackerel trawl fishery in the South Taranaki Bight. Up to 15% of the total red gurnard catch is taken by bottom longline and setnet.

The 1986 TACCs were based on 1984 landings for Southland and 1983 landings for other regions. TACCs for GUR 3 and 7 were increased by 76 t (14%) and 137 t (20%) respectively for the 1991–92 fishing year under the Adaptive Management Programme (AMP), to 600 t in GUR 3 and to 815 t in GUR 7. The TACC for GUR 7 was returned to 815 t in 1997–98 fishing year. The TACC for GUR 3 was again increased, by 300 t (50%), for the 1996–97 fishing year under the AMP.

Recent reported landings and actual TACCs by Fishstock are shown in Table 1.

Annual landings of GUR 1 have been relatively stable since 1986–87, generally ranging between 900 and 1300 t; substantially lower than the 2287 t TACC. About 60% of the GUR 1 total is taken from FMA 1, as a bycatch of a number of fisheries including inshore trawl fisheries for snapper, John dory and tarakihi. The remaining 40% is taken from FMA 9, mainly as a bycatch of the snapper and trevally inshore trawl fisheries.

GUR 2 landings have fluctuated within the range of 400–700 t since 1991–92, typically well below the TACC. In addition to the target fishery off Mahia, red gurnard are taken as a bycatch of the tarakihi, trevally and snapper inshore trawl fisheries.

**Table 1: Reported landings (t) of red gurnard by Fishstock from 1983–84 to 2005–06 and actual TACCs (t) from 1986–87 to 2005–06.**

| Fishstock<br>QMA (s) | GUR 1    |      | GUR 2    |      | GUR 3       |      | GUR 7    |      | GUR 8    |      | GUR 10   |      | Total    |      |
|----------------------|----------|------|----------|------|-------------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|
|                      | 1 & 9    |      | 2        |      | 3, 4, 5 & 6 |      | 7        |      | 8        |      | 10       |      |          |      |
|                      | Landings | TACC | Landings | TACC | Landings    | TACC | Landings | TACC | Landings | TACC | Landings | TACC | Landings | TACC |
| 1983–84*             | 2099     | –    | 782      | –    | 366         | –    | 468      | –    | 251      | –    | 0        | –    | 3966     | –    |
| 1984–85*             | 1531     | –    | 665      | –    | 272         | –    | 332      | –    | 247      | –    | 0        | –    | 3047     | –    |
| 1985–86*             | 1760     | –    | 495      | –    | 272         | –    | 239      | –    | 163      | –    | 0        | –    | 2929     | –    |
| 1986–87†             | 1021     | 2010 | 592      | 610  | 210         | 480  | 421      | 610  | 159      | 510  | 0        | 10   | 2403     | 4230 |
| 1987–88†             | 1139     | 2081 | 596      | 657  | 386         | 486  | 806      | 629  | 194      | 518  | 0        | 10   | 3121     | 4381 |
| 1988–89†             | 1039     | 2198 | 536      | 698  | 528         | 489  | 479      | 669  | 167      | 532  | 0        | 10   | 2749     | 4596 |
| 1989–90†             | 916      | 2283 | 451      | 720  | 694         | 501  | 511      | 678  | 173      | 538  | 0        | 10   | 2745     | 4730 |
| 1990–91†             | 1123     | 2284 | 490      | 723  | 661         | 524  | 442      | 678  | 150      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 2866     | 4762 |
| 1991–92†             | 1294     | 2284 | 663      | 723  | 539         | 600  | 704      | 815  | 189      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 3390     | 4975 |
| 1992–93†             | 1629     | 2284 | 618      | 725  | 484         | 601  | 761      | 815  | 208      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 3700     | 4978 |
| 1993–94†             | 1153     | 2284 | 635      | 725  | 711         | 601  | 469      | 815  | 174      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 3142     | 4978 |
| 1994–95†             | 1054     | 2287 | 559      | 725  | 685         | 601  | 455      | 815  | 217      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 2969     | 4982 |
| 1995–96†             | 1163     | 2287 | 567      | 725  | 633         | 601  | 382      | 815  | 182      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 2927     | 4982 |
| 1996–97†             | 1055     | 2287 | 503      | 725  | 641         | 900  | 378      | 815  | 219      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 2796     | 5281 |
| 1997–98†             | 1015     | 2287 | 482      | 725  | 477         | 900  | 309      | 678  | 249      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 2532     | 5143 |
| 1998–99†             | 927      | 2287 | 469      | 725  | 395         | 900  | 323      | 678  | 170      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 2284     | 5143 |
| 1999–00†             | 944      | 2287 | 521      | 725  | 411         | 900  | 331      | 678  | 222      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 2429     | 5143 |
| 2000–01†             | 1294     | 2287 | 623      | 725  | 569         | 900  | 571      | 678  | 291      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 3348     | 5143 |
| 2001–02†             | 1109     | 2287 | 619      | 725  | 717         | 900  | 686      | 678  | 302      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 3429     | 5143 |
| 2002–03†             | 1256     | 2287 | 552      | 725  | 888         | 750  | 793      | 678  | 342      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 3831     | 4993 |
| 2003–04†             | 1225     | 2287 | 512      | 725  | 725         | 750  | 717      | 678  | 329      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 3508     | 4993 |
| 2004–05†             | 1354     | 2287 | 708      | 725  | 854         | 750  | 688      | 678  | 370      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 3974     | 4993 |
| 2005–06†             | 1113     | 2287 | 542      | 725  | 957         | 750  | 604      | 678  | 373      | 543  | 0        | 10   | 3589     | 4993 |

\* FSU data.

† QMS data.

GUR 3 landings regularly exceeded the TACC between 1988–89 and 1995–96. Ageing of fish collected during the east coast South Island trawl surveys suggests there were 1 or 2 relatively strong year classes moving through the fishery, which may help explain the overcatches. However, once the TACC in GUR 3 was increased to 900 t in the 1996–97 fishing year, landings declined to well below this quantum. In 2002–03, the TACC for GUR 3 was reduced by 150 t, to 750 t. The most recent TACC was overcaught with annual landings of >850 t in the 2002/03, 2004/05 and 2005–06 fishing years.

GUR 7 landings declined steadily from 761 t in 1992–93, to 309 t in 1997/98, but have since increased to the point that they have ranged between 604 t and 793 t since 2001/02, thereby exceeding the TACC. Landings in GUR 8 have remained well below the levels of the TACC since 1986–87, with a maximum catch of 373 t reported for the 2005–06 fishing year.

### (b) Recreational fisheries

Red gurnard is, by virtue of its wide distribution in shallow coastal waters, an important recreational species. Vulnerable to recreational fishing methods, it is often taken by snapper and tarakihi anglers, particularly in the Northern Region.

Recreational harvest estimates have been obtained from national telephone diary surveys undertaken in 1996 and 2001. Regional diary surveys were undertaken from 1991 to 1994. A key component of the estimating recreational harvest from diary surveys is determining the proportion of the population that fish. The Recreational Working Group has concluded that the methodological framework used for telephone interviews produced incorrect eligibility figures for the 1996 and previous surveys. Consequently the harvest estimates derived from these surveys are considered to be underestimated. However, relative comparisons can be made between stocks within these surveys. The Recreational Working Group considered that the 2000 survey using face-to-face interviews better estimated eligibility and that the derived recreational harvest estimates are believed to be more accurate. FMA2 catches are nevertheless considered to be an over-estimate, probably because of an unrepresentative diarist sample. The 1999/2000 Harvest estimates for each Fishstock should be evaluated with reference to the coefficient of variation. Recreational catch estimates are given in Tables 2–4.

**Table 2:** Estimated number and weight of red gurnard harvested by recreational fishers by Fishstock and survey. Surveys were carried out in different years in the Ministry of Fisheries regions: South in 1991–92, Central in 1992–93 and North in 1993–94 (Teirney et al., 1997). The estimated Fishstock harvest is indicative and was made by combining estimates from the different years.

| Fishstock | Survey  | Total   |       | Survey harvest (t) |
|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--------------------|
|           |         | Number  | CV(%) |                    |
| GUR 1     | North   | 349 000 | 14    | 155–245            |
| GUR 2     | North   | 2 000   | –     | –                  |
| GUR 2     | Central | 156 000 | 31    | 50–125             |
| GUR 7     | Central | 21 000  | 23    | 5–20               |
| GUR 8     | Central | 157 000 | 37    | 50–110             |

**Table 3:** Results of a national diary survey of recreational fishers in 1996. Estimated number of red gurnard harvested by recreational fishers by Fishstock and the corresponding harvest tonnage. The mean weights used to convert numbers to catch weight are considered the best available estimates. Estimated harvest is presented as a range to reflect the uncertainty in the estimates (from Bradford, 1998).

| Fishstock | Number caught | CV (%) | Harvest Range (t) | Harvest Point Estimate (t) |
|-----------|---------------|--------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| GUR 1     | 262 000       | 7      | 100–120           | 108                        |
| GUR 2     | 38 000        | 18     | 10–20             | 16                         |
| GUR 3     | 1 000         | –      | –                 | –                          |
| GUR 7     | 26 000        | 15     | 10–15             | 12                         |
| GUR 8     | 67 000        | 15     | 25–35             | 28                         |

**Table 4:** Results of the 1999/2000 national diary survey of recreational fishers (Dec 1999 – Nov 2000). Estimated number of red gurnard harvested by recreational fishers by Fishstock and the corresponding harvest tonnage. Estimated harvest is presented as a range to reflect the uncertainty in the estimates (Boyd & Reilly 2002).

| Fishstock | Number caught | CV (%) | Harvest Range(t) | Harvest Point estimate(t) |
|-----------|---------------|--------|------------------|---------------------------|
| GUR 1     | 465 000       | 16     | 188–256          | 223                       |
| GUR 2     | 209 000       | 37     | 80–173           | 127                       |
| GUR 3     | 11 000        | 70     | 2–9              | 5                         |
| GUR 7     | 36 000        | 23     | 9–14             | 11                        |
| GUR 8     | 99 000        | 36     | 26–55            | 40                        |

### (c) Maori customary fisheries

Red gurnard is an important species for Maori fishing interests, by virtue of its wide distribution in shallow coastal waters. However, no quantitative estimates of Maori customary take are currently available.

### (d) Illegal catch

No quantitative information is available.

### (e) Other sources of mortality

No quantitative information is available.

## 2. BIOLOGY

Red gurnard reach sexual maturity at an age of 2–3 years and a fork length (FL) of about 23 cm, after which the growth rate slows. Growth rate varies with location, and females grow faster and are usually larger at age than males. Maximum age is about 16 years and maximum size is 55+ cm.

M was estimated using the equation  $M = \log_e 100 / \text{maximum age}$ , where maximum age is the age to which 1% of the population survives in an unexploited stock. ECSI samples suggested an  $A_{\max}$  of about 16 years for males and 13 years for females, giving estimates for M of 0.29 and 0.35

respectively. WCSI samples indicate an  $A_{max}$  of about 15 years for both sexes, giving an estimate of 0.31 for M. These samples were not from virgin populations, so M may be slightly overestimated.

Red gurnard have a long spawning period which extends through spring and summer with a peak in early summer. In the Hauraki Gulf, ripe adults can be found throughout the year. Spawning grounds appear to be widespread, although perhaps localised over the inner and central shelf. Egg and larval development takes place in surface waters, and there is a period of at least 8 days before feeding starts. Small juveniles (< 15 cm FL) are often caught in shallow harbours, but rarely in commercial trawls.

Biological parameters relevant to the stock assessment are shown in Table 5.

**Table 5: Estimates of biological parameters for red gurnard.**

| Fishstock   | Estimate       |                      |                      |              |                      |                      | Source           |
|---|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| <b>1. Weight = a (length)<sup>b</sup> (Weight in g, length in cm fork length)</b> |                |                      |                      |              |                      |                      |                  |
| <b>Combined sexes</b>   |                |                      |                      |              |                      |                      |                  |
|   | <b>a</b>       | <b>b</b>             |                      |              |                      |                      |                  |
| GUR 1   | 0.00998        | 2.99                 |                      |              |                      |                      | Elder (1976)     |
| GUR 1W & 1E   | 0.026          | 2.775                |                      |              |                      |                      | Stevenson (2000) |
| GUR 2   | 0.0053         | 3.19                 |                      |              |                      |                      | Stevenson (2000) |
| <b>2. von Bertalanffy growth parameters</b>                                       |                |                      |                      |              |                      |                      |                  |
|   | <b>Females</b> |                      |                      | <b>Males</b> |                      |                      |                  |
|   | <b>K</b>       | <b>t<sub>0</sub></b> | <b>L<sub>∞</sub></b> | <b>K</b>     | <b>t<sub>0</sub></b> | <b>L<sub>∞</sub></b> |                  |
| GUR 1   | 0.641          | 0.189                | 36.4                 | 0.569        | -0.552               | 28.8                 | Elder (1976)     |
| GUR1W   | 0.25           | -0.88                | 45.3                 | 0.45         | -0.30                | 36.5                 | Stevenson (2000) |
| GUR1E   | 0.28           | -0.76                | 44.5                 | 0.49         | -0.24                | 35.2                 | Stevenson (2000) |
|   | <b>Females</b> |                      |                      | <b>Males</b> |                      |                      |                  |
|   | <b>K</b>       | <b>t<sub>0</sub></b> | <b>L<sub>∞</sub></b> | <b>K</b>     | <b>t<sub>0</sub></b> | <b>L<sub>∞</sub></b> |                  |
| GUR 3   | 0.44           | 0.1                  | 48.2                 | 0.49         | -0.26                | 42.2                 | Sutton (1997)    |
|   | <b>Females</b> |                      |                      | <b>Males</b> |                      |                      |                  |
|   | <b>K</b>       | <b>t<sub>0</sub></b> | <b>L<sub>∞</sub></b> | <b>K</b>     | <b>t<sub>0</sub></b> | <b>L<sub>∞</sub></b> |                  |
| GUR 7   | 0.40           | -0.36                | 45.7                 | 0.37         | -0.96                | 40.3                 | Sutton (1997)    |
| <b>3. Natural mortality estimates</b>   |                |                      |                      |              |                      |                      |                  |
|   | <b>Females</b> |                      |                      | <b>Males</b> |                      |                      |                  |
| GUR 1W & 1E   | 0.30           |                      |                      | 0.35         |                      |                      | Stevenson (2000) |
| GUR 3   | 0.29           |                      |                      | 0.35         |                      |                      | Sutton (1997)    |
| GUR 7   | 0.31           |                      |                      | 0.31         |                      |                      | Sutton (1997)    |

### 3. STOCKS AND AREAS

There are no new data that would alter the stock boundaries given in previous assessment documents. No information is available on stock separation of red gurnard.

### 4. STOCK ASSESSMENT

Stock reduction analyses were undertaken for GUR 1W, GUR 1E and GUR 2 using MIAEL estimation (Cordue, 1998). The MIAEL model uses a two-stage process. All input data are used in the first stage to obtain least squares estimates of year class strengths and trawl survey selectivities. The second stage of the model produces the least squares and MIAEL estimates of biomass. The MIAEL procedure produces a performance index for each estimate which, if it is low, indicates that the parameter is not well estimated within its bounds. Estimates of MCY and CAY are presented for all three stocks, based on the MIAEL method.

There are no new data which would alter the yield estimates given for the other GUR stocks in the 1997 Plenary Report. Those yield estimates were based on commercial landings data only and have not changed since the 1992 Plenary Report.

**(a) Estimates of fishery parameters and abundance****GUR 1**

Catch histories for GUR 1W and GUR 1E were collated for the period 1931 to 1997–98 (Table 6). The catch history for GUR 1 was divided into east and west of North Cape. The catches were split on the basis of port of landing up until 1984 and since then at a 60:40 ratio based on logbook data. Other model input parameters are given in Tables 5 and 7.

**Table 6: Catch histories (t) for GUR 1W and GUR 1E for the period 1931 to 1997–98 used in the modelling.**

| Year | GUR 1<br>West | GUR 1<br>East | Year    | GUR 1<br>West | GUR 1<br>East |
|------|---------------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------------|
| 1931 | –             | 66            | 1966    | 561           | 557           |
| 1932 | –             | 41            | 1967    | 549           | 455           |
| 1933 | –             | 66            | 1968    | 651           | 443           |
| 1934 | –             | 50            | 1969    | 794           | 502           |
| 1935 | –             | 74            | 1970    | 832           | 581           |
| 1936 | –             | 113           | 1971    | 670           | 468           |
| 1937 | –             | 203           | 1972    | 340           | 401           |
| 1938 | –             | 107           | 1973    | 677           | 577           |
| 1939 | –             | 118           | 1974    | 512           | 355           |
| 1940 | –             | 122           | 1975    | 386           | 294           |
| 1941 | –             | 105           | 1976    | 610           | 427           |
| 1942 | –             | 121           | 1977    | 690           | 569           |
| 1943 | –             | 125           | 1978    | 833           | 696           |
| 1944 | –             | 232           | 1979    | 1119          | 767           |
| 1945 | –             | 353           | 1980    | 1153          | 664           |
| 1946 | –             | 418           | 1981    | 1499          | 810           |
| 1947 | –             | 368           | 1982    | 1021          | 987           |
| 1948 | –             | 222           | 1983    | 629           | 1139          |
| 1949 | –             | 362           | 1984    | 624           | 1029          |
| 1950 | –             | 298           | 1984–85 | 612           | 919           |
| 1951 | –             | 211           | 1985–86 | 704           | 1056          |
| 1952 | 8             | 376           | 1986–87 | 408           | 613           |
| 1953 | 83            | 392           | 1987–88 | 456           | 683           |
| 1954 | 106           | 371           | 1988–89 | 416           | 623           |
| 1955 | 126           | 354           | 1989–90 | 366           | 550           |
| 1956 | 178           | 244           | 1990–91 | 449           | 674           |
| 1957 | 182           | 294           | 1991–92 | 518           | 776           |
| 1958 | 117           | 301           | 1992–93 | 652           | 977           |
| 1959 | 109           | 335           | 1993–94 | 461           | 692           |
| 1960 | 203           | 267           | 1994–95 | 422           | 632           |
| 1961 | 261           | 278           | 1995–96 | 465           | 698           |
| 1962 | 207           | 279           | 1996–97 | 422           | 633           |
| 1963 | 238           | 303           | 1997–98 | 406           | 609           |
| 1964 | 320           | 627           |         |               |               |
| 1965 | 508           | 750           |         |               |               |

**Table 7: Input parameters used for the MIAEL modelling for the base case and sensitivity analysis for all stocks unless specified.**

| Parameter   | Estimate   |          |          | Sensitivity |          |          |           |
|---|------------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Steepness   |            |          |          | 0.9         |          |          | –         |
| Recruitment variability                                     |            |          |          | 0.6         |          |          | –         |
| Natural mortality males                                     |            |          |          | 0.35        |          |          | ±0.05     |
| Natural mortality females                                   |            |          |          | 0.3         |          |          | ±0.05     |
| Maximum exploitation ( $r_{max}$ ) pre-spawning, spawning   |            |          |          | 0.5         |          |          | 0.3       |
| Minimum exploitation rate when largest catch ( $r_{minx}$ ) |            |          |          | 0.01        |          |          | –         |
| <b>Maturity ogive</b>                                       | <b>Age</b> | <b>1</b> | <b>2</b> | <b>3</b>    | <b>4</b> | <b>5</b> | <b>≥6</b> |
| GUR 1W & 1E   | Male       | 0.00     | 0.75     | 1.00        | 1.00     | 1.00     | 1.00      |
|   | Female     | 0.00     | 0.25     | 1.00        | 1.00     | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| <b>Trawl survey selectivity</b>                             |            |          |          |             |          |          |           |
| GUR 1W  | Male       | 0.25     | 1.00     | 1.00        | 1.00     | 1.00     | 1.00      |
|   | Female     | 0.10     | 0.40     | 1.00        | 1.00     | 1.00     | 1.00      |
| GUR 1E  | Male       | 0.10     | 1.00     | 1.00        | 1.00     | 1.00     | 1.00      |
|   | Female     | 0.10     | 0.30     | 1.00        | 1.00     | 1.00     | 1.00      |

**Table 7:** (Continued)

| Fishing selectivity | Age    | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | ≥6   |
|---------------------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| GUR 1W              | Male   | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 1.00 |
|                     | Female | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.65 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| GUR 1E              | Male   | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 0.90 | 1.00 |
|                     | Female | 0.10 | 0.35 | 0.70 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

Standardised CPUE indices for GUR 1W, GUR 1E and GUR 2 are shown in Table 7. The indices are based on an analysis of gurnard CPUE from inshore trawl fisheries where gurnard was either the target species or one of the important bycatch species (Stevenson, 2000). Log-linear and combined models showed similar trends and only the log-linear results are presented here.

**Table 8: Relative year effects from the linear model of log (catch per day) for GUR 1W, GUR 1E and GUR 2.**

| Year    | GUR 1W | GUR 1E | GUR 2 |
|---------|--------|--------|-------|
| 1989–90 | 1.00   | 1.00   | 1.00  |
| 1990–91 | 0.90   | 0.90   | 0.93  |
| 1991–92 | 0.97   | 0.85   | 0.91  |
| 1992–93 | 1.35   | 0.81   | 0.88  |
| 1993–94 | 1.20   | 0.64   | 0.80  |
| 1994–95 | 1.46   | 0.62   | 0.76  |
| 1995–96 | 1.20   | 0.50   | 0.83  |
| 1996–97 | 1.04   | 0.43   | 0.73  |

The input data sets and the CV's used for those series in the modelling are listed in Table 9. For the base case all trawl survey indices and proportion at age data were fitted in the model. Sensitivity runs were carried out without fitting the age data or 1+ indices, and fitting the CPUE indices instead. Estimated year class strengths from base case model runs are given in Table 10.

**Table 9: Coefficients of variation (CV) applied in the model to the series of relative abundance indices. BoP- Bay of Plenty. HG- Hauraki Gulf. NF- not fitted.**

| Fishstock | Data series   | Base case CV (%) | Sensitivity CV (%) |
|-----------|---|------------------|--------------------|
| GUR 1W    | Trawl survey adults ( <i>Kaharoa</i> , Oct/Nov)                     | 25               |                    |
|           | Trawl survey 1+ ( <i>Kaharoa</i> , Oct/Nov)                         | 25               | NF                 |
|           | Trawl survey proportion-at-age (1994, 1996)                         | 25               | NF                 |
|           | CPUE  | NF               | 35                 |
| GUR 1E    | Trawl survey adults BOP ( <i>Kaharoa</i> , Feb) (excl. 1985 & 1987) | 25               |                    |
|           | Trawl survey adults HG ( <i>Kaharoa</i> , Nov–Dec) (excl. 1985)     | 25               |                    |
|           | Trawl survey 1+ BOP ( <i>Kaharoa</i> , Feb) (excl. 1985 & 1987)     | 25               | NF                 |
|           | Trawl survey 1+ HG ( <i>Kaharoa</i> , Nov–Dec) (excl. 1985)         | 25               | NF                 |
|           | Trawl survey proportion-at-age HG (1992, 1994)                      | 25               | NF                 |
|           | CPUE  | NF               | 35                 |

**Table 10: Estimates of year class strengths from model runs incorporating age data. (– not estimated).**

| Year class | GUR 1W | GUR 1E |
|------------|--------|--------|
| 1984       | –      | 0.01   |
| 1985       | 0.95   | 0.42   |
| 1986       | 0.85   | 0.37   |
| 1987       | 0.01   | 0.82   |
| 1988       | 0.22   | 0.61   |
| 1989       | 0.72   | 1.04   |
| 1990       | 0.29   | 0.13   |
| 1991       | 2.56   | 1.44   |
| 1992       | 3.13   | 0.13   |
| 1993       | 0.92   | 2.45   |
| 1994       | 2.11   | 0.29   |
| 1995       | 1.59   | 0.01   |
| 1996       | –      | 2.45   |
| 1997       | –      | 0.13   |

## GUR 2

Standardised CPUE analyses (using a lognormal non-zero catch model) were undertaken for red gurnard caught in three separate target fisheries, GUR 2, FLA 2, and TAR 2, each of which catch significant amounts of red gurnard and appear to exploit a different age-sex component of the red gurnard population (Kendrick & Walker, 2003). Red gurnard is generally targeted in waters 50-100 m, whereas flatfish are targeted in waters less than 50 m and tarakihi is targeted in deeper waters between 100 and 200 m. The target fishery accounts for 50-70% of the annual catch of GUR 2, and on account of the depth range fished is thought to provide a more reliable index of abundance. The standardized index for this fishery declined by 35% during the 1989/90 to 2000/01 period (Figure 1).





Figure 1: Standardised CPUE indices derived from a lognormal non-zero model on gurnard abundance from the flatfish (FLA 2) target fishery, the gurnard (GUR 2) target fishery (lagged one year), and the tarakihi (TAR 2) target fishery (lagged 2 years). The first year of each index is 1989/90 (Kendrick & Walker, 2003).

## GUR 3

Further CPUE analyses have been carried out by SeaFIC (2002, 2005) as part of the monitoring programme for adaptive management stocks. In 2002, the Plenary agreed that the CPUE indices resulting from the FLA fishery appeared to be more stable than those for the RCO bycatch. Therefore, the FLA bycatch regression analysis is now being used to monitor abundance in GUR 3 (Figure 2).

Winter trawl surveys were conducted annually off the South Island east coast (GUR 3) between 1991 and 1996 (Table 10); however, the biomass estimates are highly variable between surveys and are unlikely to monitor the abundance of red gurnard (the trawl surveys were optimised to sample red cod and, consequently, neither the survey depth range or areal stratification are appropriate to survey the red gurnard population). Five summer surveys, which were optimised for red gurnard, were carried out annually between 1996–97 and 2000–01. There has been a downward trend in the indices since 1996–97, but this may have been due to changing catchability for this species. In 2001, the Inshore FAWG recommended that the summer east coast South Island trawl survey be discontinued due to the extreme variability in the catchability of the target species.



**Figure 2:** Comparison of indices from the combined models (lognormal standardization of non zero catches and binomial standardization of zero catches) for GUR 3 in bottom trawl fisheries targeting red cod (RCO) and flatfish (FLA) (SeaFIC, 2005).

### GUR 7

Biomass indices derived from the raw CPUE (kg of gurnard/trawl) of vessels bottom trawling for barracouta, gurnard and flatfish in FMA 7 were developed in support of a proposal to introduce GUR 7 into the adaptive management programme (Challenger Finfish Management Company, 2003). The annual indices declined from 1991/92 to 1994/95, were relatively stable until 1989/99 and then increased sharply to 2002/03. Trawl surveys, on the other hand, indicate that the relative biomass of red gurnard declined gradually from 1992 to 2003 on the west coast of the South Island, and, the relative biomass declined sharply in 2003 in Tasman and Golden Bays. On account of the low CVs and low inter-annual variability, WCSI trawl surveys have been thought to reflect biomass trends of the gurnard and other target species.

Relative abundance indices have been obtained from trawl surveys of the Bay of Plenty, west coast North Island and Hauraki Gulf within the GUR 1 Fishstock and the South Island west coast and Tasman/Golden Bays (GUR 7) (Table 11).



**Table 11: Estimates of red gurnard biomass (t) from *Kaharoa* trawl surveys.**

| Year  | Trip Code                     | Biomass | CV (%) |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|--------|
| <b>Bay of Plenty</b>                                  |                               |         |        |
| 1983  | KAH8303                       | 380     | 23     |
| 1985  | KAH8506                       | 57      | 17     |
| 1987  | KAH8711                       | 410     | 28     |
| 1990  | KAH9004                       | 432     | 12     |
| 1992  | KAH9202                       | 290     | 9      |
| 1996  | KAH9601                       | 332     | 14     |
| 1999  | KAH9902                       | 364     | 14     |
| <b>North Island west coast (QMA 9)</b>                |                               |         |        |
| 1986  | KAH8612                       | 1763    | 16     |
| 1987  | KAH8715                       | 2022    | 24     |
| 1989  | KAH8918                       | 1013    | 12     |
| 1991  | KAH9111                       | 1846    | 23     |
| 1994  | KAH9410                       | 2498    | 30     |
| 1996  | KAH9615                       | 1820    | 14     |
| <b>North Island west coast (QMA 8)</b>                |                               |         |        |
| 1989  | KAH8918                       | 628     | 15     |
| 1991  | KAH9111                       | 817     | 9      |
| 1994  | KAH9410                       | 685     | 22     |
| 1996  | KAH9615                       | 370     | 37     |
| 1999  | KAH9915 (QMAs 8 & 9 combined) | 2099    | 13     |
| <b>Hauraki Gulf</b>                                   |                               |         |        |
| 1984  | KAH8421                       | 595     | 15     |
| 1985  | KAH8517                       | 49      | 44     |
| 1986  | KAH8613                       | 426     | 36     |
| 1987  | KAH8716                       | 255     | 15     |
| 1988  | KAH8810                       | 749     | 19     |
| 1989  | KAH8917                       | 105     | 29     |
| 1990  | KAH9016                       | 141     | 16     |
| 1992  | KAH9212                       | 330     | 9      |
| 1993  | KAH9311                       | 177     | 17     |
| 1994  | KAH9411                       | 247     | 19     |
| 1997  | KAH9720                       | 242     | 14     |
| 2000  | KAH0012                       | 24      | 46     |
| <b>South Island west coast and Tasman/Golden Bays</b> |                               |         |        |
| 1992  | KAH9204                       | 572     | 15     |
| 1994  | KAH9404                       | 559     | 15     |
| 1995  | KAH9504                       | 584     | 19     |
| 1997  | KAH9704                       | 471     | 13     |
| 2000  | KAH0004                       | 301     | 23     |
| 2003  | KAH0304                       | 270     | 20     |
| 2005  | KAH0503                       | 442     | 17     |
| <b>North Island east coast</b>                        |                               |         |        |
| 1993  | KAH9304                       | 439     | 44     |
| 1994  | KAH9402                       | 871     | 16     |
| 1995  | KAH9502                       | 178     | 26     |
| 1996  | KAH9605                       | 708     | 29     |
| <b>South Island east coast (winter)</b>               |                               |         |        |
| 1991  | KAH9105                       | 763     | 40     |
| 1992  | KAH9205                       | 142     | 30     |
| 1993  | KAH9306                       | 576     | 31     |
| 1994  | KAH9406                       | 112     | 34     |
| 1996  | KAH9606                       | 505     | 27     |
| <b>South Island east coast (summer)</b>               |                               |         |        |
| 1996/97   | KAH9618                       | 765     | 13     |
| 1997/98   | KAH9704                       | 317     | 16     |
| 1998/99   | KAH9809                       | 493     | 13     |
| 1999/00   | KAH9917                       | 202     | 20     |
| 2000/01   | KAH0014                       | 146     | 34     |

**(b) Biomass estimates**

Bounded estimates of mid-spawning season virgin ( $B_0$ , assumed to exist in 1931) and mid-spawning season current ( $B_{mid}$ ) biomass, and estimates of next season's beginning of year total biomass ( $B_{beg}$ ) were obtained using the least squares and MIAEL estimation techniques of Cordue (1998) (Table 11 and Figure 2). The model was run using the parameters from Tables 4 and 6, catch histories from Table 5, and biomass indices from Tables 7 and 10.

**West Coast North Island GUR 1W (QMA 9)**


The MIAEL estimate of  $B_0$  for GUR 1W is about 27 000 t (range 5090 to 101 930), with a low performance index of 10% (Table 11). This estimate is substantially higher than the sensitivity runs without age data, although the bounds and performance indices are virtually unchanged. The MIAEL estimate of  $B_{mid99}$  is about 80% of  $B_0$  (range 40–147%) (Figure 2), but has a low performance index of only 3%. This estimate is slightly higher than the sensitivity runs without age data. However, the bounds were generally narrower and the performance indices higher for the sensitivity runs. The assessment for GUR 1W suggests that the stock has been only lightly exploited. Even if the stock were at  $B_{min}$ , the current biomass would still be greater than  $B_{MSY}$ , and the current level of catches would be sustainable, as indicated by the increasing stock trajectory (Figure 3).

**North-east coast North Island GUR 1E (QMA 1)**

The MIAEL estimate of  $B_0$  for GUR 1E is about 31100 t (range 6290 to 94490), with a low performance index of 14% (Table 12). This estimate is substantially higher than the sensitivity runs without age data. The MIAEL estimate of  $B_{mid99}$  is about 59% of  $B_0$  (range 9–83%), and has a high performance index of 58%. This estimate is considerably higher than the sensitivity runs without age data. The assessment for GUR 1E suggests that the stock has been low to moderately exploited. Current biomass appears to be greater than  $B_{MSY}$ , and the current level of catches appear to be sustainable.

**Table 12: Estimates of  $B_{min}$  and  $B_{max}$ , least squares (LS) estimates of biomass, and MIAEL estimates of  $p$ , biomass (MIAEL), and performance indices (Perf.), for the base case assessment and sensitivity runs for GUR 1W and GUR 1E.  $r_{max}$ , maximum exploitation rate; cpue, inclusion of cpue index; age data, inclusion of catch-at-age data. Biomass estimates are: mid-spawning season virgin biomass ( $B_0$ ) in tonnes, and mid-spawning season mature biomass for 1998–99 ( $B_{mid99}$ ) as a percentage of virgin biomass. All sensitivities tests should be compared to the no age data run.**

| Estimate        | Run                | $B_{min}$ – $B_{max}$ | LS                | $p$          | MIAEL         | Perf. %     |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| <b>GUR 1W</b>   |                    |                       |                   |              |               |             |
| $B_0$           | Base case          | <b>5090–101 930</b>   | <b>101 930</b>    | <b>0.128</b> | <b>27 050</b> | <b>9.5</b>  |
|                 | No age data        | 5020–101 980          | 15 680            | 0.131        | 15 860        | 10.7        |
|                 | $M +0.05$          | 4 540–93 800          | 18 310            | 0.122        | 14 920        | 9.8         |
|                 | $M -0.05$          | 5880–110 420          | 14 930            | 0.133        | 17 790        | 10.5        |
|                 | $r_{max} = 0.3$    | 6160–101 900          | 15 680            | 0.078        | 18 190        | 4.3         |
|                 | cpue               | 5000–101 950          | 13 060            | 0.136        | 15 480        | 11.3        |
|                 | $B_{mid99}(\%B_0)$ | Base case             | <b>40.0–147.2</b> | <b>147.2</b> | <b>0.111</b>  | <b>79.9</b> |
| No age data     |                    | 36.7–98.0             | 76.3              | 0.645        | 69.7          | 58.6        |
| $M +0.05$       |                    | 52.7–98.1             | 80.0              | 0.473        | 75.1          | 36.3        |
| $M -0.05$       |                    | 38.1–97.8             | 72.9              | 0.585        | 67.1          | 49.5        |
| $r_{max} = 0.3$ |                    | 65.5–98.0             | 79.7              | 0.237        | 79.6          | 11.5        |
| cpue            |                    | 34.6–98.0             | 74.8              | 0.681        | 68.7          | 64.3        |
| <b>GUR 1E</b>   |                    |                       |                   |              |               |             |
| $B_0$           | Base case          | <b>6290–94 490</b>    | <b>94 490</b>     | <b>0.169</b> | <b>31 140</b> | <b>13.5</b> |
|                 | No age data        | 5000–76 920           | 76 920            | –0.030       | 12 770        | 1.0         |
|                 | $M +0.05$          | 4310–70 680           | 70 680            | –0.034       | 10 860        | 1.5         |
|                 | $M -0.05$          | 5930–83 630           | 83 630            | –0.006       | 16 460        | 0.0         |
|                 | $r_{max} = 0.3$    | 5460–76 920           | 76 920            | 0.026        | 17 180        | 0.6         |
|                 | cpue               | 5000–76 920           | 76 920            | –0.019       | 13 460        | 0.4         |
|                 | $B_{mid99}(\%B_0)$ | Base case             | <b>8.7–82.6</b>   | <b>82.6</b>  | <b>0.619</b>  | <b>59.4</b> |
| No age data     |                    | 11.2–96.1             | 96.1              | –0.011       | 26.5          | 0.0         |
| $M +0.05$       |                    | 10.8–96.4             | 96.4              | –0.037       | 24.0          | 1.1         |
| $M -0.05$       |                    | 13.6–95.7             | 95.7              | 0.031        | 32.9          | 0.5         |
| $r_{max} = 0.3$ |                    | 31.0–96.1             | 96.1              | 0.124        | 57.3          | 4.1         |
| cpue            |                    | 11.2–96.1             | 96.1              | 0.003        | 27.5          | 0.0         |



**Figure 3:** Trajectories for minimum ( $B_{\min}$ ) and maximum ( $B_{\max}$ ) estimates of biomass from the base case model for GUR 1W and GUR 1E. The closed circles indicate the MIAEL estimates of mid spawning season biomass at the end of the 1998–99 fishing year.

### East Coast North Island GUR 2

An assessment of GUR 2 was attempted by fitting the trawl and CPUE indices using the MIAEL method. However, the performance indices were very low (<1%), and the assessment was rejected by the Working Group due to the paucity of data and the assumption of deterministic recruitment.

### **(c) Estimation of Maximum Constant Yield (MCY)**

Two methods were used to estimate MCY.

- (i)  $MCY = cY_{av}$ , where  $c = 0.7$  is based on  $M = 0.31$  and  $Y_{av}$  is the mean catch for the years 1983–84 to 1986–87. Data for 1987–88 were excluded, as significant over-runs occurred in GUR 7 and catch may have been limited by the TAC. MCY estimates are shown in Table 12.
- (ii)  $MCY = p.B_0$  where  $p$  is determined for each stock using the simulation method of Francis (1992) such that the spawning biomass does not go below 20%  $B_0$  more than 10% of the time.  $B_0$  and its range are as determined by the MIAEL method (from Table 11), and MCY estimates, ranges and related parameters are listed in Table 12.

### GUR 1E and GUR 1W

The estimate of MCY for GUR 1E and GUR 1W from the MIAEL method had wide ranges, low performance indices, and were sensitive to the inclusion of age data (Table 14). The combined MCY of 5970 t is considerably higher than the 1120 t estimated using the average catch method (Table 13).

**Table 13: Estimates of MCY (t) (rounded to the nearest 10 t) .**

| Fishstock | QMA  |              | Average Catch      |      |
|-----------|--|--------------|--------------------|------|
|           |  |              | 1983–84 to 1986–87 | MCY  |
| GUR 1     | Auckland (East) (West)                                       | 1 & 9        | 1603               | 1120 |
| GUR 2     | Central (East)   | 2            | 635                | 450  |
| GUR 3     | South-East (Coast) (Chatham),<br>Southland and Sub-Antarctic | 3, 4, 5, & 6 | 280                | 200  |
| GUR 7     | Challenger   | 7            | 365                | 260  |
| GUR 8     | Central (West)   | 8            | 205                | 140  |
| GUR 10    | Kermadec   | 10           | –                  | –    |
| Total     |  |              | 3088               | 2170 |

**Table 14: Estimates of  $B_{MCY}$  (as % of  $B_0$ ), MCY (as % $B_0$ ), MCY range (t) (from  $B_{min}$  and  $B_{max}$ ), and MCY (t) (from MIAEL) and its performance index (Perf.), for the base case assessment and sensitivity runs for GUR 1W and GUR 1E.  $B_{may}$  (% of  $B_0$ ) was 29.8% for GUR 1W and 29.9% for GUR 1E for the base case runs. All sensitivities tests should be compared to the no age data run.**

| Fishstock | Model run        | $B_{MCY}$     | MCY           | MCY Range         | MCY         | Perf.       |
|-----------|------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
|           |                  | (% of $B_0$ ) | (% of $B_0$ ) |                   | (t)         | (%)         |
| GUR 1W    | <b>Base case</b> | <b>48.1</b>   | <b>10.2</b>   | <b>520–10 400</b> | <b>2760</b> | <b>9.5</b>  |
|           | No age data      | 48.0          | 9.4           | 470–9 570         | 1490        | 10.7        |
|           | $M + 0.05$       | 49.5          | 10.7          | 480–10 070        | 1600        | 9.8         |
|           | $M - 0.05$       | 46.7          | 8.1           | 470–8 910         | 1430        | 10.5        |
|           | $r_{max} = 0.3$  | 47.0          | 10.3          | 630–1 040         | 1860        | 4.3         |
|           | cpue             | 48.0          | 9.4           | 470–9 580         | 1450        | 11.3        |
| GUR 1E    | <b>Base case</b> | <b>48.9</b>   | <b>10.3</b>   | <b>650–9 730</b>  | <b>3210</b> | <b>13.5</b> |
|           | No age data      | 48.3          | 9.6           | 470–7 360         | 1220        | 1.0         |
|           | $M + 0.05$       | 49.5          | 10.9          | 470–7 710         | 1180        | 1.5         |
|           | $M - 0.05$       | 46.7          | 8.2           | 480–6 880         | 1350        | 0.0         |
|           | $r_{max} = 0.3$  | 47.1          | 10.5          | 570–8 050         | 1790        | 0.6         |
|           | cpue             | 48.3          | 9.6           | 470–7 360         | 1280        | 0.4         |

The level of risk to the stock by harvesting the population at the estimated MCY value cannot be determined.

**(d) Estimation of Current Annual Yield (CAY)**

No estimate of CAY is available for red gurnard.

**(e) Other yield estimates and stock assessment results**

Other yield estimates and stock assessment results are not available.

**(f) Other factors**

Red gurnard is a major bycatch of target fisheries for several different species, such as snapper and flatfish. The target species may differ between areas and seasons. The recorded landings are influenced directly by changes in the fishing patterns of fisheries for these target species and indirectly by the abundance of these target species. Some target fishing for gurnard also occurs. Therefore, MCY estimates based on catch data are subject to a great deal of uncertainty.

## 5. ANALYSIS OF ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES (AMP)

The Ministry of Fisheries revised the AMP framework in December 2000. The AMP framework is intended to apply to all proposals for a TAC or TACC increase, with the exception of fisheries for which there is a robust stock assessment. In March 2002, the first meeting of the new Adaptive Management Programme Working Group was held. Two changes to the AMP were adopted:

- a new checklist was implemented with more attention being made to the environmental impacts of any new proposal;
- the annual review process was replaced with an annual review of the monitoring requirements only. Full analysis of information is required a minimum of twice during the 5 year AMP.

### GUR 3

GUR 3 is managed within the AMP, with the current five-year term beginning October 2002. The first GUR 3 TACC increase (from 524 t to 600 t) took effect in the 1991–92 fishing year under the AMP. A subsequent increase to 900 t was granted in 1996 for the 1996–97 fishing year. On 1 October 2002 the TACC was reduced to 750 t.

#### Full-term Review of GUR 3 AMP in 2007

In 2007 the AMP FAWG reviewed the performance of the AMP (Starr et al., 2007). The WG noted:

#### *Fishery Characterization*

- Red gurnard have been managed under an AMP since 1991/92, when the TACC was increased from 542 t to 601 t. The TACC was again increased to 900t in Oct 1997, and then reduced again to 800 t after full-term review of the 2nd five-year AMP period in 2002.
- Catches exceeded TACC levels for 6 of the 9 years from 88/89 - 96/97, but dropped sharply to the lowest levels since 1986/87 after the 97/98 TACC increase. Catches remained below the 900 t TACC until 01/02, but exceeded the reduced 800 t TACC in 02/03.
- After a slight decline in 03/04, catches again increased to exceed the current TACC. Catches in the fishery have remained above 700t since 2001/02, with the 2005/06 catches being the highest since the series began in 1986/87
- The area 3 gurnard fishery is almost entirely (98%) a bottom trawl fishery, with a small catch being taken using Danish seine methods since 02/03.
- Trawling is the main fishing method in GUR 3 and is concentrated in Pegasus Bay, the Canterbury Bight and with some catch from the Foveaux Strait. Catches are made throughout the year, with a slight decline over June - September. Catches in Southland are more concentrated from September - February.
- Gurnard are mainly caught as a bycatch in the red cod (areas 18 - 22) and flatfish (SE South Island) targeted fisheries, with some bycatches in the barracouta and stargazer fisheries. There is a small target gurnard fishery. Gurnard are generally caught fairly shallow, between 50 – 150 m, in the typical depth range of the flatfish and red cod target fisheries.

#### *CPUE Analysis*

- In 2002, the WG Plenary concluded that gurnard CPUE trends in the flatfish fishery were more stable, and provided a better index, than in the red cod fishery. Noting differences between flatfish fisheries in the northern and southern areas of QMA3, three fisheries were used in this year's gurnard CPUE review: a northern flatfish fishery (areas 20, 22 and 24), a southern flatfish fishery (areas 25, 26 and 30) and the red cod fishery (areas 20, 22 and 24).
- CPUE for these fishery definitions were standardised using a lognormal model based on non-zero catches. In addition, a binomial model was used to investigate the effect of changing proportion of non-zero catches.
- The WG noted that, for many of these fisheries, the increasing proportion of non-zero catches driving observed trends in the binomial component of the models may result, to some extent, from the rolling up of CELR data into trip strata, particularly where changes have occurred in fishing behaviour over time (i.e. move to increased number of shorter tows to improve targeting or fish quality). The WG concluded that the binomial models should not be given much weight, and that attention should focus on the lognormal model results.
- Trends in the three standardised series are similar, showing a steady increase from lowest levels in ~1997/98 to the present, after a dip in 1992/93 and a decline from 1993/94 - 1997/98. Current CPUE estimates are the highest in the 20 years of the series and are about double the rate at the start of the series, even in the southern flatfish index, which is the only one to show a downturn in the most recent year.
- Additional analyses were presented at the 24 April 2007 AMP FAWG meeting where the GUR 3 CPUE analysis from the target red cod fishery was split into separate areas above and below Banks Peninsula. This analysis did not materially change the conclusions from the initial analyses presented to the WG, with both areas showing an increasing trend from a nadir lasting from

1997/98 to 1999/00. The WG noted that the increase since then was more modest for the analysis north of Banks Peninsula, with the strong increase being confined to the area south of Banks Peninsula. The Working Group also noted that the series for the red cod target fishery south of Banks Peninsula corresponded closely to the target flatfish analysis presented previously, which is also a fishery which takes place primarily south of Banks Peninsula.

#### *Trawl Surveys*

- The time series of winter ECSI trawl surveys shows highly variable abundance GUR 3 estimates over 1991 - 1996, with high CVs and no apparent trend. These trawl indices showed little correspondence with FLA and RCO targeted GUR CPUE indices at the time.
- In 1997, the winter surveys were replaced by a summer trawl survey programme optimised for, among others, red gurnard, and considered to be suitable for monitoring gurnard.
- Summer trawl survey indices from 1997 - 2001 show a steady decline in estimates of gurnard abundance. However, there appeared to be correlated changes in estimates for a number of species, and there are concerns that differences in annual weather conditions affected catchabilities on these surveys.
- There is little similarity between abundance estimates from the summer surveys and the various CPUE series investigated. It was concluded in 2001 that the surveys were not reliably monitoring the red gurnard population, and should therefore be discontinued.
- 1992 - 1994 age-frequency distributions from the trawl surveys show the progression of a strong 1991 year class which probably contributed to the high CPUE in 1994.
- In contrast, substantial inter-annual variation in length-frequencies in the trawl surveys confirm that these surveys probably did not capture red gurnard equivalently between years.

#### *Logbook Programme*

- The SE Finfish Management Company introduced a logbook programme covering the east and south coast South Island bottom trawl fisheries in 2002, as part of the implementation of an AMP for ELE 3. This was extended to cover GUR 3 in 2004/05.
- Coverage of the gurnard fishery has been inadequate in both years of this programme to date, covering only 3.6% of catches in 04/05 and 1.4% in 05/06. Almost all of this has been in statistical area 22, with almost no sampling of significant catches in areas 20, 25 and 30. Seasonal coverage has also been poor, lagging well behind the fishery.
- Unsexed length-frequency distributions of the sampled fish (3987 in 04/05 and 1845 in 05/06) differ, with the mode being slightly lower in 05/06. This only represents fish from area 22 and appears to have resulted from a consistent decline in mean size across all quarters sampled.

#### *Effects of Fishing*

- Over 98% of red gurnard is taken by bottom trawling, mainly as a non-targeted bycatch in the red cod and flatfish fisheries. Increased TACCs under the AMP do not appear to have resulted in increased targeting for this species.
- One Hector's dolphin capture was reported in the red cod trawl fishery in 97/98, but none have been reported since, and none have been observed on 187 observed trawls in 99/00. Trawlers have been advised not to haul when dolphins are active in the vicinity, and to keep sonar on when hauling.
- Trawlers >28 m length have been required since 12 Jan 2006 to deploy mitigation devices while trawling, to minimise warp-strikes by seabirds.
- Increased TACCs under the AMP have not resulted in any increases or significant changes in trawl fishing areas or effort. The ECSI inshore trawl areas have been trawled for decades, and benthic impacts of these trawlers has not increased as a result of the AMP.

#### *Conclusions*

- Red gurnard is a bycatch in the red cod and flatfish fisheries, and less than 6% of the total catch is actually targeted. It has been considered to be a low value species, with little economic incentive

for targeting, although recent increases in value and rapid expansion of the domestic market have probably contributed to the recent increase in catches.

- CPUE trends closely mirror catch trends and it appears that CPUE trends in this largely non-targeted bycatch fishery do reflect actual changes in abundance of gurnard in the areas fished.
- However, the WG did note that decreases in red cod and hoki catches have probably resulted in some increased targeting of red gurnard, or at least an increased proportion of gurnard in red cod and hoki 'targeted' trawls. Flatfish catches have also declined from Kaikoura to the Canterbury Bight.
- CPUE has been increasing steadily since the lowest point in 1997/98 and has reached the highest level in the series. This indicates that abundance of GUR 3 is at its highest level in 20 years. Current catches are probably sustainable in the short to medium term.

## 6. STATUS OF THE STOCKS

Estimates of current and reference biomass are available for GUR 1W and GUR 1E. Estimates of current and reference absolute biomass are not available for the other gurnard stocks.

Red gurnard is a major bycatch species subject to wide variations in recorded catch. This is partly due to changes in target fisheries and stocks, and to natural variations in the red gurnard stocks. The MCY estimates derived from catch statistics are subject to a great deal of uncertainty and are probably conservative.

The current TACCs were based on a period of highest ever catches, and these levels have not been reached in recent years. In GUR 1, current catch levels are probably constrained by changes in the target fisheries.

### GUR 1W

The stock assessment model was based on data up to the end of the 1997–98 fishing year. The assessment for the GUR 1W stock is reasonably optimistic. Both trawl and CPUE indices are increasing, and the model indicated that  $B_{mid99}$  was about 80% of  $B_0$  (range 40–147%, performance index 3%), suggesting that the stock has been only lightly exploited and is also benefiting from several recent years of strong recruitment. Current biomass appears to be greater than stock size that will support the  $B_{MSY}$ . Current catch levels appear to be sustainable, and continued catches at the current level will allow the stock to remain above  $B_{MSY}$ .

### GUR 1E

The abundance indices all suggest that the biomass in GUR 1E declined in the early 1980s, but recovered slightly during the 1990s. Current biomass appears to be above  $B_{MSY}$  ( $B_{mid99}$  was estimated at 59% of  $B_0$ ; range 9–83%, performance index 58%) and current catch levels are probably sustainable. Continued catches at the current level will allow the stock to remain above  $B_{MSY}$ .

### GUR 3

GUR 3 is being managed within an AMP with a decision rule relating to the proportion of targeting and CPUE. The TACC for GUR 3 was decreased to 750 t for the 2002–03 fishing year, under the adaptive management programme. Recent catch levels and the previous TACC are probably sustainable. It cannot be determined if the new TACC of 750 t is sustainable in the long-term or will allow the stock to move towards the size that will support the maximum sustainable yield.

### GUR 7

The TACC for GUR 7 was increased from 678 t to 815 t for the 1991–92 fishing year under the AMP then reduced to 678 t for 1997–98. Landings declined each year from a high of 761 t in 1992–93 to reach 331 t in 1999–00, but have since increased to 685 t in 2001/02. Un-standardized commercial-

trawl CPUE for GUR 7 declined from 1992/93 to 1996/97 and then increased from 1998/01 to 2002/03. Trawl surveys, on the other hand, indicate that the relative biomass of red gurnard declined gradually from 1992 to 2003 on the west coast of the South Island, and, the relative biomass declined sharply in 2003 in Tasman and Golden Bays. The lack of juveniles (20-30 cm) during the 2003 survey was also cause for concern. Relative biomass increased substantially in 2005, but given that increases were observed for most species, the latest survey results may well be anomalous i.e. biased by higher than usual catchability for target species.

Recent catches are probably sustainable, at least in the short-term. It is not known if the current TACC is sustainable. It is not known if recent catches or the current TACC will allow the stock to move towards a size that will support the maximum sustainable yield.

### Other Fishstocks (GUR 2, 8, 10)

It is not known if recent catch levels or the current TACC are sustainable or if they are at levels that will allow the stock to move towards a size that will support the maximum sustainable yield.

Yields estimates, TACCs, and reported landings for red gurnard are summarised in Table 15.

**Table 15: Summary of yield estimates (t), TACCs (t) and reported landings (t) of red gurnard for the most recent fishing year. MCY(1) from cYav method, MCY(2) from MIAEL method (range only given).**

| Fishstock |  | QMA          | MCY(1) | MCY(2)     | 2005-06<br>Actual<br>TACC | 2005-06<br>Reported<br>landings |
|-----------|--|--------------|--------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| GUR 1     | Auckland                                   | 1 & 9        | 1120   |            | 2287                      | 1113                            |
|           | GUR 1W                                     |              |        | 520-10 400 |                           |                                 |
|           | GUR 1E                                     |              |        | 650-9730   |                           |                                 |
| GUR 2     | Central (east)                             | 2            | 450    |            | 725                       | 542                             |
| GUR 3     | South-East, Southland<br>and Sub-Antarctic | 3, 4, 5, & 6 | 200    |            | 750                       | 957                             |
| GUR 7     | Challenger                                 | 7            | 260    |            | 678                       | 604                             |
| GUR 8     | Central (west)                             | 8            | 140    |            | 543                       | 373                             |
| GUR 10    | Kermadec                                   | 10           | -      |            | 10                        | 0                               |
| Total     |  |              | 2170   |            | 4993                      | 3589                            |



## 7. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

- Blackwell, R. (1988). Red gurnard. *N.Z. Fisheries Assessment Research Document 88/23*. 18 p.
- Boyd, R.O., Reilly, J.L. (2002). 1999/2000 national marine recreational fishing survey: harvest estimates. *Draft New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report*.
- Bradford, E. (1998). Harvest estimates from the 1996 national recreational fishing surveys. *N.Z. Fisheries Assessment Research Document 98/16*. 27 p.
- Cordue, P. L. (1998). Designing optimal estimators for fish stock assessment. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*. 55: 376–386.
- Challenger Finfisheries Management Company (2003). Report to the Adaptive Management Programme Fishery Assessment Working Group. GUR 7 Adaptive Management Proposal for the 2004-05 fishing year. Copies held by MFish.
- Elder, R.D. (1976). Studies on age and growth, reproduction and population dynamics of red gurnard, *Chelidonichthys kumu* (Lesson and Garnot), in the Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand. *Fisheries Research Bulletin No. 12*. 62 p.
- Francis, R.I.C.C. (1992). Recommendations concerning the calculation of maximum constant yield (MCY) and current annual yield (CAY). *N.Z. Fisheries Assessment Research Document 92/8*.
- Kendrick, T., Walker, N. (2003). Characterisation of the GUR 2 red gurnard (*Chelidonichthys kumu*) and associated inshore trawl fisheries, 1989-90 to 2000-01. Final Research Report to the Ministry of Fisheries for Project GUR2001-01. 84 p.
- Lydon, G.J.; Middleton, D.A.J.; Starr, P.J. (2006). Performance of the GUR 3 Logbook Programme. AMP-WG-06/22. (Unpublished manuscript available from the NZ Seafood Industry Council, Wellington).
- Starr, P.J., Kendrick, T.H., Lydon, G.J. and Bentley, N. 2007. Report to the Adaptive Management Fishery Assessment Working Group: Full term review of the GUR 3 Adaptive Management Programme. AMP-WG-07/11v2. (Unpublished manuscript available from the NZ Seafood Industry Council, Wellington).
- Stevenson, M.L. (2000). Assessment of red gurnard (*Chelidonichthys kumu*) stocks GUR 1 and GUR 2. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2000/40*. 51 p.
- Sutton, C.P. (1997). Growth parameters, and estimates of mortality for red gurnard (*Chelidonichthys kumu*) from off the east and west coasts of the South Island, New Zealand. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 97/1*. 15 p.
- Vignaux, M. (1997). CPUE analyses for fishstocks in the adaptive management programme. *New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 97/24*. 68 p.
- Teirney, L.D.; Kilner, A.R.; Millar, R.E.; Bradford, E.; Bell, J.D. (1997). Estimation of recreational catch from 1991–92 to 1993–94. *N.Z. Fisheries Assessment Research Document 97/15*. 43 p.