


PAUA (PAU)

(*Haliotis iris*, *Haliotis australis*)
Paua



1. INTRODUCTION

Quantitative stock assessments are available for PAU 4, 5A, 5B, 5D and 7 and these are given separately.

1.1 Commercial fisheries

Paua inhabit shallow waters (generally less than 6 m) off the coastline of New Zealand. The commercial fishery for paua dates from the mid-1940s, when shell was marketed and the meat generally discarded. Both meat and shell were sold from the late 1950s. Since the 1986–87 fishing season, the fishery has been managed with an individual transferable quota system and a total allowable commercial catch (TACC) for each of eight Quota Management Areas. Total reported landings of paua and TACCs are shown in Table 1.

Fishers gather paua by hand while free diving (use of underwater breathing apparatus is not permitted). Most of the catch is from the Wairarapa coast southwards: the major fishing areas are in the South Island (PAU 7), Stewart Island (PAU 5) and the Chatham Islands (PAU 4). Virtually the entire commercial fishery is for the black-footed paua, *Haliotis iris*, with a minimum legal size for harvesting of 125 mm shell length. The yellow-footed paua, *H. australis* is less abundant than *H. iris* and is caught only in small quantities; it has a minimum legal size of 80 mm. Catch statistics include *H. iris* and *H. australis*.

PAUA (PAU)

Table 1: TACCs and reported landings (t) of paua by Fishstock from 1983–84 to 2006–07.

Fishstock	PAU 1		PAU 2		PAU 3		PAU 4		PAU 5	
	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC
1983–84*	1	–	110	–	114	–	409	–	550	–
1984–85*	0	–	154	–	92	–	278	–	353	–
1985–86*	0	–	92	–	51	–	221	–	228	–
1986–87*	0.01	1	96.2	100	54.02	57	267.37	261	418.9	445
1987–88*	0.98	1	122.11	111.33	62.99	60.49	279.57	269.08	465	448.98
1988–89*	0.05	1.93	121.5	120.12	57.55	66.48	284.73	270.69	427.97	449.64
1989–90†	0.28	1.93	127.28	121.19	73.46	69.43	287.38	287.25	459.46	459.48
1990–91†	0.16	1.93	125.82	121.19	90.68	77.24	253.61	287.25	528.16	484.94
1991–92†	0.27	1.93	116.66	121.19	90.25	91.5	281.59	287.25	486.76	492.06
1992–93†	1.37	1.93	119.13	121.19	94.52	91.5	266.38	287.25	440.15	442.85
1993–94†	1.05	1.93	125.22	121.19	85.09	91.5	297.76	287.25	440.39	442.85
1994–95†	0.26	1.93	113.28	121.19	93.26	91.5	282.1	287.25	436.13	442.85
1995–96†	0.99	1.93	119.75	121.19	92.89	91.62	220.17	326.54	N/A	N/A
1996–97†	1.28	1.93	118.86	121.19	89.65	91.62	251.71	326.54	N/A	N/A
1997–98†	1.28	1.93	122.41	121.19	93.88	91.62	301.69	326.54	N/A	N/A
1998–99†	1.13	1.93	115.22	121.19	92.54	91.62	281.76	326.54	N/A	N/A
1999–00†	0.69	1.93	122.48	121.19	90.3	91.62	321.56	326.54	N/A	N/A
2000–01†	1	1.93	122.92	121.19	93.19	91.62	326.89	326.54	N/A	N/A
2001–02†	0.32	1.93	116.87	121.19	89.66	91.62	321.64	326.54	N/A	N/A
2002–03†	0	1.93	121.19	121.19	90.92	91.62	325.62	326.54	N/A	N/A
2003–04†	0.05	1.93	121.06	121.19	91.58	91.62	325.85	326.54	N/A	N/A
2004–05†	0.27	1.93	121.19	121.19	91.43	91.62	319.24	326.54	N/A	N/A
2005–06†	0.45	1.93	121.14	121.19	91.6	91.62	320.82	326.54	N/A	N/A
2006–07†	0.76	1.93	121.20	121.19	91.61	91.62	321.93	926.54	N/A	N/A

Fishstock	PAU 6		PAU 7		PAU 10		Total	
	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC
1983–84*	0.00	–	306.00	–	0.00	–	1409.00	–
1984–85*	3.00	–	1.08	–	0.00	–	881.08	–
1985–86*	0.00	–	145.92	–	0.00	–	737.92	–
1986–87*	0.00	1.00	242.18	250.00	0.00	1.00	1 078.68	1 261.00
1987–88*	0.00	1.00	244.94	250.00	0.00	1.00	1 175.59	1 269.08
1988–89*	0.00	1.00	246.03	250.00	0.00	1.00	1 137.83	1 270.69
1989–90†	0.00	1.00	267.05	263.53	0.00	1.00	1 214.91	1 287.25
1990–91†	0.23	1.00	273.25	266.24	0.00	1.00	1 271.91	1 287.25
1991–92†	0.00	1.00	268.31	266.17	0.00	1.00	1 243.84	1 287.25
1992–93†	0.88	1.00	264.80	266.17	0.00	1.00	1 187.23	1 238.04
1993–94†	0.10	1.00	255.47	266.17	0.00	1.00	1 205.08	1 238.04
1994–95†	18.21H	1.00	247.11	266.17	0.00	1.00	1 190.35	1 238.04
1995–96†	28.62H	1.00	268.74	267.48	0.00	1.00	1 160.95	1 253.72
1996–97†	0.11	1.00	267.59	267.48	0.00	1.00	1 159.43	1 257.69
1997–98†	0.00	1.00	266.66	267.48	0.00	1.00	1 225.20	1 256.36
1998–99†	0.00	1.00	265.05	267.48	0.00	1.00	1 200.02	1 256.36
1999–00†	1.04	1.00	264.64	267.48	0.00	1.00	1 210.71	1 252.70
2000–01†	0.00	1.00	215.92	267.48	0.00	1.00	1 145.69	1 220.91
2001–02†	0.00	1.00	187.15	240.73	0.00	1.00	1 102.87	1 194.16
2002–03†	0.00	1.00	187.22	187.24	0.00	1.00	1 075.26	1 083.50
2003–04†	0.00	1.00	159.55	187.24	0.00	1.00	1 025.12	1 083.50
2004–05†	0.00	1.00	166.94	187.24	0.00	1.00	1 026.81	1 058.50
2005–06†	0.00	1.00	183.86	187.24	0.00	1.00	1 045.69	1 058.50
2006–07†	1.00	1.00	176.05	187.24	0.00	1.00	994.71	1 058.50

* FSU data, † QMS data, H experimental landings.

Fishstock	PAU 5A		PAU 5B		PAU 5D	
	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC	Landings	TACC
1995–96†	139.53	148.98	143.66	147.66	146.60	147.66
1996–97†	141.91	148.98	142.30	147.66	146.02	147.66
1997–98†	145.22	148.98	145.34	148.98	148.72	147.66
1998–99†	147.36	148.98	148.26	148.98	148.70	147.66
1999–00†	143.91	148.98	118.19	143.98	147.90	148.98
2000–01†	147.70	148.98	89.26	112.19	148.81	148.98
2001–02†	148.54	148.98	89.96	112.19	148.74	148.98
2002–03†	148.76	148.98	89.86	90.00	111.69	114.00
2003–04†	149.10	148.98	90.00	90.00	88.02	89.00
2004–05†	148.95	148.98	89.97	90.00	88.82	89.90
2005–06†	148.92	148.98	90.47	90.00	88.93	89.00
2006–07†	104.03	148.98	89.16	90.00	88.97	89.00

Note, PAU 5 was subdivided into PAU 5A, 5B and 5D on 1 October 1995 and reported landings for these Fishstocks are given separately from 1995–96.

1.2 Recreational fisheries

There is a large recreational fishery for paua. Estimated catches from telephone and diary surveys of recreational fishers (Teirney *et al.* 1997, Bradford 1998, Boyd & Reilly 2004, Boyd *et al.*

2004) are shown in Table 2. In 1996–97 sufficient diary data were available for an estimate in PAU 5D only (Bradford 1998, NIWA unpublished data). The Marine Recreational Fisheries Technical Working Group (RFTWG) has reviewed the harvest estimates from the national surveys. Due to a methodological error in the methodology, the harvest estimates for 1991–92 to 1993–94 and 1996–97 are not considered to be reliable. The harvest estimates for the 1999/2000 and 2000/2001 surveys may be very inaccurate and some implausibly high. This may be due to a number of factors including the accuracy of the mean weight used to derive total harvest weight from the estimated numbers of paua caught by diarists, and the small number of diarists harvesting the stock in some areas. However relative comparisons can be made between stocks within the surveys.

Table 2: Estimated annual harvest of paua (t) by recreational fishers*.

Fishstock	PAU 1	PAU 2	PAU 3	PAU 5	PAU 5D	PAU 6	PAU 7
1991–92	–	–	35–60	50–80	–	–	–
1992–93	–	37–89	–	–	–	0–1	2–7
1993–94	29–32	–	–	–	–	–	–
1995–96	10–20	45–65	–	20–35	–	–	–
1996–97	–	–	–	N/A	22.5	–	–
1999–00	40–78	224–606	26–46	36–70	26–50	2–14	8–23
2000–01	16–37	152–248	31–61	70–121	43–79	0–3	4–11

*1991–1995 Regional telephone/diary estimates, 1995/6, 1999/00 and 2000/01 National Marine Recreational Fishing Surveys.

1.3 Customary non-commercial fisheries

There is an important customary use of paua by Maori for food, and the shells have been used extensively for decorations and fishing devices. Current levels of customary non-commercial take have not been estimated. However, Kaitiaki are now in place in many areas and estimates of customary non-commercial harvest can be expected in the near future.

1.4 Illegal catch

Current levels of illegal harvests are not known. In the past, annual estimates of illegal harvest for some Fishstocks have been provided by MFish compliance, based on seizures. In the current paua stock assessments, nominal illegal catches are used.

1.5 Other sources of mortality

Gerring (2003) observed paua (from PAU 7) with a range of wounds in the laboratory and found that only a deep cut in the foot caused significant mortality (40% over 70 days). In the field this injury reduced the ability of paua to right themselves and clamp securely onto the reef, and attracted predators. The tool generally used by divers in PAU 7 is a custom made stainless steel knife with a rounded tip and no sharp edges. This design makes cutting the paua very unlikely (although abrasions and shell damage may occur). Gerring (2003) estimated that in PAU 7, 37% of paua removed from the reef by commercial divers were undersize and were returned to the reef. His estimate of incidental mortality associated with fishing in PAU 7 was 0.3% of the landed catch. Incidental fishing mortality may be higher in areas where other types of tools and fishing practices are used. Mortality may increase if paua are kept out of the water for a prolonged period or returned onto sand. To-date, the stock assessments developed for paua have assumed that there is no mortality associated with capture of undersize animals.

2. BIOLOGY

Paua are herbivores which can form large aggregations on reefs in shallow subtidal coastal habitats. Movement is over a sufficiently small spatial scale that the species may be considered sedentary. Paua are broadcast spawners and spawning is thought to be annual. Habitat related factors are an important source of variation in the post-settlement survival of paua.

PAUA (PAU)

Growth, morphometrics, and recruitment can vary over short distances and may be influenced by factors such as wave exposure. Recruitment indices (i.e., the mean number of juveniles sampled in dive surveys) indicate a higher abundance of juveniles off D'Urville Island and in Cook Strait than in the wave exposed habitats of west coast Stewart Island, Fiordland and southern Otago.

3. STOCKS AND AREAS

The present fishstock boundaries may not represent discrete paua stocks. From 1 October 1995, PAU 5 was subdivided into three QMAs: Fiordland (5A); Stewart Island (5B); Catlins/Otago (5D), and from 1 November 1997 these areas were further subdivided, for reporting purposes only, into 17, 16, and 11 statistical areas, respectively.

4. STOCK ASSESSMENT

Stock assessments now exist for PAU 4, 5A, 5B, 5D and 7 and are reported separately. Summarised below is information relevant to the assessment of PAU 2, 3 and 6.

4.1 Estimates of fishery parameters and abundance

In some circumstances commercial CPUE may not be proportional to abundance as it is possible to maintain catch rates of paua despite a falling biomass. This occurs because paua tend to aggregate and divers move among areas to maximise their catch rates. Any apparent stability in CPUE should therefore be interpreted with caution.

The relative abundance of paua has been estimated from surveys in PAU 4, 5A, 5B, 5D and 7, and are reported separately.

4.2 Biomass estimates

In PAU 6, an estimate of current biomass for the area from Kahurangi Point to the Heaphy River was made in 1997 using a modification of Francis (1990) stock reduction method with a simplified population model. B_0 was estimated to be 141 t (95% C.I. = 89–404 t).

Apart from PAU 4, 5A, 5B, 5D and 7 (see separate sections) there are no biomass estimates for the other paua Fishstocks.

4.3 Estimation of Maximum Constant Yield (MCY)

No estimates of MCY have been made except for the area from Kahurangi Point to the Heaphy River in PAU 6. MCY was estimated using the equation $MCY = 0.25 * F_{0.1} * B_0$, Method one (Annala *et al.* 1998) which applies to new fisheries. $F_{0.1} = 0.1$ was estimated from a yield per recruit model. MCY for this area was estimated to be 3.53 t (95% C.I. = 2.2–10.1 t).

MCY was not estimated for any other fishstocks.

4.4 Estimation of Current Annual Yield (CAY)

The only estimate of CAY available is for the area from Kahurangi Point to the Heaphy River in PAU 6. Using Method 1 (Annala *et al.* 1998), CAY for this area was estimated to be 13.1 t. The respective estimates of CAY based upon the 95% confidence limits of the B_0 estimate were 8.3 and 37.5 t.

CAY was not estimated for any other fishstocks.

5. STATUS OF THE STOCKS

The current TACC of 1 t for PAU 6 is sustainable. There are no estimates of reference or current biomass for PAU 2 and 3. The status of puaa stocks PAU 4, PAU 5A, PAU 5B, PAU 5D and PAU 7 are given in the relevant Working Group reports.

TACCs and reported landings for the 2006–07 fishing year for all PAU stocks are summarised in Table 3.

Table 3: Summary of TACCs (t) and reported landings (t) for 2006–07 fishing year for all PAU stocks.

Fishstock	FMA	2006–07	2006–07
		Actual TACC	Reported Landings
PAU 1	Auckland (East) (West)	1,9	0.76
PAU 2	Central (East) (West)	2,8	121.20
PAU 3	South-East	3	91.61
PAU 4	Chatham	4	321.93
PAU 5A	Southland	5	104.03
PAU 5B	Southland	5	89.16
PAU 5D	Southland	5	88.97
PAU 6	Westland	6	1
PAU 7	Nelson/Marlborough	7	176.05
PAU 10	Kermadec	10	0
Total		1058.5	994.71

6. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

- Andrew NL., Breen PA., Naylor JR., Kendrick TH., Gerring PK. 2000a. Stock assessment of puaa *Haliotis iris* in PAU 7 in 1998–99. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2000/49.
- Andrew NL., Naylor JR., Gerring P., Notman PR., 2000b. Fishery independent surveys of puaa (*Haliotis iris*) in PAU 5B and 5D. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2000/3: 21p.
- Andrew NL., Naylor JR., Gerring P. 2000c. A modified timed-swim method for puaa stock assessment. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2000/4: 23p.
- Andrew NL., Naylor JR., Kim SW. 2002. Fishery independent surveys of the relative abundance and size-structure of puaa (*Haliotis iris*) in PAU 5B and PAU 5D. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2002/41.
- Annala JH., Sullivan KJ., O'Brien C., Iball S. (Comps.) 1998. Report from the Fishery Assessment Plenary, May 1998: Stock assessments and yield estimates. 409p. Unpublished report held in NIWA library, Wellington.
- Boyd RO., Reilly JL. 2004. 1999/2000 National Marine Recreational Fishing Survey: harvest estimates. Draft New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2004/xx: xp.
- Boyd RO., Gowing L., Reilly JL. 2004. 2000–2001 National Marine Recreational Fishing Survey: diary results and harvest estimates. Draft New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2004/xx: xp.
- Bradford E. 1998. Harvest estimates from the 1996 national recreational fishing surveys. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1998/16: 27p.
- Breen PA., Andrew NL., Kendrick TH., 2000a. Stock assessment of PAU 5B for 1998–99. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2000/xx: xpp.
- Breen PA., Andrew NL., Kendrick TH., 2000b. Stock assessment of puaa (*Haliotis iris*) in PAU 5B and PAU 5D using a new length-based model. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2000/33.
- Breen PA., Andrew NL., Kendrick TH., 2000c. The 2000 stock assessment of puaa (*Haliotis iris*) in PAU 5B using an improved Bayesian length-based model. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2000/48.
- Breen PA., Andrew NL., Kim SW. 2001. The 2001 stock assessment of puaa *Haliotis iris* in PAU 7. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2001/55.
- Breen PA., Kim SW. 2004. The 2004 stock assessment of puaa *Haliotis iris* in PAU 5A. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2004/40.
- Breen PA., Kim SW. 2005. The 2005 stock assessment of puaa *Haliotis iris* in PAU 7. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2005/47.
- Breen PA., Kim SW. 2007. The 2006 stock assessment of puaa (*Haliotis iris*) stocks PAU 5A (Fiordland) and PAU 5D (Otago). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2007/09.
- Breen PA., Smith AN. 2008. The 2007 stock assessment of puaa (*Haliotis iris*) stock PAU 5B (Stewart Island). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2008/05.
- Breen PA., Smith AN. 2008. Data used in the 2007 stock assessment for puaa (*Haliotis iris*) stock 5B (Stewart Island). New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2008/06.
- Elvy D., Grindley R., Teirney L. 1997. Management Plan for Puaa 5. Otago Southland Puaa Management Working Group Report 57p. (Held by Ministry of Fisheries, Dunedin.)
- Francis RICC. 1990. A maximum likelihood stock reduction method. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1990/4: 8p.
- Gerring PK. 2003. Incidental fishing mortality of puaa (*Haliotis iris*) in PAU 7. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2003/56: 13p.

PAUA (PAU)

- Kendrick TH., Andrew NL. (in prep.). Summary of the commercial fishery and of possible CPUE indices of stock abundance for paua (*Haliotis iris*) in PAU 5B and 5D. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1999/xx: xp.
- Kendrick TH., Andrew NL. 2000. Catch and effort statistics and a summary of standardised CPUE indices for paua (*Haliotis iris*) in PAU 5A, 5B, and 5D. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2000/47.
- McShane PE. 1992. Paua fishery assessment 1992. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1992/3: 26p.
- McShane PE. 1996. Patch dynamics and effects of exploitation on abalone (*Haliotis iris*) populations. Fisheries Research 25: 191–199.
- McShane PE., Mercer S., Naylor R. 1993. Paua (*Haliotis* spp.) fishery assessment 1993. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1993/6: 22p.
- McShane PE., Schiel DR., Mercer SF., Murray T. 1994a. Morphometric variation in *Haliotis iris* (Mollusca:Gastropoda): analysis of 61 populations. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 28: 357–364.
- McShane PE., Mercer SF., Naylor JR., Notman PR. 1994b. Paua fishery assessment 1994. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1994/16: 47p.
- McShane PE., Mercer SF., Naylor JR. 1994c. Spatial variation and commercial fishing of New Zealand abalone (*Haliotis iris* and *H. australis*). New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 28: 345–355.
- McShane PE., Naylor JR. 1995. Small-scale spatial variation in growth, size at maturity, and yield- and egg-per-recruit relations in the New Zealand abalone *Haliotis iris*. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 29: 603–612.
- McShane PE., Mercer SF., Naylor JR., Notman PR. 1996. Paua (*Haliotis iris*) fishery assessment in PAU 5, 6, and 7. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document. 1996/11.
- Naylor JR., Andrew NL. 2000. Determination of growth, size composition, and fecundity of paua at Taranaki and Banks Peninsula. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2000/51.
- Naylor JR., Andrew NL. 2002. Determination of paua growth in PAU 2, 5A, 5B, and 5D. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2002/34.
- Naylor JR., Notman PR., Mercer SF., Gerring P. 1998. Paua (*Haliotis iris*) fishery assessment in PAU 5, 6, and 7. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document. 1998/05.
- Naylor JR., Andrew NL., Kim SW. 2003. Fishery independent surveys of the relative abundance, size-structure and growth of paua (*Haliotis iris*) in PAU 4. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2003/08.
- Naylor JR., Kim SW. 2004. Fishery independent surveys of the relative abundance and size-structure of paua *Haliotis iris* in PAU 5D. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report. 2004/48.
- Pirker JG. 1992. Growth, shell-ring deposition and mortality of paua (*Haliotis iris* Martyn) in the Kaikoura region. MSc thesis, University of Canterbury. 165p.
- Sainsbury KJ. 1982. Population dynamics and fishery management of the paua, *Haliotis iris*. 1. Population structure, growth, reproduction and mortality. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 16: 147–161.
- Schiel DR., and Breen PA. 1991. Population structure, ageing and fishing mortality of the New Zealand abalone *Haliotis iris*. *Fishery Bulletin* 89: 681–691.
- Teirney LD., Kilner AR., Millar RE., Bradford E., Bell JD. 1997. Estimation of recreational catch from 1991/92 to 1993/94. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1997/15: 43p.
- Vignaux M. 1993. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) analysis of the hoki fishery, 1987–92. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Research Document 1993/14. 23p.